REVIEW OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION PURSUANT TO N.C.G.S. 164-43

REPORT #4



SENTENCING AND POLICY ADVISORY COMMISSION MEMBERSHIP

Hon. Charlie Brown, Chairman

Chief District Court Judge

Frances Battle

Victim Assistance Network

Hon. Warren Daniel

State Senator

Louise Davis

Community Sentencing Association

Danielle Marquis Elder

Attorney General's Office

Hon. Sherri Elliott

District Court Judges' Association

Hon. John Faircloth

State Representative

Hon. Milton "Toby" Fitch, Jr.

State Senator

Willis Fowler

Post-Release Supervision & Parole Commission

Lindsey Granados

Advocates for Justice

Hon. R. Gregory Horne

Conference of Superior Court Judges

Joseph Houchin

Lieutenant Governor's Appointee

Susan Katzenelson

Private Citizen, Governor's Appointee

Chief Henry King, Jr.

Association of Chiefs of Police

Hon. Tammy Lee

Association of County Commissioners

Dr. Harvey McMurray

Academic Member

Hon. Allen McNeill

State Representative

Hon. James Mixson, III

Association of Clerks of Superior Court

Luther Moore

Retail Merchants' Association

Timothy Moose

Department of Public Safety

Hon. Fred Morrison, Jr.

Justice Fellowship

Hon. William Richardson

State Representative

Hon. Bob Steinburg, Sr.

State Senator

Calvin Suber

Commission Chairman's Appointee

Hon. Michael Waters

Conference of District Attorneys

Patrick Weede

Bar Association

Hon. Valerie Zachary

Court of Appeals

Vacant

Sheriffs' Association

NC SENTENCING AND POLICY ADVISORY COMMISSION STAFF

Michelle Hall

Executive Director

John Madler

Associate Director for Policy, Staff Attorney

Ginny Hevener

Associate Director for Research

Tamara Flinchum

Senior Research & Policy Associate

John King

Senior Research & Policy Associate

Meghan Boyd Ward

Research & Policy Associate

Melissa Lugo

Research & Policy Associate

Jennifer Lutz

Research & Policy Associate

Becky Whitaker

Research & Policy Associate

Shelley Kirk

Administrative Secretary

P.O. Box 2448 Raleigh, NC 27602 (919) 890-1470 www.NCSPAC.org

REPORT ON PROPOSED LEGISLATION PURSUANT TO G.S. 164-43

This report by the Sentencing Commission includes all bills introduced or amended through September 10, 2021. The report is submitted in conformance with the following requirements of G.S. 164-43:

- (e) Upon adoption of a system for the classification of offenses formulated pursuant to G.S. 164-41, the Commission or its successor shall review all proposed legislation which creates a new criminal offense, changes the classification of an offense, or changes the range of punishment for a particular classification, and shall make recommendations to the General Assembly.
- (f) In the case of a new criminal offense, the Commission or its successor shall determine whether the proposal places the offense in the correct classification, based upon the considerations and principles set out in G.S. 164-41. If the proposal does not assign the offense to a classification, it shall be the duty of the Commission or its successor to recommend the proper classification placement.
- (g) In the case of proposed changes in the classification of an offense or changes in the range of punishment for a classification, the Commission or its successor shall determine whether such a proposed change is consistent with the considerations and principles set out in G.S. 164-41, and shall report its findings to the General Assembly.
- (h) The Commission or its successor shall meet within 10 days after the last day for filing general bills in the General Assembly for the purpose of reviewing bills as described in subsections (e), (f) and (g). The Commission or its successor shall include in its report on a bill an analysis based on an application of the correctional population simulation model to the provisions of the bill.

