STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA  File No.				
County	In The General Court Of Justice ☐ District ☐ Superior Court Division			
STATE VERSUS	IMPAIRED DRIVING			
Name Of Defendant	DETERMINATION OF SENTENCING FACTORS			
	(For Offenses Committed On Or After Dec. 1, 2011)			
	G.S. 20-179			
	ravating factors marked below beyond a reasonable doubt and by a preponderance of the evidence.  and sentencing hearing in Superior Court, (1) the trier of fact has stors and aggravating factors marked below beyond a reasonable g factors and aggravating factors, and (2) the Court determines w by a preponderance of the evidence. If grossly aggravating factor etermines that the State has proved that grossly aggravating factor			
I. GROSSLY AGGRAVATING	G FACTORS - G.S. 20-179(c)			
(NOTE: Either Nos. 1 and 2 or No. 3 apply in each case except aiders and a	bettors. If No. 1 is checked, No. 2.a., 2.b., or 2.c. must also be checked.)			
1. The defendant   a. has been convicted of a prior offense involving impaired driving which conviction occurred within seven (7) years before the date of this offense.   b. has				
<ul><li>1.j., or 1.k.) applies to this defendant.</li><li>3. There are no grossly aggravating factors.</li></ul>				
II. AGGRAVATING AND MITIGATING	G FACTORS - G.S. 20-179(d) AND (e)			
AGGRAVATING FACTORS - G.S. 20-179(d): (NOTE: Except for the fractor must occur during the same transaction or occurrence as this impaired  1. The defendant's faculties were grossly impaired at the time th  2. The defendant had an alcohol concentration of at least 0.15 w  3. The driving of the defendant was especially reckless.  4. The driving of the defendant was especially dangerous.  5. The negligent driving of the defendant led to an accident cause any amount to a vehicle seized pursuant to G.S. 20-28.3.  6. The negligent driving of the defendant led to an accident cause 7. The defendant was driving while the defendant's drivers license.  Original Material opposite unmarked squares.	driving offense.) see defendant was driving. within a relevant time after the driving. sing property damage of \$1,000.00 or more, or property damage of sing personal injury. see was revoked. al - File			

osite unmarked squares is to be disregarded as surplusage.
(Over)

	9. 10. 11. 12.	The defendant had at least two prior convictions of a motor vehicle of five (5) years of this offense, and  a. all were offenses for which at least three (3) points were assig b. all were offenses for which the defendant's drivers license was c. at least one was an offense for which at least three (3) points offense for which the defendant's drivers license was subject to the defendant had at least one prior conviction of an offense involving before the date of this offense.  The defendant has been convicted under G.S. 20-141.5 of speeding the defendant has been convicted under G.S. 20-141 of speeding the defendant passed a stopped school bus in violation of G.S. 20-24 Additional factors that aggravate the seriousness of this offense:	ne s si we o r ng w	d under G.S. 20-16. ubject to revocation. re assigned under G.S. 20-16 and at least one was an revocation. impaired driving that occurred more than seven (7) years hile fleeing or attempting to elude apprehension. at least 30 m.p.h. over the legal limit.		
	14.	There are no aggravating factors.				
	MITIGATING FACTORS - G.S. 20-179(e): (NOTE: Except for the factors in subdivisions 4, 6, 6a, 6b, and 7 below, the conduct constituting the mitigating factor must occur during the same transaction or occurrence as this impaired driving offense.)					
	1. There was a slight impairment of the defendant's faculties resulting solely from alcohol; and, the defendant's alcohol concentration					
	did not exceed 0.09 at any relevant time after the driving.  2. There was a slight impairment of the defendant's faculties resulting solely from alcohol; and, no chemical test was made available					
П	3.	to the defendant. The driving of the defendant was safe and lawful except for the impa	irr	nent of the defendant's faculties.		
		The defendant has a safe driving record, having no convictions of ar assigned under G.S. 20-16 or for which the defendant's license is su offense.	ıy	motor vehicle offense for which at least four points are		
	5.	5. The impairment of the defendant's faculties was caused primarily by a lawfully prescribed drug for an existing medical condition,				
	6.	and the amount of the medical drug taken was within the prescribed dosage.  6. After being charged in this case with impaired driving, the defendant voluntarily submitted himself/herself to a mental health				
		facility for assessment and has voluntarily participated in any treatmerecommended.	en	t recommended by such facility, if such treatment was		
	6a.	The defendant completed a substance abuse assessment, complied 60 days of continuous abstinence from alcohol consumption, as provapproved by the Division of Community Supervision and Reentry of	/er	n by a continuous alcohol monitoring system of a type		
	6b. (use for offenses committed on or after December 1, 2025) The defendant, who meets each of the requirements enumerated in G.S. 20-179(e)(6b), prior to trial voluntarily equipped a designated motor vehicle with a functioning ignition interlock system of a type approved by the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles, operated only the designated vehicle with the ignition interlock system for at least six months, and produced evidence satisfactory to the Court that the defendant did not start the vehicle with an alcohol concentration greater than 0.02 or commit any other acts that would be considered violations of the interlock policies established by the Division of Motor Vehicles for use of an ignition interlock system or a violation of G.S. 20-17.8A.  7. Additional factors that mitigate the seriousness of this offense:					
	8.	There are no mitigating factors.				
	1.	DETERMINATION - G.S. 20-179(f): (NOTE: Check only one.)  1. The aggravating factors marked above substantially outweigh any mitigating factors marked above. Therefore, Level Three punishment shall be imposed.				
		<ol> <li>There are no aggravating or mitigating factors. Therefore, Level Four punishment shall be imposed.</li> <li>The aggravating factors marked above are substantially counterbalanced by the mitigating factors marked above. Therefore, Level</li> </ol>				
$\Box$		Four punishment shall be imposed.  4. The mitigating factors marked above substantially outweigh any aggravating factors marked above. Therefore, Level Five				
		punishment shall be imposed.  5. No findings of mitigating or aggravating factors were made because the defendant is an aider and abettor. Therefore, Level Five				
<u></u>	J.	punishment shall be imposed.				
Date	•	Name Of Presiding Judge (type or print)		Signature Of Presiding Judge		