
# NORTH CAROLINA SENTENCING AND POLICY ADVISORY COMMISSION



## STRUCTURED SENTENCING MONITORING SYSTEM

## REPORT FOR MISDEMEANORS: JANUARY THROUGH JUNE 1995

Prepared by: Kitty B. Herrin, PhD, Associate Director for Research and Information Systems Deborah Meagher, Statistical Analyst Robin L. Lubitz, Executive Director

**FEBRUARY 1996** 

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION
I. REPORTING OF SENTENCES
II. CHARACTERISTICS OF OFFENDERS       5         A. Convictions by Gender       5         B. Convictions by Age       6         C. Convictions by Race       6
III. THE PUNISHMENT IMPOSED       7         A. Overall Punishment       8         B. Type of Punishment by Offense Class       8         C. Type of Punishment by Offense Class and Prior Conviction Level       10         D. Type of Punishment by Crime Category       11         E. Consecutive Sentences       13
<ul> <li>IV. IMPOSITION OF INTERMEDIATE PUNISHMENTS</li></ul>
<ul> <li>V. COMMUNITY SERVICE</li></ul>
APPENDIX A - Misdemeanor Demographics
APPENDIX B - Map of Judicial Divisions and Districts
APPENDIX C - Intermediate and Community Punishments

#### NORTH CAROLINA SENTENCING AND POLICY ADVISORY COMMISSION

#### STRUCTURED SENTENCING MONITORING SYSTEM

#### **REPORT ON MISDEMEANORS:** JANUARY THROUGH JUNE 1995

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents an analysis of sentences imposed on misdemeanor offenders under Structured Sentencing during the first six months of 1995. Issues explored in this monitoring report include the number of convictions by month, offense class and prior conviction level, demographic characteristics of offenders, types of punishments imposed and average minimum sentences, types of Intermediate Punishments imposed and hours of community service imposed. Preliminary data reveal several important findings:

- During this reporting period, 57,772 misdemeanor offenders were convicted under Structured Sentencing.
- Offenders convicted of a Class 1 Misdemeanor Offense and classified in Prior Conviction Level I accounted for the greatest number of convictions.
- Demographic data reveals that about 81% of all misdemeanor offenders were male; more than half were under the age of 30; and approximately 49% were Black and 42% were White.
- About 15% of all misdemeanor offenders received Active Punishments; about 5% received Intermediate Punishments; and about 80% received Community Punishments.
- Active Jail sentences were most frequently imposed on offenders convicted of a Class 3 Misdemeanor Offense and classified in Prior Conviction Level III.
- Property Offenses accounted for the highest percentage of offenders receiving an Active Punishment. Drug Offenses accounted for the lowest percentage of offenders receiving an Active Punishment but accounted for the highest percentage of offenders receiving a Community Punishment.
- Of the seven types of Intermediate Punishment, Special Probation was the most frequently invoked punishment. Offenders convicted of a Class 1 Misdemeanor Offense and classified in Prior Conviction Level II were most likely to receive Special Probation as an Intermediate Punishment
- Of all misdemeanor offenders receiving community service, those convicted of a Property Offense were sentenced most frequently to community service. However, of offenders receiving community service, the most community service hours, on average, were ordered for those convicted of a Person Offense.

#### INTRODUCTION

This report covers misdemeanor offenders sentences under Structured Sentencing during the first half of 1995 (from January 1 through June 30). The report only covers cases where an offender was convicted and sentenced for a misdemeanor crime which was committed on or after October 1, 1994 (the effective date of Structured Sentencing).

The information contained in this report is based on data entered into the AOC Criminal Information System by the court clerk following the imposition of the sentence. Currently, this report covers all North Carolina counties except Mecklenburg. Information for Mecklenburg County will be included in later reports.

This report reflects the number of <u>offenders</u> sentenced, not the number of <u>offenses</u> sentenced. If a single offender was sentenced for more than one offense during a single session of court, only the most serious offense (the one with the highest Offense Class) and the most severe sentence imposed are included in this report.

<u>IMPORTANT NOTE:</u> Caution is strongly advised about drawing conclusions from the data included in this report. During the reporting period the system was in a learning and adjustment phase with the previous method of sentencing misdemeanants and the Structured Sentencing Act operating side by side. Consequently, short term sentencing patterns observed during this transitional period may not be indicative of future long term sentencing trends.


#### I. REPORTING OF SENTENCES

This section details the number of offenders sentenced for misdemeanor crimes under Structured Sentencing during the first half of 1995. It should be noted that misdemeanor Driving While Impaired offenses are not covered under Structured Sentencing. In addition, this report includes only those cases disposed of in superior or district court, not by magistrate. Finally, of all criminal traffic offenses, only Class 1 offenses are reflected in this report.

Overall, 57,772 offenders were reported. All of these offenders are included in this section (except for *Table 3*) and also in **Section II**. However, in later sections, information on 3,198 offenders was eliminated due to problems and errors with the data reported. Efforts continue to correct for these errors and to resolve initial reporting problems.

#### A. Convictions by Month

Figure A shows the number of offenders convicted under Structured Sentencing for the first six months of 1995. Further breakdowns of convictions by demographic variables are included in Appendix A.<sup>1</sup>



#### **B.** Convictions by County and Judicial Division and District

Table 1 displays the number of offenders convicted in each county and Table 2 shows the number of offenders convicted in each judicial division and district (excluding Mecklenburg County). See Appendix B for a map of judicial divisions and districts.

These tables also show whether the conviction resulted from a guilty plea or jury trial. As expected, the vast majority (about 99.9%) of total misdemeanor convictions resulted from a guilty plea.

## TABLE 1: CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY AND MODE OF DISPOSITION ALL MISDEMEANORS

COUNTY AND MODE OF	MODE OF D	ISPOSITION	
DISPOSITION	GUILTY PLEA	JURY TRIAL	TOTAL
	NUMBER	NUMBER	NUMBER
COUNTY NAME			
ALAMANCE	1184	•	1184
ALEXANDER	229		229
ALLEGHANY	-78	•	78
ANSON	360		360
ASHE	146	•	146
AVERY	43		43
BEAUFORT	594		594
BERTIE	181	•	181
BLADEN	298		298
BRUNSWICK	447	1	448
BUNCOMBE	1685	4	1689
BURKE	703		703
CABARRUS	1342		1342
CALDWELL	618	3	621
CAMDEN	25		25
CARTERET	380		380
CASWELL	201		201
CATAWBA	1164		1164
CHATHAM	267		267
CHEROKEE	125	1	126
CHOWAN	147		147
CLAY	30		30
CLEVELAND	748	1	749
COLUMBUS	408		408
CRAVEN	857	1	858
CUMBERLAND	2167	1	2168
CURRITUCK	82	1	83
DARE	245		245
DAVIDSON	1216		1216
DAVIE	235		235
DUPLIN	420		420
DURHAM	1862		1862
EDGECOMBE	793		793
FORSYTH	2689		2689
FRANKLIN	450		450
GASTON	1304	1	1305
GATES	48		48
GRAHAM	35	•	35
GRANVILLE	479	•	479
GREENE	91		91
GUILFORD	2162		
		1	2163
HALIFAX	737	•	737
HARNETT	705	•	705
HAYWOOD	404	•	404
HENDERSON	447	•	447
HERTFORD	306	•	306
HOKE	408	•	408
HYDE	53	1	54
IREDELL	1013	•	1013
JACKSON	136		136

COUNTY AND MODE OF DISPOSITION	MODE OF D	ISPOSITION	
	GUILTY PLEA	JURY TRIAL	TOTAL
	NUMBER	NUMBER	NUMBER
COUNTY NAME			
JOHNSTON	910		910
JONES	59	•	59
LEE	711	•	711
LENOIR	707	2	709
LINCOLN	391		391
MACON	82		82
MADISON	50		50
MARTIN	332	2	334
MCDOWELL	205		205
MITCHELL	45		45
MONTGOMERY	238		238
MOORE	571	2	573
NASH	835		835
NEW HANOVER	1663	1	1664
NORTHAMPTON	259		259
ONSLOW	843		843
ORANGE	620		620
PAMLICO	60		60
PASQUOTANK	431	1	432
PENDER	236		236
PERQUIMANS	75		75
PERSON	341		341
PITT	1038	3	1041
POLK	95	•	95
RANDOLPH	566		566
RICHMOND	543		543
ROBESON	1951		1951
ROCKINGHAM	793		793
ROWAN	1019		1019
RUTHERFORD	556		556
SAMPSON	553		553
SCOTLAND	677		677
STANLY	396		396
STOKES	195		195
SURRY	500	· · ·	500
SWAIN	61	1	62
TRANSYLVANIA	232		232
TYRRELL	49		49
UNION	944	. 1	945
VANCE	702		702
WAKE	3819	. 3	
		3	3822
WARREN	221	•	221
WASHINGTON	146	•	146
WATAUGA	133	•	133
WAYNE	865	•	865
WILKES	556	•	556
WILSON	517		517
YADKIN	150		150
YANCEY	52		52
TOTAL	57740	32	57772

# TABLE 2:CONVICTIONS BYJUDICIAL DIVISION AND DISTRICT

#### ALL MISDEMEANORS

	VISION/DISTRICT DISPOSITION		E OF SITION	
		GUILTY PLEA	JURY TRIAL	TOTAL
		NUMBER	NUMBER	NUMBER
JUDICIAL DIVISION	JUDICIAL DISTRICT			
FIRST	1 .	1053	2	1055
	2	1174	3	1177
	3A	1038	3	1041
	3В	1297	1	1298
	4A	1032		1032
	4B	843		843
	5	1899	1	1900
	6A	737		737
	6B	746		746
	7A	835		835
	7B	1310		1310
	8A	798	2	800
	8B	865		865
	SUB-TOTAL	13627	12	13639
SECOND	JUDICIAL DISTRICT			
	9	1852		1852
	9A	542		542
	10	3819	3	3822
	11	2326		2326
	12	2167	1	2168
	13	1153	1	1154
	14	1862		1862
	15A	1184		1184
	15B	887		887
	16A	1085		1085
	16B	1951		1951
	SUB-TOTAL	18828	5	18833

JUDICIAL DI AND MODE OF	VISION/DISTRICT DISPOSITION	MODE DISPOS	E OF SITION	
		GUILTY PLEA	JURY TRIAL	TOTAL
		NUMBER	NUMBER	NUMBER
JUDICIAL DIVISION	JUDICIAL DISTRICT			
THIRD	17A .	793	.	793
	17B	695		695
	18	2162	1	2163
	19A	1342		1342
	19B	804		804
	19C	1019		1019
	20A	1474	2	1470
	20B	1340	1	1341
	21	2689		268
	22	2693		2693
	23	930		93(
	SUB-TOTAL	15941	4	1594
FOURTH	JUDICIAL DISTRICT			
	24	323		32:
	25A	1321	3	1324
	25B	1164		116
	27A	1304	1	130
	27В	1139	1	114
	28	1685	4	168
	29	1535		153
	30A	333	2	33
	30B	540		540
	SUB-TOTAL	9344	11	935
TOTAL		57740	32	57773

#### C. Convictions by Offense Class and Prior Conviction Level

Table 3 shows the distribution of convictions by Misdemeanor Offense Class and Prior Conviction Level. The greatest number of convictions fell into Offense Class 1 and into Prior Conviction Level I. Convictions containing incorrect Offense Classes, Prior Conviction Levels, or other errors were excluded from this table.

