TRIAL SCHEDULE

JUDGE CHANDLER - MAY 6, 2024 CIVIL SESSION

BRUNSWICK COUNTY

Monday (5-6-2024)

9:00 – 9:30 **JUVENILE COURT**

<u>9:30</u>

Harper v. Harper	Line #7	w/draw – Barefoot	Barefoot / McCrea
Doughty v. Tyson	Line #18	w/draw – Barefoot	Barefoot / Pro Se
Honeycutt v. Honeycutt	Line #21	w/draw – Heller & status	Heller / Barefoot
St. Jean v. St. Jean	Line #44	w/draw – Yount	Yount / Russell
O'Quinn v. Hadding & Canady	Line #8	records request and status	Thompson / Barefoot
Barnes v. Barnes	Line #16	status conference	Thompson / Iapalucci
Dixon v. Dixon	Line #48	Pre-trial ED	Schultz / Horton
Lewis v. Lewis	Line #63	divorce	Barefoot / Yount
Hood v. Hood	Line #15	ED trial	Phanco / Essey
Coston v. Coston	Line #31	child custody & support	Pro Se / Pro Se
Grubb v. Grubb	Line #40	child custody	Pro Se / Pro Se
Nobles v. Long	Line #45	child custody	Pro Se / Pro Se
Pickard v. Brown & Stoudemire	Line #55	child custody	Crocker / Pro Se

TUESDAY (5-7-2024)

Hill v. Hill	Line #17	contempt	Yount / PD counsel
Toney v. Blouin & Larue	Line #23	child custody	Yount/ProSe/Thompso
Freeman v. Bortle	Line #49	child custody	Heller / Essey

WEDNESDAY (5-8-2024)

McCaulley v. Flaso, et al.	Line #5	entry of order	Somers/Essey/Pro Se
Sturtz v. Sturtz	Line #14	entry of consent order	Yount / Essey
Carolan v. Grimm	Line #20	entry of consent order	Clyburn / Russell

Johnson v. Johnson	Line #28	entry of consent or V/D	Crocker / Lea
Hughes v. Hughes	Line #29	entry of consent order	Yount / Heller
Sharpnack v. Sharpnack	Line #30	entry of parenting agreement	Somers / Crocker
Moore & Harmon v. Merkel	Line #47	entry of consent order	Yount / Essey
Wagoner v. Lanier	Line #51	entry of consent order	Crocker / Pro Se
Jones v. Delong	Line #62	entry of consent re: DSS records Smith / Frey	
Gooden v. Gooden	Line #22	status conference	Hall / Kiernan
Lowe v. Lowe	Line #54	entry of sched. Order	Lea / Barefoot
Roberts v. Roberts	Line #57	scheduling order	Cronk / Heller
Dugan v. Olivero	Line #26	child custody	Yount / Pettijohn
Gomez v. Bautista	Line #43	child support	Heller / Yount
Neilsen v. Wilder	Line #56	compel & sched. Order	Yount / Hall
Garcia v. D'Accordo	Line #60	temporary custody	Crocker / Pro Se
BACK-UP Green v. Lance	Line #33	child custody	Williams / Cronk
BACK-UP Drennan v .Drennan	Line #61	Int. Dist.	Thompson / Horton

CASES ON THE TRIAL SCHEDULE ARE EXPECTED TO BE TRIED OR SETTLED BY ENTRY OF CONSENT ORDER. CONTINUANCES WILL NOT BE REGULARLY GRANTED ONCE A CASE IS PLACED ON THE TRIAL SCHEDULE. IF YOU SETTLE A CASE THAT IS LISTED ON THE TRIAL SCHEDULE, YOU ARE TO NOTIFY THE COURT IMMEDIATELY SO THAT ARRANGEMENTS CAN BE MADE TO HEAR OTHER CASES.

BACK-UP CASES ARE EXPECTED TO BE PREPARED FOR TRIAL ON THE ASSIGNED DAY IN THE EVENT THAT SPACE BECOMES AVAILABLE ON THE TRIAL SCHEDULE.

ALL SCHEDULING ORDERS THAT ARE TO BE ENTERED BY CONSENT MUST BE SIGNED BY COUNSEL FOR ALL PARTIES. IF YOU ARE SCHEDULED TO APPEAR FOR THE ENTRY OF A SCHEDULING ORDER AND YOU FAIL TO DO SO, THE COURT WILL ENTER AN ADMINISTRATIVE SCHEDULING ORDER IN YOUR CASE WITHOUT YOUR INPUT.

ANY PRE-TRIAL ORDER TO BE ENTERED BY CONSENT MUST BE SIGNED BY ALL PARTIES (SIGNATURES NOTARIZED) AND THEIR ATTORNEYS. IF THE PRE-TRIAL ORDER IS NOT TO BE ENTERED BY CONSENT, THEN THE PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS MUST BE PRESENT IN COURT ON THE SCHEDULED DAY