STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	File No.	
County	In The General Court Of Justice	
STATE VERSUS	IMPAIRED DRIVING	
Name Of Defendant	DETERMINATION OF SENTENCING FACTORS (For Offenses Committed Before Dec. 1, 2011) G.S. 20-179	
trial and sentencing hearing in District Court, the Court determine	riving (G.S. 20-138.1). Based upon the evidence presented at the es that (1) the State has proved the grossly aggravating factors and nd (2) the defendant has proved the mitigating factors marked below	
Superior Court: The defendant has been convicted of impaired at the trial and sentencing hearing in Superior Court, (1) the trier aggravating factors and aggravating factors marked below beyor grossly aggravating factors and aggravating factors, and (2) the	of fact has determined that the State has proved the grossly nd a reasonable doubt, or the defendant has admitted to these Court determines that the defendant has proved the mitigating factors gravating factor No. 1.a., 1.b., 1.c., 1.d., 1.e., or 1.f. is marked below, ravating factor beyond a reasonable doubt. If aggravating factor	
I. GROSSLY AGGRAVATING	G FACTORS - G.S. 20-179(c)	
 (NOTE: Either Nos. 1 and 2 or No. 3 apply in each case except aiders and abettors. If No. 1 is checked, No. 2.a. or No. 2.b. must also be checked.) 1. The defendant a. has been convicted of a prior offense involving impaired driving which conviction occurred within seven (7) years before the date of this offense. 		
the defendant is being sentenced but before or contem	riving which conviction occurred after the date of the offense for which noraneously with the sentencing in this case.	
Court, the appeal has been withdrawn or the case has for the case has not been held pursuant to G.S. 20-38.	volving impaired driving, the conviction was appealed to Superior been remanded back to District Court, and a new sentencing hearing .7. (Applies to offenses committed on or after August 30, 2007.)	
after August 30, 2007.) g. drove, at the time of the current offense, while the defe	evel One punishment is required.) (Applies to offenses committed on or endant's drivers license was revoked under G.S. 20-28 and the	
revocation was an impaired driving revocation under G	e of the current offense, serious injury to another person.	
 i. drove, at the time of the current offense, while a child under the age of 16 years was in the vehicle. 2. Therefore, the following level of punishment shall be imposed: 		
a. Level One punishment, because at least two grossly a (NOTE: Each prior conviction is a separate grossly aggravated)		
 b. Level Two punishment, because only one grossly agg 3. There are no grossly aggravating factors. 	ravating factor in No. 1 applies to this defendant.	
II. AGGRAVATING AND MITIGATING FACTORS - G.S. 20-179(d) AND (e)		
AGGRAVATING FACTORS - G.S. 20-179(d):		
	constituting the aggravating factor must occur during the same transaction or	
 1. The defendant's faculties were grossly impaired at the time the defendant was driving. 2. The defendant had an alcohol concentration of at least 0.16 0.15 (use for offenses committed on or after December 1, 2007) within a relevant time after the driving. 		
 3. The driving of the defendant was especially reckless. 4. The driving of the defendant was especially dangerous. 		
 A. The driving of the defendant was especially dangerous. 5. The negligent driving of the defendant led to an accident causing property damage of \$1,000.00 or more, or property damage of any amount to a vehicle seized pursuant to G.S. 20-28.3. 		
6. The negligent driving of the defendant led to an accident cause		
Material opposite unmarked squares	ise was revoked. al - File s is to be disregarded as surplusage. ver)	

AOC-CR-311, Rev. 12/07, © 2007 Administrative Office of the Courts

8	8. The defendant had at least two prior convictions of a motor vehicle offens	e not involving impaired driving, which occurred within	
	five (5) years of this offense, and a. all were offenses for which at least three (3) points were assigned u	inder $G S = 20.16$	
	 b. all were offenses for which the defendant's drivers license was subj 		
	c. at least one was an offense for which at least three (3) points were offense for which the defendant's drivers license was subject to rev		
	The defendant had at least one prior conviction of an offense involving im before the date of this offense.	paired driving that occurred more than seven (7) years	
	10. The defendant has been convicted under G.S. 20-141.5 of speeding while fleeing or attempting to elude apprehension.		
	11. The defendant has been convicted under G.S. 20-141 of speeding by at least 30 m.p.h. over the legal limit.		
	12. The defendant passed a stopped school bus in violation of G.S. 20-217.		
[] 13	13. Additional factors that aggravate the seriousness of this offense:		
	14. There are no aggravating factors.		
	TIGATING FACTORS - G.S. 20-179(e):		
	TE: Except for the factors in subdivisions 4, 6, and 7 below, the conduct constituting the	e mitigating factor must occur during the same transaction or	
	occurrence as this impaired driving offense.		
	 There was a slight impairment of the defendant's faculties resulting solely did not exceed 0.09 at any relevant time after the driving. 		
	There was a slight impairment of the defendant's faculties resulting solely to the defendant.	from alcohol; and, no chemical test was made available	
	3. The driving of the defendant was safe and lawful except for the impairment		
4	 The defendant has a safe driving record, having no convictions of any more assigned under G.S. 20-16 or for which the defendant's license is subject offense. 		
5	5. The impairment of the defendant's faculties was caused primarily by a law and the amount of the medical drug taken was within the prescribed dosa		
6	 After being charged in this case with impaired driving, the defendant volume facility for assessment and has voluntarily participated in any treatment re- recommended. 		
☐ 6a	6a. The defendant completed a substance abuse assessment, complied with its recommendations, and simultaneously maintained 60 days of continuous abstinence from alcohol consumption, as proven by a continuous alcohol monitoring system of a type		
	approved by the Division of Adult Correction and Juvenile Justice. (<i>Applies to offenses committed on or after December 1, 2007.</i>) 7. Additional factors that mitigate the seriousness of this offense:		
Пв	8. There are no mitigating factors.		
	DETERMINATION - G.S. 20-	179(f):	
	(NOTE: Check only one.)		
_ 1	 The aggravating factors marked above substantially outweigh any mitigating factors marked above. Therefore, Level Three punishment shall be imposed. 		
	2. There are no aggravating or mitigating factors. Therefore, Level Four punishment shall be imposed.		
3	3. The aggravating factors marked above are substantially counterbalanced by the mitigating factors marked above. Therefore, Level Four punishment shall be imposed.		
4	 The mitigating factors marked above substantially outweigh any aggravat punishment shall be imposed. 	ing factors marked above. Therefore, Level Five	
5	 5. No findings of mitigating or aggravating factors were made because the defendant is an aider and abettor. Therefore, Level Five punishment shall be imposed. 		
Date	• • •	nature Of Presiding Judge	
AOC	Material opposite unmarked squares is to be disre DC-CR-311, Side Two, Rev. 12/07, © 2007 Administrative Office of the Courts	egarded as surplusage.	