#### TABLE 3: CONVICTIONS BY OFFENSE CLASS AND PRIOR CONVICTION LEVEL

OFFENSE CLASS AND PRIOR CONVICTION	PRIC	<i>i</i> i		
LEVEL	I	II	III	TOTAL
	NUMBER	NUMBER	NUMBER	NUMBER
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:		-	·	
CLASS 1	16174	15950	4704	36828
CLASS 2	5135	3809	994	9938
CLASS 3	4342	3164	1011	8517
TOTAL	25651	22923	6709	55283


#### **II. CHARACTERISTICS OF OFFENDERS**

This section provides a breakdown of offenders by gender, race and age. Additional breakdowns of offenders by demographic characteristics are included in *Appendix A*.

Table4summarizesdemographiccharacteristics(gender, race and age) of allmisdemeanor offenders.

A. Convictions by Gender

Figure B shows that the majority of misdemeanor offenders were male (81%).



#### **B.** Convictions by Age

Figure C shows that more than half of all misdemeanor offenders were under the age of 30 (56.7%). Offenders under 21 years of age accounted for about 25% of the total number of misdemeanor offenders. Nearly 15% of all offenders were 40 years of age or older.






Figure D displays the age distribution of misdemeanor offenders. The volume of offenders peaks in the late teens and early twenties and then declines as age increases. It should be noted that data for age was missing for approximately 4,000 offenders.





#### C. Convictions by Race

Figure E shows that 49.4% of misdemeanor offenders were Black and 41.5% were White. Only a small percentage of offenders were Indian (1.8%), Hispanic (0.6%) or Unknown (6.6%).<sup>2</sup>

#### ALL MISDEMEANORS

	ND RACE BY			AC	3E			
AGE		<21	21-25	26-29	30-39	40-49	>49	TOTAL
		NUMBER						
GENDER	RACE					-		
MALE	ASIAN	8	2	1	2	2		15
	BLACK	4999	3609	2900	6345	2687	801	21341
	HISPANIC	56	83	59	85	10	3	296
	AMERICAN INDIAN	219	137	91	157	63	28	695
	UNKNOWN	238	229	195	250	71	46	1029
	WHITE	5230	3196	2348	4743	1770	874	18161
	SUB-TOTAL	10750	7256	5594	11582	4603	1752	41537
FEMALE	RACE							
	ASIAN				· .	1		1
	BLACK	1113	918	799	1577	475	134	5016
	HISPANIC	5	8	3	7	1		24
	AMERICAN INDIAN	52	39	33	47	16	7	194
	UNKNOWN	31	19	24	44	15	6	139
	WHITE	1027	761	549	1225	388	176	4126
	SUB-TOTAL	2228	1745	1408	2900	896	323	9500
TOTAL	Ξ.	12978	9001	7002	14482	5499	2075	51037


#### **III. THE PUNISHMENT IMPOSED**

This section details the type of punishment imposed (Active Punishment, Intermediate Punishment, or Community Punishment). It also shows the average minimum sentence imposed and the average actual time served for those offenders who received an Active Punishment. Additional breakdowns of punishments by demographic characteristics are shown in *Appendix A*. This section excludes convictions with reporting errors. In an effort to provide information to local and state ommunity corrections agencies, a distinction has been made between misdemeanor offenders who received sentences of 90 days or less and those who received sentences greater than 90 days.<sup>3</sup>

#### A. Overall Punishment

Figure F shows that approximately 15% of all misdemeanor offenders received Active Punishments, about 5% received Intermediate Punishments, and about 80% received Community Punishments.

Figure G shows that about 84% of all offenders receiving an Active Punishment received a sentence of 90 days or less. Approximately 16% of all offenders receiving an Active Punishment received a sentence greater than 90 days.




# **B.** Type of Punishment by Offense Class

Table 5 shows the type of punishment received by Misdemeanor Offense Class. Table 5a and Table 5b indicate the type of punishment received by Misdemeanor Offense Class for those offenders sentenced to 90 days or less and those who received sentences greater than 90 days, respectively.

For all misdemeanors, *Table 5* shows that most offenders,

regardless of Offense Class, received Community Punishments. As expected, the greatest percentage (16.6%) of offenders receiving an Active Punishment fell in Misdemeanor Offense Class 1. However, Table 5a (representing those offenders who received sentences of 90 days or less) shows that a slightly



higher percentage of offenders in Misdemeanor Offense Class 3 (15.1%) received an Active Punishment than those in Misdemeanor Offense Class 1 (14.3%).

The tables also show the average minimum sentence imposed and the average actual time served by Offense Class for those offenders who received an active sentence. As *Tables 5* and *5a* indicate, the average minimum sentence imposed and the average actual time served increases as the Offense Class increases.

#### TABLE 5: PUNISHMENT BY OFFENSE CLASS

#### ALL MISDEMEANORS

OFFENSE CLASS AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF ACTIVE SENTENCES			DISPOSI	TION	*	MINIMUM ACTIVE	CREDIT FOR TIME			
	ACTI	ACTIVE		INTERMEDIATE		ITY	SENTENCE (DAYS)	SERVED (DAYS)	SERVED (DAYS)	TOTAL
	NUMBER	8	NUMBER	÷	NUMBER	ŧ	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:									4	
CLASS 1	6109	16.6	2352	6.4	28343	77.0	52.7	2.3	50.4	36804
CLASS 2	1020	10.3	324	3.3	8589	86.5	28.8	1.6	27.2	9933
CLASS 3	1275	15.0	128	1.5	7110	83.5	9.4	0.9	8.5	8513
TOTAL	8404	15.2	2804	5.1	44042	79.7	43.2	2.0	41.2	55250

#### TABLE 5a: PUNISHMENT BY OFFENSE CLASS

#### SENTENCES OF 90 DAYS OR LESS

OFFENSE CLASS AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF ACTIVE SENTENCES			DISPOSI	TION			CREDIT FOR TIME	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME		
	ACTIVE		INTERME	INTERMEDIATE		VITY	SENTENCE (DAYS)	SERVED (DAYS)	SERVED (DAYS)	TOTAL
	NUMBER	8	NUMBER	4	NUMBER	ŧ	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN N	NUMBER
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:										
CLASS 1	4723	14.3	1869	5.7	26385	80.0	33.3	2.1	31.2	32977
CLASS 2	1020	10.4	321	3.3	8494	86.4	28.8	1.6	27.2	9835
CLASS 3	1275	15.1	123	1.5	7049	83.4	9.4	0.9	8.5	8447
TOTAL	7018	13.7	2313	4.5	41928	81.8	28.3	1.8	26.5	51259

#### TABLE 5b: PUNISHMENT BY OFFENSE CLASS

#### SENTENCES GREATER THAN 90 DAYS

OFFENSE CLASS AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF ACTIVE SENTENCES			DISPOSI	TION		MINIMUM ACTIVE	CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED (DAYS)	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME SERVED (DAYS)		
	ACTI	VE	INTERME	INTERMEDIATE		ITY			SENTENCE (DAYS)	TOTAL
	NUMBER	ł	NUMBER	ł	NUMBER	ŧ	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:										
CLASS 1	1386	36.2	483	12.6	1958	51.2	118.9	3.1	115.8	3827
CLASS 2			3	3.1	95	96.9		- 14		98
CLASS 3			5	7.6	61	92.4				66
TOTAL	1386	34.7	491	12.3	2114	53.0	118.9	3.1	115.8	3991

## C. Type of Punishment by Offense Class and Prior Conviction Level

Table 6 shows the type of punishment received by Misdemeanor Offense Class and Prior Conviction Level. The table also displays the average minimum sentence imposed and average actual time served for each combination of Offense Class and Prior Conviction Level. In addition, Active Punishment is divided into Active Jail (offenders receiving a sentence of 90 days or less) and Active Prison (offenders receiving a sentence greater than 90 days) to further describe offenders.

The table indicates that as Prior Conviction Level increases, regardless of Offense Class, the percentage of offenders receiving Active Jail Punishment increases. Offenders convicted of a Class 3 misdemeanor and classified in Prior Conviction Level III were most likely to receive an Active Jail sentence (55.5%). As expected, offenders convicted of Class 1 offenses and classified in Prior Conviction Level III were the only offenders falling in the Active Prison category. However, the reader should note that this data reflect only the most serious offenses and may receive consecutive sentences which are not considered in this table. Thus, the data displayed in this table may underestimate the actual number of offenders who received an Active Prison sentence.

Concerning the average minimum sentence imposed and the average actual time served, *Table 6* indicates that for each Misdemeanor Offense Class, the average minimum sentence imposed and the average actual time served increases as Prior Conviction Level increases.

OFFENSE CLASS CONVICTION LE AVERAGE LENGT SENTENCES	VEL AND				DISPO:	SITION	MINIMUM	CREDIT	ACTUAL SENTENCED				
		ACTI PRI	VE/ SON	ACTIVE	JAIL	INTERME	DIATE	COMMUNITY		ACTIVE SENTENCE (DAYS)	FOR TIME SERVED (DAYS)	TIME SERVED (DAYS)	- TOTAL
		NUMBER	ŧ	NUMBER	ŧ	NUMBER	ŧ	NUMBER	4	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:	PRIOR CONVICTION LEVEL												
CLASS 1	I	<b>一</b> .		805	5.0	515	3.2	14830	91.8	23.0	1.8	21.2	16150
	II			2938	18.4	1435	9.0	11577	72.6	32.4	2.3	30.1	15950
	III	1386	29.5	980	20.8	402	8.5	1936	41.2	88.0	2.6	85.4	4704
CLASS 2	I			168	3.3	52	1.0	4910	95.7	13.3	1.3	12.0	5130
	II			398	10.4	230	6.0	3181	83.5	24.5	1.4	23.0	3809
	III			454	45.7	42	4.2	498	50.1	38.4	1.9	36.5	994
CLASS 3	I			317	7.3	21	0.5	4000	92.2	5.2	1.2	4.0	4338
	II			397	12.5	87	2.7	2680	84.7	7.6	1.1	6.6	3164
	III			561	55.5	20	2.0	430	42.5	13.0	0.6	12.4	1011
TOTAL		1386	2.5	7018	12.7	2804	5.1	44042	79.7	43.2	2.0	41.2	55250

#### TABLE 6: PUNISHMENT BY OFFENSE CLASS AND PRIOR CONVICTION LEVEL

#### **D.** Type of Punishment by Crime Category

Table 7 shows the type of punishment received by crime category for all misdemeanors. Table 7a refers to those offenders receiving sentences of 90 days or less. Table 7b refers to those offenders who received sentences greater than 90 days. These tables also indicate average minimum sentence imposed and the average actual time served for offenders who received an Active Punishment.