A one page summary is included for each bill (or each relevant section of a bill) which either creates a new crime, changes the classification of an existing crime, or prescribes a new range of punishments. The summary provides the bill number, the short title, and a brief description. At the bottom of the summary is an analysis and a finding of whether the bill appears consistent with the Commission's classification criteria as specified in G.S. 164-41 (see following page for a description of the criteria). Following the summary is an analysis of the projected impact of the bill (a more detailed impact analysis is provided to the Fiscal Research Division). The impact estimates assume an effective date of December 1, 2021.

These summaries may not reflect the most recent bill amendments or committee substitutes. The date on which each individual summary was reviewed is shown on the bottom left hand corner of each summary page. Changes made after this date are not reflected in this report.

The bills included in this report were reviewed by the Sentencing Commission on September 10, 2021.

The fact that the Commission found a bill to be either consistent or inconsistent with the structured sentencing offense classification criteria does not imply either support for or opposition to the bill. In this report, the Commission has taken no position on the merits of any bill other than those specifically proposed by the Commission.

THE OFFENSE CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA

The Sentencing Commission was required by G.S. 164-41 to ".... classify criminal offenses into felony and misdemeanor categories on the basis of their severity." The Commission developed classification criteria to guide the classification process and to ensure that there was a systematic and rational basis for the classifications. The Commission decided that the severity of an offense should be directly related to the harm to the victim that normally results or tends to result from the criminal conduct.

The Commission defined three general types of harms: 1) harms to person (including both physical and mental injury); 2) harms to property; and 3) harms to society (violations of public order and welfare, violations of judicial or governmental operations, and/or violations of public morality). Through considerable discussion and debate, the Commission grouped these harms into a ten-level hierarchy which served as the basis for the Commission's classifications (refer to the classification criteria on the following page). Once the classification criteria was established, the Commission reviewed the individual elements of all felonies in North Carolina and assigned each felony to a specific offense class based on how closely the elements of the crime matched the classification criteria. The Commission did not apply the classification criteria to homicide and controlled substances offenses.

The purpose of establishing the classification criteria was to create a rational and consistent philosophical basis for classifying offenses; to assure proportionality in severity; and to provide a guidepost for classifying new crimes in the future.

Under the classification criteria, the most serious offense classes (A through F) primarily involve personal injury, the risk of personal injury, serious societal injury or widespread societal injury. The lower offense levels (G through I) primarily involve property loss or less serious societal injury. The degree of harm is divided into three levels; <u>injury</u> to person, property or society; <u>significant injury</u> to person, property or society; and <u>serious injury</u> to person, property or society.

The Commission also assigned misdemeanor offenses to four classes: class A1, class 1, class 2 or class 3. The Commission did not create classification criteria for misdemeanors but relied on the maximum sentences previously set by the General Assembly. Generally, crimes which had previously been punishable by over six months were made class 1 misdemeanors, those previously punishable by more than 30 days and up to six months were made class 2 misdemeanors, and those previously punishable by 30 days or less were made class 3 misdemeanors. Assaultive misdemeanors were made Class A1 misdemeanors.

In 2012 the Commission adopted a separate set of classification criteria to be used for reviewing the proposed classification of homicide offenses. These criteria resemble the Commission's harm-based offense classification criteria but rely upon factors other than harm to evaluate the severity of a homicide offense.

FELONY OFFENSE CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA*

CLASS CRITERIA

Reserved for First Degree Murder

[Reasonably tends to result or does result in:]

Serious debilitating long-term personal injury

Serious long-term personal injury

• Serious long-term or widespread societal injury

 Serious infringements on property interest which also implicate physical safety concerns by use of a deadly weapon or an offense involving an occupied dwelling

Serious personal injury

Significant personal injury

Serious societal injury

Serious property loss:

Loss from the person or the person's dwelling

H • Serious property loss:

Loss from any structure designed to house or secure any activity or property Loss occasioned by the taking or removing of property Loss occasioned by breach of trust, formal or informal

- Personal injury
- Significant societal injury
- Serious property loss:

All other felonious property loss

Societal injury

All other misdemeanors

Societal injury includes violations of public morality, judicial or government operations, and/or public order and welfare.

