STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	File No.				
County	In The General Court Of Justice ☐ District ☐ Superior Court Division				
STATE VERSUS	IMPAIRED DRIVING				
Name Of Defendant					
	DETERMINATION OF SENTENCING FACTORS				
	(For Offenses Committed On Or After Dec. 1, 2011)				
	G.S. 20-179				
 □ District Court: Based upon the evidence presented at the trial and sentencing hearing in District Court, the Court determines that (1) the State has proved the grossly aggravating factors and aggravating factors marked below beyond a reasonable doubt and (2) the defendant has proved the mitigating factors marked below by a preponderance of the evidence. □ Superior Court: Based upon the evidence presented at the trial and sentencing hearing in Superior Court, (1) the trier of fact has determined that the State has proved the grossly aggravating factors and aggravating factors marked below beyond a reasonable doubt, or the defendant has admitted to these grossly aggravating factors and aggravating factors, and (2) the Court determines that the defendant has proved the mitigating factors marked below by a preponderance of the evidence. If grossly aggravating factor No. 1.a., 1.b., 1.c., 1.d., 1.e., or 1.f. is marked below, the Court determines that the State has proved that grossly aggravating factor beyond a reasonable doubt. If aggravating factor No. 8 or 9 is marked below, the Court determines that the State has proved that 					
aggravating factor beyond a reasonable doubt.	, -				
I. GROSSLY AGGRAVATING	G FACTORS - G.S. 20-179(c)				
(NOTE: Either Nos. 1 and 2 or No. 3 apply in each case except aiders and a	abettors. If No. 1 is checked, No. 2.a., 2.b., or 2.c. must also be checked.)				
1. The defendant					
a. has been convicted of a prior offense involving impaired driving which conviction occurred within seven (7) years before the					
date of this offense. ☐ b. has ☐ two ☐ three or more convictions as des	ecribed in No. 1 a				
	riving which conviction occurred after the date of the offense for which				
	the defendant is being sentenced but before or contemporaneously with the sentencing in this case.				
d. has two three or more convictions as des					
e. has a prior conviction in District Court for an offense in	volving impaired driving, the conviction was appealed to Superior				
for the case has not been held pursuant to G.S. 20-38.	been remanded back to District Court, and a new sentencing hearing				
f. has two three or more convictions as des					
g. drove, at the time of the current offense, while the defe					
	ocation was an impaired driving revocation under G.S. 20-28.2(a).				
 ☐ (use for offenses committed on or after December 1, 2015) pursuant to G.S. 20-28(a1). ☐ h. caused, by the defendant's impaired driving at the time of the current offense, serious injury to another person. 					
 i. drove, at the time of the current offense, while a child under the age of 18 years was in the vehicle. i. drove, at the time of the current offense, while a person with the mental development of a child under the age of 18 years 					
was in the vehicle.					
k. drove, at the time of the current offense, while a person with a physical disability preventing unaided exit from the vehicle					
was in the vehicle.					
2. Therefore, the following level of punishment shall be imposed:a. Aggravated Level One punishment, because three or more grossly aggravating factors in No. 1 apply to this defendant.					
(NOTE: Each prior conviction is a separate grossly aggravating factor.)					
☐ b. Level One punishment, because	,				
grossly aggravating factor No. 1.i., 1.j., or 1.k. applie					
_	1 (other than grossly aggravating factor No. 1.i., 1.j., or 1.k.) apply to				
this defendant. (NOTE: Each prior conviction is a separate grossly aggravatir	ng factor \				
	ravating factor in No. 1 (other than grossly aggravating factor No. 1.i.,				
1.j., or 1.k.) applies to this defendant.	.aramig lactor in rice i (ethor man greech) aggraramig lactor rice inin				
3. There are no grossly aggravating factors.					
II. AGGRAVATING AND MITIGATING	G FACTORS - G.S. 20-179(d) AND (e)				
AGGRAVATING FACTORS - G.S. 20-179(d):					
(NOTE: Except for the factors in subdivisions 8 and 9 below, the conduct co	onstituting the aggravating factor must occur during the same transaction or				
occurrence as this impaired driving offense.) 1. The defendant's faculties were grossly impaired at the time the defendant was driving.					
2. The defendant had an alcohol concentration of at least 0.15 within a relevant time after the driving.					
3. The driving of the defendant was especially reckless.					
4. The driving of the defendant was especially dangerous.					
5. The negligent driving of the defendant led to an accident causing property damage of \$1,000.00 or more, or property damage of					
any amount to a vehicle seized pursuant to G.S. 20-28.3.					
 6. The negligent driving of the defendant led to an accident causing personal injury. 7. The defendant was driving while the defendant's drivers license was revoked. 					
Original - File					
Material opposite unmarked squares is to be disregarded as surplusage. (Over)					
ACC CD 211 Day 12/15 @ 2015 Administrative Office of the Courts	,				

AOC-CR-311, Rev. 12/15, © 2015 Administrative Office of the Courts

1 1 1	five (5) yea a. all we b. all we c. at lea offen fore the fore the the The defend The defend The defend The defend	rs of this offense, and ere offenses for which at least three (3) points were ere offenses for which the defendant's drivers licens ast one was an offense for which at least three (3) p se for which the defendant's drivers license was sul	assignose was soints wolving nvolving eeding volving 5. 20-21	subject to revocation. ere assigned under G.S. 20-16 and at least one was an revocation. g impaired driving that occurred more than seven (7) years while fleeing or attempting to elude apprehension. at least 30 m.p.h. over the legal limit.
1	4. There are r	no aggravating factors.		
		TORS - G.S. 20-179(e):		
		e factors in subdivisions 4, 6, 6A, and 7 below, the condu- npaired driving offense.	ct consti	tuting the mitigating factor must occur during the same transaction or
	1. There was		ulting so	olely from alcohol; and, the defendant's alcohol concentration
		a slight impairment of the defendant's faculties resu	ulting so	lely from alcohol; and, no chemical test was made available
		of the defendant was safe and lawful except for the		
Ш				motor vehicle offense for which at least four points are ject to revocation within five (5) years of the date of this
П		ment of the defendant's faculties was caused prima	rilv bv a	lawfully prescribed drug for an existing medical condition,
		ount of the medical drug taken was within the preso		
	facility for a	ssessment and has voluntarily participated in any t		oluntarily submitted himself/herself to a mental health nt recommended by such facility, if such treatment was
□ 6	recommend a The defend		mnlied v	with its recommendations, and simultaneously maintained
	60 days of		as prove	n by a continuous alcohol monitoring system of a type
	7. Additional t	actors that mitigate the seriousness of this offense:	·	
	8. There are r	no mitigating factors.		
		DETERMINATION (NOTE: Che		
		•	•	igating factors marked above. Therefore, Level Three
	2. There are no aggravating or mitigating factors. Therefore, Level Four punishment shall be imposed.3. The aggravating factors marked above are substantially counterbalanced by the mitigating factors marked above. Therefore, Level			
	Four punishment shall be imposed. 4. The mitigating factors marked above substantially outweigh any aggravating factors marked above. Therefore, Level Five			
	4. The mitigating factors marked above substantially outweigh any aggravating factors marked above. Therefore, Level Five punishment shall be imposed.5. No findings of mitigating or aggravating factors were made because the defendant is an aider and abettor. Therefore, Level Five			
	punishment shall be imposed.			
Date		Name Of Presiding Judge (type or print)		Signature Of Presiding Judge
		·		