All three tables indicate that, of the four types of crime, Property Offenses accounted for the highest percentage of offenders receiving an Active Punishment. For all misdemeanors, Property Offenses accounted for 18% of the offenders receiving an Active Punishment. Drug Offenses accounted for the lowest percentage (12.3%) of offenders receiving an Active Punishment but accounted for the highest percentage (83.2%) of offenders receiving a Community Punishment.

Tables 7 and 7a indicate that the average minimum sentence imposed and the average actual time served was longest for offenders convicted of Person Offenses. Table 7b shows that the average minimum sentence imposed and the average actual time served was longest for offenders convicted of Drug Offenses.

#### TABLE 7: PUNISHMENT BY CRIME TYPE

TYPE OF SENTENCE, CRIME TYPE AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF ACTIVE SENTENCES	DISPOSITION ACTIVE INTERMEDIATE COMMUNITY						MINIMUM ACTIVE SENTENCE (DAYS)	CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED (DAYS)	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME SERVED DAYS)	TOTAL
	NUMBER	£	NUMBER	ę	NUMBER	¥	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
CRIME TYPE										
PERSON	1493	16.5	631	7.0	6902	76.5	55.3	2.5	52.7	9026
PROPERTY	2812	18.0	977	6.3	11825	75.7	50.1	2.5	47.6	15614
DRUGS	775	12.3	282	4.5	5220	83.2	40.2	2.5	37.7	6277
PUBLIC ORDER	3324	13.7	914	3.8	20095	82.6	32.7	1.3	31.5	24333
TOTAL	8404	15.2	2804	5.1	44042	79.7	43.2	2.0	41.2	55250

#### ALL MISDEMEANORS

#### TABLE 7a: PUNISHMENT BY CRIME TYPE

#### SENTENCES OF 90 DAYS OR LESS

TYPE OF SENTENCE, CRIME TYPE AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF ACTIVE SENTENCES		VE	DISPOSI		COMMUN	IITY	MINIMUM ACTIVE SENTENCE (DAYS)	CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED (DAYS)	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME SERVED (DAYS)	TOTAL
	NUMBER	£	NUMBER	f	NUMBER	÷	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
CRIME TYPE										
PERSON	1135	13.9	522	6.4	6532	79.8	35.2	2.1	33.1	8189
PROPERTY	2228	15.5	836	5.8	11287	78.6	32.0	2.2	29.8	14351
DRUGS	673	11.3	242	4.1	5055	84.7	28.2	2.7	25.6	5970
PUBLIC ORDER	2982	13.1	713	3.1	19054	83.8	22.9	1.2	21.6	22749
TOTAL	7018	13.7	2313	4.5	41928	81.8	28.3	1.8	26.5	51259

#### TABLE 7b: PUNISHMENT BY CRIME TYPE

#### SENTENCES GREATER THAN 90 DAYS

TYPE OF SENTENCE, CRIME TYPE AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF ACTIVE SENTENCES		DISPOSITION					MINIMUM ACTIVE SENTENCE (DAYS)	CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED (DAYS)	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME SERVED (DAYS)	TOTAL
	NUMBER		NUMBER		NUMBER	*	MEAN	MEAN		
	NOMBER	•	NOMBER	ه	NOMBER	ð	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
CRIME TYPE										
PERSON	358	42.8	109	13.0	370	44.2	118.8	3.8	115.0	837
PROPERTY	584	46.2	141	11.2	538	42.6	118.9	3.7	115.2	1263
DRUGS	102	33.2	40	13.0	165	53.7	119.1	1.5	117.7	307
PUBLIC ORDER	342	21.6	201	12.7	1041	65.7	118.7	1.7	117.0	1584
TOTAL	1386	34.7	491	12.3	2114	53.0	118.9	3.1	115.8	3991

#### **E.** Consecutive Sentences

An assumption has been made throughout this report that all offenders convicted of multiple offenses received concurrent or consolidated sentences. This section attempts to estimate the impact of consecutive sentences on average minimum sentences. However, the database did not consistently identify consecutive sentences during this reporting period. Available data indicate that 37% of all active sentences were identified as either concurrent or Of these, 3% were consecutive. specifically coded as consecutive sentences. For the remainder, it was not clear whether the sentences were concurrent or consecutive.

Table 8 illustrates three different scenarios to help estimate the possible impact of consecutive sentencing on average minimum sentences. Tables 8a and 8b present these scenarios based on sentence length.

Column (1) assumes that all the above offenders received concurrent sentences: Column (2) assumes that all the above offenders received concurrent sentences except for those specifically coded as receiving consecutive sentences; and, Column (3) assumes that all the above received consecutive offenders sentences. In essence, these three scenarios give a range of possibilities for the average minimum sentence imposed -- Column (2) shows the minimum possible impact of consecutive sentences and Column (3) shows the maximum possible impact of consecutive sentences. For example, for Class 1 Misdemeanor Offenses, offenders ordered to serve consecutive sentences receive at least an average of 1.8 additional days and not more than an average of 12.2 additional days. (It should be noted that Class 3 Misdemeanor Offenses are not subject to consecutive sentencing.)

# TABLE 8: CONSECUTIVE SENTENCES(AVERAGE MINIMUM SENTENCES)

OFFENSE CLASS AND CONSECUTIVE SENTENCES	ALL CONCURRENT (DAYS) (1)	DESIGNATED CONSECUTIVE (DAYS) (2)	ALL CONSECUTIVE (DAYS) (3)
	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:			
CLASS 1	52.7	54.5	64.9
CLASS 2	28.8	30.2	34.5
CLASS 3	9.4	9.4	9.4
TOTAL	43.2	44.7	52.8

#### TABLE 8a: CONSECUTIVE SENTENCES (AVERAGE MINIMUM SENTENCES) SENTENCES OF 90 DAYS OR LESS

OFFENSE CLASS AND	ALL	DESIGNATED	ALL		
CONSECUTIVE SENTENCES	CONCURRENT (DAYS) (1)	CONSECUTIVE (DAYS) (2)	CONSECUTIVE (DAYS) (3)		
÷.	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN		
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:	1 °	6			
CLASS 1	33.3	34.4	39.3		
CLASS 2	28.8	30.2	34.5		
CLASS 3	9.4	9.4	9.4		
TOTAL	28.3	29.2	33.2		

#### TABLE 8b: CONSECUTIVE SENTENCES (AVERAGE MINIMUM SENTENCES) SENTENCES GREATER THAN 90 DAYS

OFFENSE CLASS AND CONSECUTIVE SENTENCES	ALL CONCURRENT (DAYS) (1)	DESIGNATED CONSECUTIVE (DAYS) (2)	ALL CONSECUTIVE (DAYS) (3)
	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:			
CLASS 1	118.9	123.2	152.0
CLASS 2			
CLASS 3	•		
TOTAL	118.9	123.2	152.0


#### **IV. IMPOSITION OF INTERMEDIATE PUNISHMENTS**

This section provides a summary of the type of Intermediate Punishment imposed. *It only indicates offenders who received an Intermediate Punishment*. Intermediate Punishments include Special Probation (SP), Intensive Motivational Program of Alternative Correctional Treatment (IMPACT), Intensive Supervision Probation (ISP), Electronic House Arrest (EHA), Residential Treatment Facility (RESFAC), Day Reporting Center (DAY), or Community Penalties Program (COMMPN). See *Appendix C* for a brief description of each type of Intermediate Punishment.

Data indicate that 2,804 offenders received an Intermediate Punishment during the first six months of Structured Sentencing. However, the types of Intermediate Punishments were specified for only 483 offenders. The following tables reflect total Intermediate Punishments imposed rather than the total number of offenders receiving Intermediate Punishments.<sup>4</sup> However, this limitation should have minimal impact on the data as only 22 offenders received more than one type of Intermediate Punishment during this period.

A. Type of Intermediate Punishment Imposed

*Figure* H displays the number of offenders receiving each type of Intermediate Punishment. Data show that where a specific type of Intermediate Punishment was indicated, Special Probation was the frequently most invoked punishment.



#### B. Type of Intermediate Punishment by Offense Class

Table 9 shows the frequency of Intermediate Punishments by Offense Class. Also shown are Tables 9a and 9b which display the frequency of Intermediate Punishments by Offense Class for sentences of 90 or less and sentences greater than 90 days, respectively. These tables reflect the frequencies of those Intermediate Punishments that were specified in the database.

Regardless of sentence length, the greatest number of Intermediate Punishments were imposed for Class 1 misdemeanors. For all Misdemeanor Offense Classes, Special Probation was the most frequently imposed type of Intermediate Punishment.

#### TABLE 9: TYPE OF INTERMEDIATE PUNISHMENT BY OFFENSE CLASS

NUMBER OF INTERMEDIATE CASES FALLING WITHIN MISDEMEANOR CLASS	SPECIAL PROBATION	IMPACT	RESIDENTIAL FACILITY	DAY REPORTING CENTER		ELECTRONIC MONITORING	COMMUNITY PENALTY PLAN	TOTAL
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:						c		
CLASS 1	337	7	1	10	22	49	10	436
CLASS 2	32	1		3	5	9	3	53
CLASS 3	12			1		2	1	16
TOTAL	381	8	1	14	27	60	14	505

#### ALL MISDEMEANORS

#### TABLE 9a: TYPE OF INTERMEDIATE PUNISHMENT BY OFFENSE CLASS

#### SENTENCES OF 90 DAYS OR LESS

NUMBER OF INTERMEDIATE CASES FALLING WITHIN MISDEMEANOR CLASS	SPECIAL PROBATION	IMPACT	RESIDENTIAL FACILITY	DAY REPORTING CENTER	INTENSIVE SUPERVISION PROBATION	ELECTRONIC MONITORING	COMMUNITY PENALTY PLAN	TOTAL
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:			*					
CLASS 1	237	4		9	12	34	9	305
CLASS 2	32	1		3	5	9	3	53
CLASS 3	12			1		2	1	16
TOTAL	281	5		13	17	45	13	374

#### TABLE 9b: TYPE OF INTERMEDIATE PUNISHMENT BY OFFENSE CLASS

#### **SENTENCES GREATER THAN 90 DAYS**

NUMBER OF INTERMEDIATE CASES FALLING WITHIN MISDEMEANOR CLASS	SPECIAL PROBATION	IMPACT	RESIDENTIAL FACILITY	DAY REPORTING CENTER		ELECTRONIC MONITORING		TOTAL
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:								
CLASS 1	100	3	1	1	10	15	1	131
TOTAL	100	3	1	1	10	15	1	131

#### C. Type of Intermediate Punishment by Offense Class and Prior Conviction Level

Table 10 displays the frequency of Intermediate Punishments by Offense Class and Prior Conviction Level. The frequency of Intermediate Punishments by Offense Class and Prior Conviction Level for sentences of 90 days or less and sentences greater than 90 days are shown in *Tables 10a* and *10b*, respectively. These tables reflect the frequencies of those Intermediate Punishments that were specified in the database.