Note: The criteria were not used in the classification of the homicide offenses or drug offenses.

^{*} Personal injury includes both physical and mental injury.

HOMICIDE OFFENSE CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA

CLASS	CRITERIA (FELONY)		
	(TELOWI)		
Α	• Intentional killing with premeditation and deliberation or a legally recognized substitute for premeditation and deliberation.		
В	Intentional killing with malice.		
D	Intentional killing with a partial legal excuse.		
E	• Unintentional killing by criminal or culpable negligence with aggravating circumstances.		
F	Unintentional killing by criminal or culpable negligence.		
Н	Unintentional killing by motor vehicle involving a serious traffic violation.		
	(MISDEMEANOR)		
A1	Unintentional killing by motor vehicle involving a traffic violation.		

NORTH CAROLINA SENTENCING AND POLICY ADVISORY COMMISSION REPORT #4 ON PROPOSED LEGISLATION – SUMMARY OF FINDINGS September 10, 2021

Bill	Short Title	Provision	Proposal	Finding	Commentary	Page
SB 711	NC Compassionate Care Act [Ed. 5]	G.S. 90-113.121(a)	G	Inconsistent		<u>1</u>
		G.S. 90-113.121(b)	Н	Inconsistent	Would be consistent with a Class I felony.	<u>2</u>
		G.S. 90-113.121(d)	Н	Inconsistent	Would be consistent with a Class I felony.	<u>3</u>
		G.S. 90-113.121(f)	ı	Inconsistent		<u>5</u>
		G.S. 90-113.121(g)	One class higher plus 12 months	Inconsistent		<u>6</u>

ANALYSIS OF BILL TO CREATE A NEW OFFENSE (PREPARED PURSUANT TO G.S. 164-43)

В	ILL NUMBER/SHORT TITLE: SB 711 – NC Compassionate Care Act [Ed. 5]		
STATU	TE		
§ 90-1	13.121. Violations; penalties; and enhanced sentence for trafficking related to medical cannabis.		
DESCR	IPTION		
	ction (a):		
	on who		
1.			
2.	cannabis		
3.	in violation of Article 5H of Chapter 90 of the General Statutes, the North Carolina Compassionate Care Act.		
4.	at a medical cannabis center or production facility.		
_	OSED OFFENSE CLASS		
Class G	G felony.		
ANALY	'SIS		
The Ser	ntencing Commission classified offenses which reasonably tend to result or do result in serious property loss		
from th	e person or from the person's dwelling as Class G felonies.		
The crit	teria were not used in the classification of the drug offenses. The Commission reviews proposed controlled		
substar	nces classifications by comparison to existing offenses.		
This bill	I define a complia se manificana se defined in C.C. 00 97/46)		
	l defines cannabis as marijuana as defined in G.S. 90-87(16). ana and tetrahydrocannabinols are Schedule VI controlled substances. (G.S. 90-94)		
-	acture, delivery, or possession with intent to manufacture, sell, or deliver a Schedule VI controlled		
	nce (non-trafficking amount) is a Class I felony. (G.S. 90-95(b)(2))		
	a Schedule VI controlled substance (non-trafficking amount) is a Class H felony. (G.S. 90-95(b)(2))		
04.00.			
This bill	defines a medical cannabis center as a facility owned and operated by a supplier that possesses and		
dispens	dispenses cannabis and cannabis-infused products to registry identification cardholders for human consumption		
and a p	roduction facility as a facility owned and operated by a supplier that cultivates, possesses, and produces		
cannab	is and cannabis-infused products.		
FINDIN	NGS		
	Dill is sensitated with the Offense Charification Criteria		
	Bill is consistent with the Offense Classification Criteria.		

DATE OF REVIEW: 09/10/2021 BILL CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

Bill is **inconsistent** with the Offense Classification Criteria.

