STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	File No.		
County	In The General Court Of Justice ☐ District ☐ Superior Court Division		
STATE VERSUS	IMPAIRED DRIVING		
Name Of Defendant			
	DETERMINATION OF SENTENCING FACTORS		
	(For Offenses Committed On Or After Dec. 1, 2011)		
	G.S. 20-179		
 □ District Court: Based upon the evidence presented at the trial and sentencing hearing in District Court, the Court determines that (1) the State has proved the grossly aggravating factors and aggravating factors marked below beyond a reasonable doubt and (2) the defendant has proved the mitigating factors marked below by a preponderance of the evidence. □ Superior Court: Based upon the evidence presented at the trial and sentencing hearing in Superior Court, (1) the trier of fact has determined that the State has proved the grossly aggravating factors and aggravating factors marked below beyond a reasonable doubt, or the defendant has admitted to these grossly aggravating factors and aggravating factors, and (2) the Court determines that the defendant has proved the mitigating factors marked below by a preponderance of the evidence. If grossly aggravating factor No. 1.a., 1.b., 1.c., 1.d., 1.e., or 1.f. is marked below, the Court determines that the State has proved that grossly aggravating factor 			
beyond a reasonable doubt. If aggravating factor No. 8 or 9 is ma aggravating factor beyond a reasonable doubt.	arked below, the Court determines that the State has proved that		
	G FACTORS - G.S. 20-179(c)		
(NOTE: Either Nos. 1 and 2 or No. 3 apply in each case except aiders and a			
1. The defendant a. has been convicted of a prior offense involving impaired.	d driving which conviction occurred within seven (7) years before the		
date of this offense. b. has two three or more convictions as described in No. 1.a. c. has been convicted of an offense involving impaired driving which conviction occurred after the date of the offense for which the defendant is being sentenced but before or contemporaneously with the sentencing in this case. d. has two three or more convictions as described in No. 1.c. e. has a prior conviction in District Court for an offense involving impaired driving, the conviction was appealed to Superior Court, the appeal has been withdrawn or the case has been remanded back to District Court, and a new sentencing hearing for the case has not been held pursuant to G.S. 20-38.7. f. has two three or more convictions as described in No. 1.e. g. drove, at the time of the current offense, while the defendant's drivers license was revoked (use for offenses committed prior to December 1, 2015) under G.S. 20-28 and the revocation was an impaired driving revocation under G.S. 20-28.2(a). (use for offenses committed on or after December 1, 2015) pursuant to G.S. 20-28(a1). h. caused, by the defendant's impaired driving at the time of the current offense, while a child under the age of 18 years was in the vehicle. j. drove, at the time of the current offense, while a person with the mental development of a child under the age of 18 years was in the vehicle. k. drove, at the time of the current offense, while a person with a physical disability preventing unaided exit from the vehicle was in the vehicle. 2. Therefore, the following level of punishment shall be imposed: a. Aggravated Level One punishment, because three or more grossly aggravating factors in No. 1 apply to this defendant. (NOTE: Each prior conviction is a separate grossly aggravating factor.) b. Level One punishment, because grossly aggravating factor in No. 1 (other than grossly aggravating factor No. 1.i., 1.j., or 1.k.) applies to this defendant.			
3. There are no grossly aggravating factors.			
II. AGGRAVATING AND MITIGATING	G FACTORS - G.S. 20-179(d) AND (e)		
any amount to a vehicle seized pursuant to G.S. 20-28.3. 6. The negligent driving of the defendant led to an accident caus 7. The defendant was driving while the defendant's drivers licen. Origina Material opposite unmarked squares	ne defendant was driving. within a relevant time after the driving. sing property damage of \$1,000.00 or more, or property damage of sing personal injury.		

AOC-CR-311, Rev. 12/15, © 2015 Administrative Office of the Courts

	five (5) years o a. all were o b. all were o c. at least o offense fo The defendant before the date The defendant The defendant The defendant	f this offense, and offenses for which at least three (3) points were assigned offenses for which the defendant's drivers license was some was an offense for which at least three (3) points we can which the defendant's drivers license was subject to	subject to revocation. ere assigned under G.S. 20-16 and at least one was an revocation. I impaired driving that occurred more than seven (7) years while fleeing or attempting to elude apprehension. at least 30 m.p.h. over the legal limit.	
14	4. There are no a	ggravating factors.		
		RS - G.S. 20-179(e):		
	L: Except for the fac rence as this impair		uting the mitigating factor must occur during the same transaction or	
	1. There was a sl		lely from alcohol; and, the defendant's alcohol concentration	
	to the defendar	nt.	lely from alcohol; and, no chemical test was made available	
		he defendant was safe and lawful except for the impair has a safe driving record, having no convictions of any		
ш -			ject to revocation within five (5) years of the date of this	
			lawfully prescribed drug for an existing medical condition,	
		t of the medical drug taken was within the prescribed d		
		rged in this case with impaired driving, the defendant v ssment and has voluntarily participated in any treatmer		
☐ 6a	a. The defendant	completed a substance abuse assessment, complied v	vith its recommendations, and simultaneously maintained	
		tinuous abstinence from alcohol consumption, as prove e Division of Adult Correction and Juvenile Justice.	n by a continuous alcohol monitoring system of a type	
	7. Additional factors that mitigate the seriousness of this offense:			
8	8. There are no m	nitigating factors.		
		DETERMINATION - G.S. (NOTE: Check only o		
		g factors marked above substantially outweigh any miti	,	
_ ,	punishment shall be imposed.			
	 There are no aggravating or mitigating factors. Therefore, Level Four punishment shall be imposed. The aggravating factors marked above are substantially counterbalanced by the mitigating factors marked above. Therefore, Level Four punishment shall be imposed. 			
	4. The mitigating factors marked above substantially outweigh any aggravating factors marked above. Therefore, Level Five			
	punishment shall be imposed. 5. No findings of mitigating or aggravating factors were made because the defendant is an aider and abettor. Therefore, Level Five			
D-4:	punishment sh		Cinnature Of Desidies Index	
Date		Name Of Presiding Judge (type or print)	Signature Of Presiding Judge	