agency recording under a law enforcement agency conclusions of law:	Chapter 132 of the General abused its discretion in der <i>(date)</i> , the plaintiff name	Court Judge on review Statutes. Following a sying the request for d FINDINGS OF ed above applied to	OF DISCL ENFORCI from the denia hearing at whi isclosure, the C	In The General Court Of Justice Superior Court Division REVIEW OF DENIAL OR DELAY LOSURE OF CUSTODIAL LAW EMENT AGENCY RECORDING G.S. 132-1.4A(e) al of disclosure of a custodial law enforcement ich the Court considered whether the custodial Court makes the following findings of fact and
Name Of Defendant   This matter came before agency recording under law enforcement agency conclusions of law:   1. On	the undersigned Superior ( Chapter 132 of the General abused its discretion in der <i>(date)</i> , the plaintiff name	Court Judge on review Statutes. Following a sying the request for d FINDINGS OF ed above applied to	OF DISCL ENFORCI from the denia hearing at whi isclosure, the C	LOSURE OF CUSTODIAL LAW EMENT AGENCY RECORDING G.S. 132-1.4A(e) al of disclosure of a custodial law enforcement ich the Court considered whether the custodial
This matter came before agency recording under law enforcement agency conclusions of law:	the undersigned Superior ( Chapter 132 of the General abused its discretion in der <i>(date)</i> , the plaintiff name	Court Judge on review Statutes. Following a sying the request for d FINDINGS OF ed above applied to	OF DISCL ENFORCI from the denia hearing at whi isclosure, the C	LOSURE OF CUSTODIAL LAW EMENT AGENCY RECORDING G.S. 132-1.4A(e) al of disclosure of a custodial law enforcement ich the Court considered whether the custodial
This matter came before agency recording under law enforcement agency conclusions of law:	the undersigned Superior ( Chapter 132 of the General abused its discretion in der <i>(date)</i> , the plaintiff name	Statutes. Following a bying the request for d FINDINGS OF ed above applied to	ENFORCI from the denia hearing at whi isclosure, the C	EMENT AGENCY RECORDING G.S. 132-1.4A(e al of disclosure of a custodial law enforcement ich the Court considered whether the custodial
This matter came before agency recording under law enforcement agency conclusions of law:	Chapter 132 of the General abused its discretion in der <i>(date)</i> , the plaintiff name	Statutes. Following a bying the request for d FINDINGS OF ed above applied to	from the denia hearing at whi isclosure, the C	G.S. 132-1.4A(e) I of disclosure of a custodial law enforcement ich the Court considered whether the custodial
agency recording under a law enforcement agency conclusions of law:	Chapter 132 of the General abused its discretion in der <i>(date)</i> , the plaintiff name	Statutes. Following a bying the request for d FINDINGS OF ed above applied to	hearing at whi isclosure, the C	I of disclosure of a custodial law enforcement ich the Court considered whether the custodial
agency recording under a law enforcement agency conclusions of law:	Chapter 132 of the General abused its discretion in der <i>(date)</i> , the plaintiff name	Statutes. Following a bying the request for d FINDINGS OF ed above applied to	hearing at whi isclosure, the C	ich the Court considered whether the custodial
2. On Refused to disc which the plain		d above applied to		
2. On Refused to disc which the plain				
2. On Refused to disc which the plain				
Refused to disc which the plain				ent agency) for the disclosure of a recording.
Refused to disc which the plain		(		
Refused to disc which the plain				
which the plain				
	close the recording and prov tiff has filed with this Court.	vided the plaintiff with	a written stater	nent of the reason(s) for the denial, a copy of
Eailed to provid		more than three busir	ess davs after	the plaintiff's request for disclosure.
			-1(-) f 41	
	enforcement agency specifi			
G.S. 132-1.4A		cording is not a perso	n autnonzed to	receive disclosure pursuant to
	,	otherwise confidential	or exempt fron	n disclosure or release under State or federal law
	would reveal information re		-	
	may harm the reputation or			
	would create a serious thre		-	dministration of justice
		-	-	ninal investigation or potential internal or criminal
investigation.	is necessary to protect entre			
Other:				
agency, the distric each such person'	attorney, and all law enfor	cement agency perso	nnel whose ima	(i.e., the head of the custodial law enforcement age or voice is in the recording and the head of d an opportunity to be heard, either individually o
5 The Court conduct	ed an in-camera review of t	he recording on		data)
			(0	uale).
		- :		a in this second (
6. The recording	was made was mad	e in some portion	] was not made	e in this county.
7. Other ( <i>if applicable</i> )				·

			CO	NCLUSIONS OF L	AW							
In light of the foregoing findings of fact, (check one)												
The Court concludes that the custodial law enforcement agency abused its discretion in denying disclosure of the recording.												
The Co	ourt conclue	des that the cus	todial law enforceme	nt agency did not abu	ise its discretion in d	enying the disclos	ure of the recording.					
				ORDER								
It is therefore	ore Ordered	d that <i>(check one</i>	)									
Disclosure Granted:												
The custodial law enforcement agency shall disclose to the plaintiff the following portions of the recording: ( <i>list, and indicate if all portions</i>												
	are to be disclosed)											
0,010.0												
Disclosure Denied: The custodial law enforcement agency's decision not to disclose the recording to the plaintiff is hereby affirmed.												
SIGNATURE OF SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE												
Date		Name Of Superior	Court Judge (type or print)		Signature Of Superior O	Court Judge						
			,									