*Table 10* indicates that, for all misdemeanors, Intermediate Punishments were most frequently imposed on offenders convicted of a Class 1 Misdemeanor Offense and classified in Prior Conviction Level II. Least likely to receive an Intermediate Punishment were offenders convicted of a Class 3 Misdemeanor Offense and classified in Prior Conviction Level III.

Considering offenders receiving a sentence of 90 days or less, Intermediate Punishments were most frequently imposed on offenders convicted of a Class 1 Misdemeanor Offense and having a Prior Conviction Level II. Least likely to receive an Intermediate Punishment were offenders who were convicted of a Class 3 Misdemeanor Offense and having a Prior Conviction Level III (see *Table 10a*).

Considering offenders who received a sentence greater than 90 days, Intermediate Punishments were most frequently imposed on offenders convicted of a Class 1 Misdemeanor Offense and having a Prior Conviction Level III (see *Table 10b*).

# TABLE 10: TYPE OF INTERMEDIATE PUNISHMENT BY OFFENSECLASS AND PRIOR CONVICTION LEVEL

	ERMEDIATE CASES N MISDEMEANOR OR RECORD	SPECIAL PROBATION	IMPACT	RESIDENTIAL FACILITY	INTENSIVE SUPERVISION PROBATION	ELECTRONIC MONITORING	COMMUNITY PENALTY PLAN	TOTAL
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:	PRIOR CONVICTION LEVEL							
CLASS 1	I	25	1		2	4	2	36
	II	215	3		10	26	7	268
	III	97	3	1	10	19	1	132
CLASS 2	II	26	1		4	8	2	43
	III	6			1	1	1	10
CLASS 3	II	9				2	1	13
	III	3						3
TOTAL		381	8	1	27	60	14	505

#### ALL MISDEMEANORS

#### TABLE 10a: TYPE OF INTERMEDIATE PUNISHMENT BY OFFENSE CLASS AND PRIOR CONVICTION LEVEL

#### SENTENCES OF 90 DAYS OR LESS

	TERMEDIATE CASES IN MISDEMEANOR IOR RECORD	SPECIAL PROBATION	IMPACT	RESIDENTIAL FACILITY	INTENSIVE SUPERVISION PROBATION	ELECTRONIC MONITORING		TOTAL
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:	PRIOR CONVICTION LEVEL	10						
CLASS 1	I	25	1		2	4	2	36
	II	193	3		9	26	7	245
•	III	19			1	4		24
CLASS 2	II	26	1		4	8	2	43
	III	6	• •		1	1	1	10
CLASS 3	II	9			•	2	1	13
	III	3						3
TOTAL		281	5		17	45	13	374

## TABLE 10b: TYPE OF INTERMEDIATE PUNISHMENT BY OFFENSECLASS AND PRIOR CONVICTION LEVEL

#### SENTENCES GREATER THAN 90 DAYS

	TERMEDIATE CASES IN MISDEMEANOR IOR RECORD	SPECIAL PROBATION	IMPACT	RESIDENTIAL FACILITY	INTENSIVE SUPERVISION PROBATION	ELECTRONIC MONITORING		TOTAL
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:	PRIOR CONVICTION LEVEL							
CLASS 1	II	22		· · · · ·	1	· ·		23
	III	78	3	1	9	15	1	108
TOTAL		100	3	1	10	15	1	131

#### **D.** Type of Intermediate Punishments by Crime Category

Table 11 shows the frequency of Intermediate Punishments by crime categories. In addition to displaying the frequency of Intermediate Punishments by crime categories, *Tables 11a* and *11b* also consider length of sentence imposed. These tables reflect the frequencies of those Intermediate Punishments that were specified in the database.

Regardless of sentence length, Intermediate Punishments were most frequently imposed for Misdemeanor Public Order Offenses and least likely to be imposed for Misdemeanor Drug Offenses.

#### TABLE 11: TYPE OF INTERMEDIATE PUNISHMENT BY CRIME TYPE

#### ALL MISDEMEANORS

NUMBER OF INTERMEDIATE CASES AND CRIME TYPE	SPECIAL PROBATION	IMPACT	RESIDENTIAL FACILITY	DAY REPORTING CENTER		ELECTRONIC MONITORING	COMMUNITY PENALTY PLAN	TOTAL
CRIME TYPE								
PERSON	105	2	1		5	8		121
PROPERTY	118	4		2	16	17	2	159
DRUGS	8	1		10	2	4	10	35
PUBLIC ORDER	150	1		2	4	31	2	190
TOTAL	381	8	1	14	27	60	14	505

#### TABLE 11a: TYPE OF INTERMEDIATE PUNISHMENT BY CRIME TYPE

#### SENTENCES OF 90 DAYS OR LESS

NUMBER OF INTERMEDIATE CASES AND CRIME TYPE	SPECIAL	IMPACT	RESIDENTIAL FACILITY	DAY REPORTING CENTER		ELECTRONIC MONITORING		TOTAL
CRIME TYPE								
PERSON	81	1			2	6		90
PROPERTY	91	2		1	11	15	1	121
DRUGS	5	1		10	1	2	10	29
PUBLIC ORDER	104	1		2	3	22	2	134
TOTAL	281	5		13	17	45	13	374

#### TABLE 11b: TYPE OF INTERMEDIATE PUNISHMENT BY CRIME TYPE

NUMBER OF INTERMEDIATE CASES AND CRIME TYPE	SPECIAL PROBATION	IMPACT	RESIDENTIAL FACILITY	DAY REPORTING CENTER	INTENSIVE SUPERVISION PROBATION	ELECTRONIC MONITORING		TOTAL
CRIME TYPE								
PERSON	24	1	1	.	3	2		31
PROPERTY	27	2		1	5	2	1	38
DRUGS	3				1	2		6
PUBLIC ORDER	46				1	9		56
TOTAL	100	3	1	1	10	15	. 1	131

#### SENTENCES GREATER THAN 90 DAYS

#### **E.** Special Probation

Table 12 shows the average length and number of Special Probation sentences by Misdemeanor Offense Class and location (jail or prison). Tables 12a and 12b display this information for sentences of 90 days or less and for sentences greater than 90 days, respectively.

Where a specific Intermediate Punishment was indicated, about 75% of misdemeanor offenders received Special Probation as a sentence. Offense Class 1 had the greatest number of offenders receiving Special Probation. Data indicate that the lower the Misdemeanor Offense Class, the shorter the average length of Special Probation sentences. (See *Table 12*).

# TABLE 12: SPECIAL PROBATION BY OFFENSE CLASS AND LOCATION

#### ALL MISDEMEANORS

OFFENSE CLASS AND JAIL AND PRISON AVERAGE LENGTH OF			JAIL	PRISON	TOTAL	
ACTIVE SENTENCES	JAIL	PRISON	SPECIAL PROBATION LENGTH (DAYS)	SPECIAL PROBATION LENGTH (DAYS)	TOTAL SPECIAL PROBATION LENGTH (DAYS)	TOTAL
	NUMBER	NUMBER	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
OFFENSE CLASS						
CLASS 1	336	1	9.3	60.0	9.4	337
CLASS 2	32		7.3		7.3	32
CLASS 3	12		4.6		4.6	12
TOTAL	380	1	9.0	60.0	9.1	381

# TABLE 12a:SPECIAL PROBATION BY OFFENSECLASS AND LOCATION

#### SENTENCES OF 90 DAYS OR LESS

OFFENSE CLASS AND JAIL AND PRISON AVERAGE LENGTH OF		JAIL	TOTAL	
ACTIVE SENTENCES		SPECIAL PROBATION LENGTH	SPECIAL PROBATION LENGTH	
	JAIL	(DAYS)	(DAYS)	TOTAL
	NUMBER	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
OFFENSE CLASS				
CLASS 1	237	6.9	6.9	237
CLASS 2	32	7.3	7.3	32
CLASS 3	12	4.6	4.6	12
TOTAL	281	6.9	6.9	281

# TABLE 12b: SPECIAL PROBATION BY OFFENSE CLASS AND LOCATION

OFFENSE CLASS AND JAIL AND PRISON AVERAGE LENGTH OF			JAIL	PRISON	momet	
ACTIVE SENTENCES	JAIL	PRISON	SPECIAL PROBATION LENGTH (DAYS)	SPECIAL PROBATION LENGTH (DAYS)	TOTAL SPECIAL PROBATION LENGTH (DAYS)	TOTAL
	NUMBER	NUMBER	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
OFFENSE CLASS						
CLASS 1	99	1	14.9	60.0	15.3	100
TOTAL	99	1	14.9	60.0	15.3	100

#### SENTENCES GREATER THAN 90 DAYS

*Table 13* displays the number of Special Probation sentences by crime type and location. Of the four types of crime, Public Order Offenses accounted for the greatest number of offenders receiving Special Probation. Conversely, Drug Offenses accounted for the least number of offenders receiving Special Probation.

Table 13 also shows the average length of Special Probation sentences by crime type and location. Overall, offenders convicted of Public Order Offenses and Person Offenses received the longest average sentences (9.8 days and 9.5 days, respectively).