Offense Classification Criteria are not applicable.

ANALYSIS OF BILL TO CREATE A NEW OFFENSE (PREPARED PURSUANT TO G.S. 164-43)

BILL NUMBER/SHORT TITLE: SB 711 – NC Compassionate Care Act [Ed. 5] (cont'd)

STATUTE

§ 90-113.121. Violations; penalties; and enhanced sentence for trafficking related to medical cannabis.

DESCRIPTION

Subsection (b):

A person who

- 1. creates, sells, delivers, or possesses with intent to sell or deliver
- 2. counterfeit cannabis
- 3. in violation of Article 5H of Chapter 90 of the General Statutes, the North Carolina Compassionate Care Act,
- 4. at a medical cannabis center or production facility.

PROPOSED OFFENSE CLASS

Class H felony.

ANALYSIS

The Sentencing Commission classified offenses which reasonably tend to result or do result in serious property loss from any structure designed to house or secure any activity or property, loss occasioned by the taking or removing of property or by breach of trust, formal or informal, in personal injury, or in significant societal injury as Class H felonies.

The criteria were not used in the classification of the drug offenses. The Commission reviews proposed controlled substances classifications by comparison to existing offenses.

Creation, sale, or delivery, or possession with intent to sell or deliver a counterfeit controlled substance is a Class I felony. (G.S. 90-95(c))

FINDINGS

Bill is consistent with the Offense Classification Criteria.
Bill is inconsistent with the Offense Classification Criteria.
Offense Classification Criteria are not applicable.

This offense would be consistent with the Offense Classification Criteria for a Class I felony. The Sentencing Commission classified the creation, sale, or delivery, or possession with intent to sell or deliver a counterfeit controlled substance (G.S. 90-95(c)) as a Class I felony.

DATE OF REVIEW: 09/10/2021 BILL CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

ANALYSIS OF BILL TO CREATE A NEW OFFENSE (PREPARED PURSUANT TO G.S. 164-43)

BILL NUMBER/SHORT TITLE: SB 711 – NC Compassionate Care Act [Ed. 5] (cont'd)

STATUTE

§ 90-113.121. Violations; penalties; and enhanced sentence for trafficking related to medical cannabis.

DESCRIPTION

Subsection (d):

A person who

- 1. possesses
- 2. an amount of cannabis that exceeds 1 ½ ounces
- 3. in violation of Article 5H of Chapter 90 of the General Statutes, the North Carolina Compassionate Care Act.
- 4. at a medical cannabis center or production facility.

PROPOSED OFFENSE CLASS

Class H felony.

ANALYSIS

The Sentencing Commission classified offenses which reasonably tend to result or do result in serious property loss from any structure designed to house or secure any activity or property, loss occasioned by the taking or removing of property or by breach of trust, formal or informal, in personal injury, or in significant societal injury as Class H felonies.

The criteria were not used in the classification of the drug offenses. The Commission reviews proposed controlled substances classifications by comparison to existing offenses.

This bill would make it a Class A1 misdemeanor to possess an amount of cannabis up to 1 ½ ounces in violation of Article 5H of Chapter 90 of the General Statutes, the NC Compassionate Care Act, at a medical cannabis center or production facility.

Possession of more than 1 ½ ounces of marijuana is a Class I felony. (G.S. 90-95(c))

Possession of more than $\frac{1}{2}$ ounce but less than $\frac{1}{2}$ ounces of marijuana is a Class 1 misdemeanor. (G.S. 90-95(d)(4))

Possession of less than ½ ounce of marijuana is a Class 3 misdemeanor. (G.S. 90-95(d)(4))

FINDINGS	
	Bill is consistent with the Offense Classification Criteria.
	Bill is inconsistent with the Offense Classification Criteria.
	Offense Classification Criteria are not applicable.
This offense would be consistent with the Offense Classification Criteria for a Class I felony. The Sentencing Commission classified the possession of more than 1 ½ ounces of marijuana (G.S. 90-95(c)) as a Class I felony.	
DATE OF	F REVIEW: 09/10/2021 BILL CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

