



MARTIN B. (MARTY) MCGEE
SENIOR RESIDENT SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE
JUDICIAL DISTRICT 25

COURT MANAGER II
MEGAN TRIVETTE

COURT COORDINATOR
JULIE VIA

PO BOX 70, CONCORD, NC 28026-0070
O 704-262-5516 | F 704-262-5517

QUICK REFERENCE GUIDE – Judge McGee’s AI Revised Administrative Order (12/8/2025)

Responsible AI use is permitted and welcomed. Parties may use AI tools for drafting, research, organization, and workflow support. Litigants and lawyers remain fully responsible for the authenticity, validity, reliability, accuracy, and integrity of everything they serve or file, regardless of whether AI assisted in its creation. No new certification is required for advocacy use of AI beyond existing duties under the Rules of Professional Responsibility and under N.C. R. Civ. P. 11, 26(g), 37, etc.

AI is permitted in nearly all litigation functions — disclosure triggers only when AI creates, alters, or supplies evidence.

THE BRIGHT-LINE RULE

Disclosure is required only when AI creates, alters, or supplies evidence offered as proof. No disclosure is required when AI is used solely for drafting, editing, research, formatting, organization, discovery review, or other advocacy-support functions.

DISCLOSURE REQUIRED — Evidence

AI-generated or altered images, audio, video, or documents offered as evidence	YES
AI-created summaries, timelines, or factual narratives served or introduced as proof	YES
AI-generated expert analysis, opinions, or visual demonstrations	YES
AI-assisted material relied upon as substantive evidence	YES

NO DISCLOSURE REQUIRED — Advocacy

Drafting briefs, motions, pleadings, or letters	NO
Spell-check, grammar, or editing tools	NO
Legal research or citation-support AI	NO
TAR/predictive coding used for doc. review only	NO
AI summaries or organization used internally	NO

REMEMBER: (i) No disclosure if AI affects advocacy only. (ii) Disclosure if AI affects evidence. (iii) You own what you serve or file.