TOTAL JAIL AND PRISON AVERAGE ACTIVE SENTENCE	2		JAIL	PRISON	moment	
LENGTH AND CRIME TYPE	JAIL	PRISON	SPECIAL PROBATION LENGTH (DAYS)	SPECIAL PROBATION LENGTH (DAYS)	TOTAL SPECIAL PROBATION LENGTH (DAYS)	TOTAL
	NUMBER	NUMBER	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
CRIME TYPE						
PERSON	104	1	9.0	60.0	9.5	105
PROPERTY	118		8.0		8.0	118
DRUGS	8		6.4		6.4	8
PUBLIC ORDER	150		9.8		9.8	150
TOTAL	380	1	9.0	60.0	9.1	381

# TABLE 13: SPECIAL PROBATION BY CRIME TYPE AND LOCATION

#### V. COMMUNITY SERVICE

#### A. Community Service by Offense Class

Data for the first six months of 1995 indicate that about 9% of all offenders received community service as a sentence. *Table 14* displays the average community service hours ordered by Misdemeanor Offense Class as well as the total number of offenders sentenced to community service by Offense Class. *Tables 14a* and *14b* show this information for sentences of 90 days or less and for sentences greater than 90 days, respectively.

For all misdemeanors, offenders convicted of a Class 1 Misdemeanor Offense were most frequently sentenced to community service and received the most community service hours, on average (see *Table 14*).

For offenders receiving sentences of 90 days or less, community service was most frequently ordered for offenders convicted of a Class 1 Misdemeanor Offense. These offenders also received the most community service hours, on average (see *Table 14a*).

Table 14b indicates that offenders receiving sentences greater than 90 days were most likely to be sentenced to community service if they had been convicted of a Class 1 Misdemeanor Offense. However, offenders convicted of a Class 2 Offense received the most community service hours, on average.

TABLE 14:COMMUNITY SERVICEBY OFFENSE CLASS

MISDEMEANOR CLASS AND AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS ORDERED	COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS	TOTAL	
s .	MEAN	NUMBER	
OFFENSE CLASS			
CLASS 1	40.2	3780	
CLASS 2	36.3	651	
CLASS 3	29.3	935	
TOTAL	37.8	5366	

#### ALL MISDEMEANORS

# TABLE 14a:COMMUNITY SERVICEBY OFFENSE CLASS

#### SENTENCES OF 90 DAYS OR LESS

MISDEMEANOR CLASS AND AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS ORDERED	COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS	TOTAL	
	MEAN	NUMBER	
OFFENSE CLASS			
CLASS 1	39.6	3530	
CLASS 2	36.0	643	
CLASS 3	29.2	925	
TOTAL	37.3	5098	

## TABLE 14b:COMMUNITY SERVICEBY OFFENSE CLASS

# SENTENCES GREATER THAN 90 DAYS

MISDEMEANOR CLASS AND AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS ORDERED	COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS	TOTAL	
	MEAN	NUMBER	
OFFENSE CLASS			
CLASS 1	48.0	250	
CLASS 2	60.1	8	
CLASS 3	36.8	10	
TOTAL	48.0	268	

#### B. Community Service by Crime Type

Table 15 shows the average community service hours ordered by crime type and the total number of offenders sentenced to community service by Offense Class. Tables 15a and 15b show this information for sentences of 90 days or less and for sentences greater than 90 days, respectively.

For all misdemeanors, offenders convicted of a Property Offense most frequently received community service as a sentence. However, offenders convicted of a Person Offense were ordered to serve the most community service hours, on average (see *Table 15*).

For offenders receiving sentences of 90 days or less, those convicted of a Property Offense most frequently received community service as a sentence. The most community service hours, on average, were ordered for offenders convicted of a Person Offense (see *Table 15a*).

*Table 15b* shows that for offenders receiving sentences greater than 90 days, those convicted of Public Order Offenses most frequently received community service as a sentence. However, offenders convicted of a Property Offense were ordered to serve the most community service hours, on average.

# TABLE15:COMMUNITYSERVICEBY CRIME TYPE

#### ALL MISDEMEANORS

CRIME TYPE AND AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS ORDERED	COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS	TOTAL	
	MEAN	NUMBER	
CRIME TYPE			
PERSON	41.6	826	
PROPERTY	37.2	2620	
DRUGS	34.7	476	
PUBLIC ORDER	37.8	1444	
TOTAL	37.8	5366	

# TABLE15a:COMMUNITYSERVICEBYCRIMETYPE

#### SENTENCES OF 90 DAYS OR LESS

CRIME TYPE AND AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS ORDERED	COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS	TOTAL	
	MEAN		
CRIME TYPE			
PERSON	41.3	788	
PROPERTY	36.7	2532	
DRUGS	34.2	456	
PUBLIC ORDER	37.0	1322	
TOTAL	37.3	5098	

# TABLE15b:COMMUNITYSERVICEBYCRIMETYPE

# SENTENCES GREATER THAN 90 DAYS

CRIME TYPE AND AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS ORDERED	COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS	TOTAL	
	MEAN		
CRIME TYPE			
PERSON	47.6	38	
PROPERTY	51.0	88	
DRUGS	47.3	20	
PUBLIC ORDER	46.0	122	
TOTAL	48.0	268	

## **APPENDIX A:**

## **MISDEMEANOR DEMOGRAPHICS**

# APPENDIX TABLE I: OFFENSE CLASS AND GENDER BY SENTENCE ALL MISDEMEANORS

OFFENSE CLASS AVERAGE LENGT SENTENCES	5, GENDER AND TH OF ACTIVE			DISPOSI	TION			MINIMUM ACTIVE	CREDIT FOR TIME	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME	
		ACTI	VE	INTERME	DIATE	COMMUN	ITY	SENTENCE (DAYS)	SERVED (DAYS)	SERVED (DAYS)	TOTAL
		NUMBER	¥	NUMBER	. %	NUMBER	¥	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:	GENDER										
CLASS 1	MALE	5392	18.1	1917	6.4	22413	75.4	53.6	2.3	51.3	29722
	FEMALE	717	10.1	435	6.1	5930	83.7	46.1	2.8	43.4	7082
CLASS 2	MALE	894	11.3	263	3.3	6748	85.4	29.1	1.6	27.5	7905
	FEMALE	126	6.2	61	3.0	1841	90.8	26.6	1.9	24.7	2028
CLASS 3	MALE	1123	16.2	98	1.4	5702	82.4	9.6	0.9	8.6	6923
	FEMALE	152	9.6	30	1.9	1408	88.6	8.1	0.8	7.3	1590
TOTAL		8404	15.2	2804	5.1	44042	79.7	43.2	2.0	41.2	55250

#### APPENDIX TABLE I (a): OFFENSE CLASS AND GENDER BY SENTENCE SENTENCES OF 90 DAYS OR LESS

OFFENSE CLASS AVERAGE LENGI SENTENCES				DISPOSI	TION			MINIMUM	CREDIT FOR TIME	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME	
		ACTI	VE	INTERME	DIATE	COMMUN	ITY	SENTENCE (DAYS)	SERVED (DAYS)	SERVED DAYS)	TOTAL
		NUMBER	¥	NUMBER	8	NUMBER	<del>&amp;</del>	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:	GENDER										
CLASS 1	MALE	4136	15.7	1487	5.7	20648	78.6	33.8	2.1	31.7	26271
	FEMALE	587	8.8	382	5.7	5737	85.6	30.0	2.3	27.7	6706
CLASS 2	MALE	894	11.4	260	3.3	6666	85.2	29.1	1.6	27.5	7820
	FEMALE	126	6.3	61	3.0	1828	90.7	26.6	1.9	24.7	2015
CLASS 3	MALE	1123	16.3	95	1.4	5651	82.3	9.6	0.9	8.6	6869
	FEMALE	152	9.6	28	1.8	1398	88.6	8.1	0.8	7.3	1578
TOTAL		7018	13.7	2313	4.5	41928	81.8	28.3	1.8	26.5	51259

# APPENDIX TABLE I (b): OFFENSE CLASS AND GENDER BY SENTENCE SENTENCES GREATER THAN 90 DAYS

OFFENSE CLASS AVERAGE LENGT SENTENCES					TION			MINIMUM ACTIVE	CREDIT FOR TIME	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME	
		ACTI	VE	INTERME	DIATE	COMMUN	ITY	SENTENCE (DAYS)	SERVED (DAYS)	SERVED (DAYS)	TOTAL
-		NUMBER	¥	NUMBER	÷	NUMBER	÷	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:	GENDER										
CLASS 1	MALE	1256	36.4	430	12.5	1765	51.1	118.8	2.9	116.0	3451
	FEMALE	130	34.6	53	14.1	193	51.3	119.0	4.9	114.1	376
CLASS 2	MALE			3	3.5	82	96.5				85
	FEMALE					13	100.0				13
CLASS 3	MALE			3	5.6	51	94.4				54
	FEMALE			2	16.7	10	83.3				12
TOTAL		1386	34.7	491	12.3	2114	53.0	118.9	3.1	115.8	3991

#### APPENDIX TABLE II: OFFENSE CLASS AND RACE BY SENTENCE

#### ALL MISDEMEANORS

OFFENSE CLASS AVERAGE LENG SENTENCES				DISPOSI	TION			MINIMUM	CREDIT FOR TIME	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME	
		ACT:	IVE	INTERME	DIATE	COMMUN	YTIN	SENTENCE (DAYS)	SERVED (DAYS)	SERVED (DAYS)	TOTAL
	-	NUMBER	¥	NUMBER	¥	NUMBER	÷	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:	RACE										
CLASS 1	ASIAN	2	18.2		•	9	81.8	23.0	0.0	23.0	11
	BLACK	3879	20.3	1221	6.4	13987	73.3	52.0	2.3	49.7	19087
	HISPANIC	36	16.1	8	3.6	. 179	80.3	33.7	1.0	32.6	223
	AMERICAN INDIAN	112	15,5	26	3.6	586	80.9	38.4	2.5	35.9	724
	UNKNOWN	193	15.2	52	4.1	1023	80.7	46.3	1.5	44.7	1268
	WHITE	1887	12.2	1045	6.7	12559	81.1	56.0	2.4	53.5	15491
CLASS 2	ASIAN	1	100.0		•			14.0	0.0	14.0	1
	BLACK	629	14.5	174	4.0	3535	81.5	28.1	1.8	26.2	4338
	HISPANIC	10	25.6			29	74.4	11.5	0.4	11.1	39
	AMERICAN INDIAN	21	13.7	2	1.3	130	85.0	28.8	0.0	28.8	153
	UNKNOWN	79	3.8	51	2.5	1924	93.7	36.4	2.0	34.4	2054
	WHITE	279	8.3	97	2.9	2971	88.8	28.9	1.1	27.7	3347
	NON-PERSON	1	100.0					60.0	0.0	60.0	1
CLASS 3	ASIAN			•		4	100.0				4
	BLACK	775	19.3	82	2.0	3149	78.6	9.7	0.9	8.8	4006
	HISPANIC	20	24.4		•	62	75.6	9.5	0.3	9.3	82
	AMERICAN INDIAN	12	8.8			124	91.2	9.3	0.4	8.8	136
	UNKNOWN	35	14.3	3	1.2	207	84.5	9.2	0.8	8.4	245
	WHITE	433	10.7	43	1.1	3564	88.2	8.8	1.0	7.8	4040
TOTAL		8404	15.2	2804	.5.1	44042	79.7	43.2	2.0	41.2	55250