ANALYSIS OF BILL TO CREATE A NEW OFFENSE (PREPARED PURSUANT TO G.S. 164-43)

BILL NUMBER/SHORT TITLE:	SB 711 – NC Compassionate Care Act [Ed. 5] (cont'd)
STATUTE	
§ 90-113.121. Violations; penalties;	and enhanced sentence for trafficking related to medical cannabis.
DESCRIPTION	
Subsection (f):	
A person who	
 has been issued a valid regis 	stry identification card
2. who is found to be in posses	ssion
3. of cannabis	
	Chapter 90 of the General Statutes, the North Carolina Compassionate
Care Act.	
PROPOSED OFFENSE CLASS	
Class I felony.	
ANALYSIS	
The Sentencing Commission classifi	ied offenses which reasonably tend to result or do result in serious
property loss or societal injury as Cla	ass I felonies.
The criteria were not used in the cl	assification of the drug offenses. The Commission reviews proposed
controlled substances classifications	s by comparison to existing offenses.
	5
	s of marijuana is a Class I felony. (G.S. 90-95(d)(4))
	d substance is a Class I felony. (G.S. 90-95(d)(1))
-	e units of Hydromorphone, more than 100 dosage units of a
· ·	tance, or any amount of Methamphetamine, Amphetamine,
Cocaine, or Phencyclidine or derivat	ive thereof is a Class I felony. (G.S. 90-95(d)(2))
FINDINGS	
Bill is consistent with the 0	Offense Classification Criteria.

DATE OF REVIEW: 09/10/2021 BILL CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

Bill is **inconsistent** with the Offense Classification Criteria.

Offense Classification Criteria are not applicable.

ANALYSIS OF BILL TO CHANGE THE PUNISHMENT RANGE FOR A CRIME/CLASS (PREPARED PURSUANT TO G.S. 164-43)

STATUTE

§ 90-113.121. Violations; penalties; and enhanced sentence for trafficking related to medical cannabis.

DESCRIPTION

Subsection (g):

A person who

- 1. is convicted of a violation of G.S. 90-95(h)(1) (trafficking in marijuana)
- 2. and it is found that the offense was committed
 - a. at a medical cannabis facility or
 - b. with cannabis from a medical cannabis center or production facility.

PUNISHMENT RANGE

CURRENT (if applicable): Mandatory active sentence with a single minimum term of months and a maximum term of months and specified minimum fine based on the quantity of the controlled substance.

PROPOSED: One class higher than the principal felony for which the person was convicted, and an additional 12 months added to the mandatory minimum sentence. No defendant sentenced pursuant to this provision shall be sentenced at a level higher than a Class C felony.

ANALYSIS

Drug trafficking offenses are not sentenced under Structured Sentencing.

Trafficking in excess of 10 pounds but less than 50 pounds of marijuana is a Class H felony, with a minimum term of 25 months and a maximum term of 39 months active, and a fine of at least \$5,000.

Trafficking at least 50 pounds but less than 2,000 pounds of marijuana is a Class G felony, with a minimum term of 35 months and a maximum term of 51 months active, and a fine of at least \$25,000.

Trafficking at least 2,000 pounds but less than 10,000 pounds of marijuana is a Class F felony, with a minimum term of 70 months and a maximum term of 93 months active, and a fine of at least \$50,000.

Trafficking 10,000 pounds of marijuana or more is a Class D felony, with a minimum term of 175 months and a maximum term of 222 months active, and a fine of at least \$200,000.

FINDINGS

Bill is consistent with G.S. 164-41.
Bill is inconsistent with G.S. 164-41.
G.S. 164-41 is not applicable.

DATE OF REVIEW: 09/10/2021

IMPACT ANALYSIS NOT REQUESTED YET