#### APPENDIX TABLE II (a): OFFENSE CLASS AND RACE BY SENTENCE

#### SENTENCES OF 90 DAYS OR LESS

OFFENSE CLASS AVERAGE LENGT SENTENCES				DISPOSI	TION			MINIMUM ACTIVE SENTENCE	CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME SERVED	
		ACTI	VE	INTERME	DIATE	COMMUN	ITY	(DAYS)	(DAYS)	(DAYS)	TOTAL
		NUMBER	ę	NUMBER	÷	NUMBER	¥	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:	RACE										
CLASS 1	ASIAN	2	18.2			9	81.8	23.0	0.0	23.0	11
	BLACK	3029	17.8	975	5.7	13029	76.5	33.4	2.2	31.2	17033
	HISPANIC	35	16.4	8	3.8	170	79.8	31.2	1.1	30.1	213
	AMERICAN INDIAN	98	14.5	19	2.8	560	82.7	27.3	1.2	26.1	677
	UNKNOWN	160	13.6	43	3.6	976	82.8	31.2	1.5	29.7	1179
	WHITE	1399	10.1	824	5.9	11641	84.0	33.9	2.2	31.7	13864
CLASS 2	ASIAN	1	100.0				•	14.0	0.0	14.0	1
	BLACK	629	14.6	173	4.0	3503	81.4	28.1	1.8	26.2	4305
	HISPANIC	10	25.6			29	74.4	11.5	0.4	11.1	39
	AMERICAN INDIAN	21	14.1	2	1.3	126	84.6	28.8	0.0	28.8	149
	UNKNOWN	79	3.9	50	2.5	1907	93.7	36.4	2.0	34.4	2036
	WHITE	279	8.4	96	2.9	2929	88.7	28.9	1.1	27.7	3304
	NON-PERSON	1	100.0					60.0	0.0	60.0	1
CLASS 3	ASIAN					4	100.0				4
	BLACK	775	19.5	79	2.0	3120	78.5	9.7	0.9	8.8	3974
	HISPANIC	20	24.4	-		62	75.6	9.5	0.3	9.3	82
	AMERICAN INDIAN	12	8.9			123	91.1	9.3	0.4	8.8	135
	UNKNOWN	35	14.5	3	1.2	203	84.2	9.2	0.8	8.4	241
	WHITE	433	10.8	41	1.0	3537	88.2	8.8	1.0	7.8	4011
TOTAL		7018	13.7	2313	4.5	41928	81.8	28.3	1.8	26.5	51259

26

#### APPENDIX TABLE II (b): OFFENSE CLASS AND RACE BY SENTENCE

		r									
OFFENSE CLASS AVERAGE LENGT SENTENCES				DISPOSI	TION		6	MINIMUM ACTIVE SENTENCE	CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME SERVED	
		ACTI	<b>IVE</b>	INTERM	EDIATE	COMMU	NITY	(DAYS)	(DAYS)	(DAYS)	TOTAL
		NUMBER	*	NUMBER	¥	NUMBER	÷	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:	RACE										
CLASS 1	BLACK	850	41.4	246	12.0	958	46.6	118.7	3.0	115.7	2054
	HISPANIC	1	10.0			9	90.0	120.0	0.0	120.0	10
	AMERICAN INDIAN	14	29.8	7	14.9	26	55.3	115.9	11.4	104.5	47
	UNKNOWN	33	37.1	9	10.1	47	52.8	119.4	1.5	117.9	89
	WHITE	488	30.0	221	13.6	918	56.4	119.2	3.1	116.2	1627
CLASS 2	BLACK		•	1	3.0	32	97.0				33
	AMERICAN INDIAN					4	100.0	; <b>•</b>	•		4
	UNKNOWN		•	1	5.6	17	94.4	•	•		18
	WHITE			1	2.3	42	97.7		•		43
CLASS 3	BLACK			3	9.4	29	90.6	•	٠		32
	AMERICAN INDIAN					1	100.0				1
	UNKNOWN	•	•			4	100.0			•	4
	WHITE			2	6.9	27	93.1	•			29
TOTAL		1386	34.7	491	12.3	2114	53.0	118.9	3.1	115.8	3991

#### SENTENCES GREATER THAN 90 DAYS

#### APPENDIX TABLE III: OFFENSE CLASS AND AGE BY SENTENCE

#### ALL MISDEMEANORS

OFFENSE CLASS AVERAGE LENGS SENTENCES				DISPOSI	TION			MINIMUM ACTIVE SENTENCE	CREDIT FOR	51.5 55.1 53.5 48.8 49.1 24.9 27.5 27.9 27.5 27.9 20.2 5 20.2 5 18.5 7.6 5 10.1 0 8.3	
SENTENCES		ACTI	VE	INTERME	DIATE	COMMUN	ITY	(DAYS)	SERVED (DAYS)		TOTAL
_		NUMBER	ł	NUMBER	8	NUMBER	8	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:	AGE			×							
CLASS 1	<21	855	10.3	611	7.3	6873	82.4	42.7	2.6	40.1	8339
	21-25	920	15.5	324	5.5	4684	79.0	54.4	2.9	51.5	592
	26-29	939	19.7	292	6.1	3532	74.2	57.2	2.0	55.1	476
	30-39	2022	21.2	591	6.2	6929	72.6	55.9	2.4	53.5	954
	40-49	685	19.3	234	6.6	2634	74.1	51.5	2.7	48.8	355
	>49	149	12.6	65	5.5	967	81.9	51.3	2.2	49.1	118
CLASS 2	<21	173	8.7	95	4.8	1711	86.5	26.1	1.2	24.9	197
	21-25	135	10.0	56	4.2	1154	85.8	30.0	2.5	27.5	134
	26-29	126	13.7	23	2.5	770	83.8	29.7	1.8	27.9	91
	30-39	290	16.0	43	2.4	1481	81.6	29.7	1.8	27.9	181
	40-49	110	16.0	15	2.2	564	81.9	21.6	1.4	20.2	68
	>49	31	9.2	8	2.4	298	88.4	20.0	1,5	18.5	33
CLASS 3	<21	112	5.4	46	2.2	1918	92.4	9.3	1.7	7,6	207
	21-25	116	9.6	15	1.2	1083	89.2	10.7	0.6	10.1	121
	26-29	124	13.6	8	0.9	783	85.6	9.3	1.0	8.3	91
	30-39	469	21.2	31	1.4	1711	77.4	9.0	0.9	8.1	221
	40-49	217	23.5	15	1.6	693	74.9	8.6	1.1	7.5	92
	>49	115	27.1	4	0.9	306	72.0	8.9	0.4	8.5	42
TOTAL		7588	15.8	2476	5.1	38091	79.1		2.2	41.4	4815

#### APPENDIX TABLE III (a): OFFENSE CLASS AND AGE BY SENTENCE

#### SENTENCES OF 90 DAYS OR LESS

OFFENSE CLAS AVERAGE LENG SENTENCES				DISPOSI	ITION			MINIMUM ACTIVE SENTENCE	CREDIT FOR	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME	
SENTENCES		ACT	IVE	INTERM	EDIATE	COMMUN	ITY	(DAYS)	SERVED (DAYS)	SERVED (DAYS)	TOTAL
		NUMBER	*	NUMBER	ŧ	NUMBER	*	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
MISDEMEANOR CLASSES:	AGE										
CLASS 1	<21	760	9.5	570	7.1	6699	83.4	33.2	2.5	30.7	8029
	21-25	709	13.3	248	4.6	4391	82.1	35.4	2.7	32.7	5348
	26-29	689	16.6	218	5.2	3255	78.2	34.8	2.0	32.8	4162
	30-39	1490	18.4	411	5.1	6186	76.5	33.3	1.9	31.4	808
	40-49	522	17.0	181	5.9	2362	77.1	30.4	2.7	27.7	306
	>49	109	10.5	48	4.6	885	84.9	26.4	1.7	24.7	1042
CLASS 2	<21	173	8.8	95	4.8	1694	86.3	26.1	1.2	24.9	1962
	21-25	135	10.1	54	4.1	1142	85.8	30.0	2.5	27.5	1331
	26-29	126	13.8	23	2.5	761	83.6	29.7	1.8	27.9	910
	30-39	290	16.2	43	2.4	1458	81.4	29.7	1.8	27.9	1793
	40-49	110	16.2	15	2.2	555	81.6	21.6	1.4	20.2	680
	>49	31	9.2	8	2.4	297	88.4	20.0	- 1.5	18.5	336
CLASS 3	<21	112	5.4	45	2.2	1910	92.4	9.3	1.7	7.6	2067
	21-25	116	9.7	15	1.3	1068	89.1	10.7	0.6	10.1	1199
	26-29	124	13.7	8	0.9	775	85.4	9.3	1.0	8.3	901
	30-39	469	21.4	29	1.3	1696	77.3	9.0	0.9	8.1	2194
	40-49	217	23.7	13	1.4	686	74.9	8.6	1,1	7.5	916
	>49	115	27.3	4	1.0	302	71.7	8.9	0.4	8.5	421
TOTAL		6297	14.2	2028	4.6	36122	81.3	28.1	1.9	26.2	44447

#### APPENDIX TABLE III (b): OFFENSE CLASS AND AGE BY SENTENCE

#### MINIMUM ACTIVE SENTENCE (DAYS) OFFENSE CLASS, AGE AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF ACTIVE SENTENCES ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME SERVED (DAYS) DISPOSITION CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED (DAYS) ACTIVE INTERMEDIATE COMMUNITY TOTAL NUMBER \* NUMBER \* NUMBER 8 MEAN MEAN MEAN NUMBER MISDEMEANOR CLASSES: AGE CLASS 1 <21 95 30.6 41 13.2 174 56.1 119.2 3.9 115.3 310 21-25 211 36.4 76 13.1 293 50.5 118.1 3.5 114.6 580 26-29 250 41.6 74 12.3 277 46.1 118.9 2.2 116.7 601 119.0 1455 30-39 532 36.6 180 12.4 743 3.6 115.4 51.1 40-49 163 33.4 53 10.9 272 55.7 119.2 2.6 116.6 488 >49 40 28.8 17 12.2 82 59.0 119.3 3.7 115.6 139 17 100.0 CLASS 2 <21 17 21-25 2 14.3 12 85.7 14 . . 9 100.0 26-29 9 . . 30-39 23 100.0 23 . 40-49 9 100.0 9 . >49 1 100.0 1 CLASS 3 11.1 88.9 <21 1 8 9 . 21-25 15 100.0 15 26-29 8 100.0 8 30-39 2 11.8 15 88.2 17 40-49 2 7 77.8 9 22.2 >49 4 100.0 4 TOTAL 1291 34.8 448 12.1 1969 53.1 118.9 3.2 115.7 3708

#### SENTENCES GREATER THAN 90 DAYS

30

#### APPENDIX TABLE IV: JUDICIAL DIVISION AND DISTRICT BY SENTENCE

#### ALL MISDEMEANORS

DIVISION AN	PE, JUDICIAL D DISTRICT, AND GTH OF ACTIVE	ACT	(WE	DISPOS		сомми	11 <b>7</b> 7	MINIMUM ACTIVE SENTENCE (DAYS)	CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED (DAYS)	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME SERVED (DAYS)	TOTAL
		NUMBER	-	NUMBER	8	NUMBER	-	MEAN	MEAN	(DATS) MEAN	NUMBER
JUDICIAL	JUDICIAL	NUMBER		NUMBER		NUMBER		PIEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
DIVISION	DISTRICT										
FIRST	1	110	10.8	75	7.4	835	81.9	57.2	1.5	55.7	1020
	2	147	12.8	52	4.5	946	82.6	41.7	0.0	41.7	1145
	ЗА	113	11.0	48	4.7	870	84.4	55.0	1.2	53.8	1031
	3B	124	9.8	18	1.4	1122	88.8	36.8	0.9	35.9	1264
	4A	93	9.8	29	3.1	828	87.2	41.6	0.1	41.5	950
	4B	47	6.2	5	0.7	702	93.1	43.5	4.7	38,9	754
	5	310	17.6	137	7.8	1312	74.6	51.0	6.8	44.2	1759
	6A	144	20.0	198	27.5	379	52.6	48.0	1.0	47.0	721
	6B	147	20.1	112	15.3	471	64.5	53.3	0.0	53.3	730
	7A	127	15.3	18	2.2	685	82.5	53.7	13.3	40.4	830
	7B	186	14.5	29	2.3	1068	83.2	51.2	0.0	51.2	1283
	8A	74	10.0	32	4.3	636	85.7	39.2	0.4	38.7	742
	8B	54	6.5	29	3.5	747	90.0	32.0	3.9	28.1	830
	SUB-TOTAL	1676	12.8	782	6.0	10601	81.2	48.1	2.9	45.2	13059
SECOND	JUDICIAL DISTRICT		1								
	9	164	9.4	18	1.0	1572	89.6	43.0	2.1	40.9	1754
	9A	63	12.5	14	2.8	428	84.8	57.6	0.3	57.3	505
	10	822	26.9	98	3.2	2136	69.9	29.1	0.5	28.6	3056
	11	253	11.6	101	4.6	1824	83.7	43.6	0.0	43.6	2178
	12	317	14.8	3	0.1	1818	85.0	16.9	3.1	13.8	2138
	13	181	16.9	55	5.1	835	78.0	55.9	1.1	54.8	1071
×.	14	227	12.8	244	13.8	1299	73,4	21.4	6.0	15.4	1770
	15A	159	15.2	173	16.5	717	68.4	35.9	4.9	31.0	1049
	15B	186	22.5	12	1.5	627	76.0	29,9	0.0	29.9	825
	16A	100	9.4	5	0.5	959	90.1	33.8	2.4	31.4	1064
	16B	270	14.2	34	1.8	1603	84.1	37.7	2.1	35.6	1907
	SUB-TOTAL	2742	15.8	757	4.4	13818	79.8	33.1	1.8	31.3	17317

31

## (CONTINUED) APPENDIX TABLE IV: JUDICIAL DIVISION AND DISTRICT BY SENTENCE

#### ALL MISDEMEANORS

DIVISION AN	PE, JUDICIAL D DISTRICT, AND GTH OF ACTIVE			DISPOSI	508 AS 2000			MINIMUM ACTIVE SENTENCE	CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME SERVED	
		ACTI		INTERME		COMMUN		(DAYS)	(DAYS)	(DAYS)	TOTAL
		NUMBER	*	NUMBER	ł	NUMBER	4	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
JUDICIAL DIVISION	JUDICIAL DISTRICT										
THIRD	17A	92	13.4	120	17.5	475	69.1	31.9	0.0	31.9	687
2	17B	84	12.8	26	4.0	546	83.2	59.0	0.0	59.0	656
	18	403	19.8	61	3.0	1576	77.3	35.2	0.4	34.9	2040
	19A	189	14.3	143	10.8	991	74.9	53.4	0.0	53.4	1323
	19B	153	19.5	1	0.1	629	80.3	42.0	0.0	42.0	783
	19C	166	16.5	39	3.9	801	79.6	61.5	0.0	61.5	1006
	20A	192	13.6	61	4.3	1163	82.1	51.5	0.0	51.5	1416
	20B	238	18.0	22	1.7	1064	80.4	50.0	0.0	50.0	1324
	21	425	16.3	178	6.8	2000	76.8	48.9	2.6	46.3	260
	22	406	15.8	418	16.2	1752	68.0	61.3	0.5	60.8	257
	23	89	10.5	53	6.3	703	83.2	58.3	0.0	58.3	84
	SUB-TOTAL	2437	16.0	1122	7.4	11700	76.7	49.8	0.6	49.2	1525
FOURTH	JUDICIAL DISTRICT										
	24	24	9.2	17	6.5	221	84.4	21.8	0.0	21.8	26
	25A	282	21.8	14	1.1	996	77.1	65.5	3.5	62.0	129
	25B	242	21.7	3	0.3	872	78.1	60.8	5.3	55.5	111
	26	57	8.0	18	2.5	634	89.4	24.5	5.3	19.1	70
	27A	135	10.7	1	0.1	1122	89.2	40.5	0.0	40.5	125
	27В	201	18.4	8	0.7	883	80.9	41.9	0.0	41.9	109
	28	337	21.3	2	0.1	1243	78.6	27.6	9.3	18.3	158
	29	200	13.6	67	4.6	1203	81.8	40.3	0.0	40.3	147
	30A	22	7.2	5	1.6	278	91.1	57.9	5.5	52.4	30
	30B	49	9.3	8	1.5	471	89.2	55.7	0.0	55.7	52
	SUB-TOTAL	1549	16.1	143	1.5	7923	82.4	45.4	3.8	41.7	961
TOTAL		8404	15.2	2804	5.1	44042	79.7	43.2	2.0	41.2	5525

## APPENDIX TABLE IV (a): JUDICIAL DIVISION AND DISTRICT BY SENTENCE

#### SENTENCES OF 90 DAYS OR LESS

DIVISION AN	PE, JUDICIAL D DISTRICT, AND GTH OF ACTIVE			DISPOS				MINIMUM ACTIVE SENTENCE	CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME SERVED	
		ACT	IVE	INTERM	EDIATE	COMMU	YTIN	(DAYS)	(DAYS)	(DAYS)	TOTAL
		NUMBER	8	NUMBER	8	NUMBER	÷	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
JUDICIAL DIVISION	JUDICIAL DISTRICT										
FIRST	1	79	8.3	65	6.8	805	84.8	32.9	1.7	31.2	949
	2	132	12.0	46	4.2	922	83.8	33.3	0.0	33.3	1100
	3A	84	8.7	40	4.1	846	87.2	32.6	1.6	31.1	970
	38	107	8.8	13	1.1	1090	90.1	24.2	1.1	23.1	1210
	4A	80	8.9	25	2.8	789	88.3	29.1	0.1	29.0	894
÷	4B	40	5.5	4	0.5	688	94.0	30.1	2.5	27.7	732
	5	245	15.2	109	6.8	1260	78.1	32.8	6.7	26.1	1614
	6A	111	16.8	180	27.3	368	55.8	27.3	1.3	26.0	659
	6B	119	17.6	102	15.1	456	67.4	37.6	0.0	37.6	677
	7A	101	13.1	10	1.3	659	85.6	37.5	12.7	24.9	770
	7B	146	12.2	23	1.9	1026	85.9	32.6	0.0	32.6	1195
	8A	62	8.8	25	3.5	620	87.7	23.5	0.5	23.0	707
	8B	49	6.2	24	3.0	720	90.8	23.0	4.2	18.9	793
	SUB-TOTAL	1355	11.0	666	5.4	10249	83.5	31.4	2.8	28.6	12270
SECOND	JUDICIAL DISTRICT	i i									
	9	142	8.4	13	0.8	1539	90.9	31.2	1.5	29.7	1694
	9A	49	10.4	12	2.6	409	87.0	39.8	0.3	39.4	470
	10	774	26.6	84	2.9	2052	70.5	23.5	0.3	23.2	2910
	11	206	10.2	90	4.5	1721	85.3	26.9	0.0	26.9	2017
	12	307	14.8	3	0.1	1770	85.1	13.6	3.2	10.4	2080
	13	132	13.5	50	5.1	796	81.4	32.7	1.3	31.4	978
	14	227	13.5	217	12.9	1239	73.6	21.4	6.0	15.4	1683
	15A	142	15.1	125	13.3	676	71.7	26.0	2.8	23.2	943
	15B	168	21.8	8	1.0	595	77.2	20.2	0.0	20.2	771
	16A	94	9.0	5	0.5	945	90.5	29,2	1.3	27.9	1044
	16B	244	13.4	27	1.5	1549	85.1	29.2	1.2	28.0	1820
	SUB-TOTAL	2485	15.1	634	3.9	13291	81.0	24.3	1.5	22.8	16410

#### (CONTINUED) APPENDIX IV (a): JUDICIAL DIVISION AND DISTRICT BY SENTENCE

#### SENTENCES OF 90 DAYS OR LESS

DIVISION AN	PE, JUDICIAL D DISTRICT, AND GTH OF ACTIVE	ACT	IVE	DISPOSI		COMMUN	ITTY	MINIMUM ACTIVE SENTENCE (DAYS)	CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED (DAYS)	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME SERVED (DAYS)	TOTAL
		NUMBER	*	NUMBER	*	NUMBER	8	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
JUDICIAL DIVISION	JUDICIAL DISTRICT		-		-						NUMBER
THIRD	17A	86	13.5	93	14.6	459	71.9	26.4	0.0	26.4	638
	17B	61	10.1	20	3.3	523	86.6	36.0	0.0	36.0	604
	18	345	18.6	45	2.4	1463	79.0	21.0	0.4	20.6	1853
	19A	152	12.1	137	10.9	969	77.0	37.5	0.0	37.5	1258
	19B	135	18.3	1	0.1	602	81.6	31.8	0.0	31.8	738
	19C	120	13.2	27	3.0	765	83.9	40.3	0.0	40.2	912
	20A	157	11.9	53	4.0	1111	84.1	36.8	0.0	36.7	132
	20B	183	14.9	18	1.5	1028	83.6	29.1	0.0	29.1	122
	21	340	14.8	136	5.9	1816	79.2	31.4	2.0	29.3	229
	22	273	11.9	353	15.4	1661	72.6	32.9	0.5	32.4	228
	23	66	9.4	33	4.7	604	85.9	36.8	0.0	36.8	70:
	SUB-TOTAL	1918	13.9	916	6.6	11001	79.5	31.1	0.5	30.6	1383
FOURTH	JUDICIAL DISTRICT										
	24	24	9.8	10	4.1	212	86.2	21.8	0.0	21.8	24
	25A	191	17.1	11	1.0	917	81.9	39.8	2.7	37.1	111
	25B	171	17.1	2	0.2	826	82.7	36.5	5.2	31.4	99
	26	54	8.3	17	2.6	577	89.0	19.2	5.0	14.1	64
	27A	117	9.9			1065	90.1	28.2	0.0	28.2	118
	27в	175	17.1	6	0.6	842	82.3	30.4	0.0	30.4	102
	28	303	21.1	1	0.1	1133	78.8	17.6	8.3	9.2	143
	29	173	12.9	43	3.2	1125	83.9	27.9	0.0	27.9	134:
	30A	16	5.8	5	1.8	257	92.4	34.6	6.6	28.0	27
	30B	36	7.6	2	0.4	433	91.9	33.6	0.0	33.6	47
	SUB-TOTAL	1260	14.4	97	1.1	7387	84.5	28.5	3.4	25.1	874
TOTAL		7018	13.7	2313	4.5	41928	81.8	28.3	1.8	26.5	5125

#### APPENDIX TABLE IV (b): JUDICIAL DIVISION AND DISTRICT BY SENTENCE

SENTENCE TYPE, JUDICIAL DIVISION AND DISTRICT, AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF ACTIVE SENTENCES		DISPOSITION ACTIVE INTERMEDIATE COMMUNITY						MINIMUM ACTIVE SENTENCE (DAYS)	CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED (DAYS)	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME SERVED (DAYS)	TOTAL
		NUMBER	4	NUMBER	8	NUMBER	+	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
JUDICIAL DIVISION	JUDICIAL DISTRICT										
FIRST	1	31	43.7	10	14.1	30	42.3	119.1	1.0	118.1	71
	2	15	33.3	6	13.3	24	53.3	116.0	0.0	116.0	45
	3A	29	47.5	8	13.1	24	39.3	119.9	0.1	119.8	61
	3B	17	31.5	5	9.3	32	59.3	115.9	0.0	115.9	54
	4A	13	23.2	4	7.1	39	69.6	118.5	0.0	118.5	56
	4B	7	31.8	1	. 4.5	14	63.6	120.0	17.1	102.9	22
	5	65	44.8	28	19.3	52	35.9	119.7	7.4	112.3	145
	6A	33	53.2	18	29.0	11	17.7	117.6	0.0	117.6	62
	6B	28	52.8	10	18.9	15	28.3	120.0	0.0	120.0	53
	7A	26	43.3	8	13.3	26	43.3	116.7	15.9	100.8	60
	7B	40	45.5	6	6.8	42	47.7	119.3	-0.0	119.3	81
	8A	12	34.3	7	20.0	16	45.7	120.0	0.0	120.0	35
	8B	5	13.5	5	13.5	27	73.0	120.0	0.6	119.4	37
	SUB-TOTAL	321	40.7	116	14.7	352	44.6	118.8	3.3	115.5	789
SECOND	JUDICIAL DISTRICT		e			÷				-	
	9 .	22	36.7	5	8.3	33	55.0	119.1	6.5	112.6	60
	9A	14	40.0	2	5.7	19	54.3	120.0	0.0	120.0	35
	10	48	32.9	14	9.6	84	57.5	119.4	4.4	115.0	146
	11	47	29.2	11	6.8	103	64.0	116.9	0.0	116.9	161
	12	10	17.2			48	82.8	120.0	0.0	120.0	58
	13	49	52.7	5	5.4	39	41.9	118.6	0.7	117.9	93
	14			27	31.0	60	69.0				87
	15A	17	16.0	48	45.3	41	38.7	118.8	22.6	96.2	106
	15B	18	33.3	4	7.4	32	59.3	120.0	0.0	120.0	54
	16A	6	30.0			14	70.0	107.0	20.0	87.0	20
	16B	26	29.9	7	8.0	54	62.1	117.0	10.5	106.5	87
	SUB-TOTAL	257	28.3	123	13.6	527	58.1	118.3	4.5	113.8	907

#### SENTENCES GREATER THAN 90 DAYS

### (CONTINUED) APPENDIX TABLE IV (b): JUDICIAL DIVISION AND DISTRICT BY SENTENCE

## SENTENCES GREATER THAN 90 DAYS


SENTENCE TYPE, JUDICIAL DIVISION AND DISTRICT, AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF ACTIVE SENTENCES		DISPOSITION						ACTIVE TIM	CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED	ACTUAL SENTENCED TIME SERVED	
		ACTIVE		INTERMEDIATE		COMMUNITY		(DAYS)	(DAYS)	(DAYS)	TOTAL
		NUMBER	8	NUMBER	8	NUMBER	ł	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	NUMBER
JUDICIAL DIVISION	JUDICIAL DISTRICT										
THIRD	17A	. 6	12.2	27	55.1	16	32.7	111.8	0.0	111.8	49
	17B	23	44.2	6	11.5	23	44.2	120.0	0.0	120.0	52
	18	58	31.0	16	8.6	113	60.4	120.0	0.1	119.9	187
	19A	37	56.9	6	9.2	22	33.8	118.4	0.0	118.4	65
	19B	18	40.0			27	60.0	118.4	0.0	118.4	45
	19C	46	48.9	12	12.8	36	38.3	117.0	0.0	117.0	94
	20A	35	36.8	8	8.4	52	54.7	117.7	0.0	117.7	95
	20B	55	57.9	4	4.2	36	37.9	119.6	0.0	119.6	95
	21	85	27.3	42	13.5	184	59.2	119.2	5.1	114.1	311
	22	133	46.0	65	22.5	91	31.5	119.7	0.5	119.2	289
	23	23	16.2	20	14.1	99	69.7	120.0	0.0	120.0	142
	SUB-TOTAL	519	36.4	206	14.5	699	49.1	119.1	1.0	118.1	1424
FOURTH	JUDICIAL DISTRICT										
	24			7	43.8	9	56.3				16
	25A	91	52.6	3	1.7	79	45.7	119.6	5.1	114.5	173
	25B	71	60.2	1	0.8	46	39.0	119.3	5.7	113.6	118
	26	3	4.9	1	1.6	57	93.4	120.0	11.0	109.0	61
	27A	18	23.7	1	1.3	57	75.0	120.0	0.0	120.0	76
	27B	26	37.7	2	2.9	41	59.4	118.9	0.0	118.9	69
	28	34	23.4	1	0.7	110	75.9	117.0	18.0	99.0	145
	29	27	20.9	24	18.6	78	60.5	120.0	0.0	120.0	129
	30A	6	22.2			21	77.8	120.0	2.7	117.3	27
	30B	13	22.8	6	10.5	38	66.7	116.9	0.0	116.9	57
	SUB-TOTAL	289	33.2	46	5.3	536	61.5	119.1	5.3	113.8	871
TOTAL		1386	34.7	491	12.3	2114	53.0	118.9	3.1	115.8	3991

## **APPENDIX B:**

## MAP OF JUDICIAL DIVISIONS AND DISTRICTS

APPENDIX B

# **North Carolina Superior Court** Districts and Divisions as of October 1, 1995



Copyright © 1995 Institute of Government The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

## **APPENDIX C:**

## INTERMEDIATE AND COMMUNITY PUNISHMENTS

#### **APPENDIX C**

#### **INTERMEDIATE PUNISHMENTS**

An intermediate punishment requires a sentence of supervised probation with at least one of the following conditions:

- 1. Split Sentence/Special Probation: Requires the offender to submit to a specified period of imprisonment in a prison or jail (total imprisonment may not exceed 25% of maximum sentence or 6 months, whichever is less) followed by a term of probation. It may include special conditions such as recommendation for work release or serving the active term in an inpatient facility. The IMPACT program (boot camp) is a form of special probation.
- 2. **Residential Facility:** Requires the offender to reside in a facility for a specified continuous period of time in order to participate in activities such as substance abuse counseling, social skills training, or employment training, conducted at the residence or at other specified locations.
- 3. Day Reporting Center: Requires mandatory attendance at a facility on a daily or otherwise regular basis at specified times for a specific length of time in order to participate in activities such as substance abuse counseling, social skills training, or employment training.
- 4. Intensive Supervision Probation: Requires close supervision by a probation officer in order to control and assist the offender to remain in the community pursuant to a community penalties plan, community work plan, community restitution plan, or other plan of rehabilitation.
- 5. Electronic Monitoring: Requires the offender to remain in one or more specified places for a specified period or periods each day to wear a device which permits the supervising agency to monitor the offender's compliance with the conditions electronically.
- 6. Community Penalties Plan Accepted by the Sentencing Judge: Requires the offender to comply with conditions specified in the plan.

#### **COMMUNITY PUNISHMENTS**

A community punishment is any authorized sentence that does not include an active punishment or an intermediate punishment. Community punishments can include, but are not limited to, one of the following: unsupervised or supervised probation (basic supervision not intensive supervision probation), outpatient drug/alcohol treatment, community service, restitution, fines, T.A.S.C. (Treatment Alternatives to Street Crime).

#### Endnotes

1. The number of convictions decreased during the month of June due to a week long Judges conference held that month.

2. Coding errors in reporting racial composition may account for the unusually large percentage of "Unknown" offenders.

3. The reader should note that this is only an estimate of the number of offenders that *may be* located in prison or jail (based on sentence length). Data on the actual placement of offenders was unavailable.

4. Intermediate Punishments have not always been reported. In some cases, the court may not have specified a specific type of Intermediate Punishments. It is likely, however, that the type of Intermediate Punishment imposed by the court has not been entered into the AOC Criminal Information System. Efforts are currently underway to determine the source of this problem and to take corrective action.

## NC Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission

420 copies of this public document were printed at a total cost of \$680.40, or about \$1.62 per copy.