

Table 5: Summary of the Enacted 2017 House Plan and House Simulation Sets 1 and 2:

| | 2017 House Plan: | House Simulation Set 1: | House Simulation Set 2: |
|--|---------------------------|--|---|
| Description: | Current Enacted Plan | Simulated House maps following only non-partisan traditional districting criteria | Simulated House maps that: 1) Protect the maximum number of incumbents in each grouping; 2) Protect at least the same incumbents who were protected in the 2017 House Plan; and 3) Otherwise follow non-partisan traditional districting criteria. |
| Total Number of Simulated Plans: | | 1,000 simulated maps | 1,000 simulated maps |
| Number of Split Municipalities*: | 79 | 38 to 55 | 44 to 61 |
| Number of Split VTDs*: | 48 | 6 to 18 | 7 to 20 |
| Average Reock Score (Compactness): | 0.412 | 0.444 to 0.474 | 0.439 to 0.465 |
| Average Polsby-Popper Score (Compactness): | 0.321 | 0.348 to 0.384 | 0.336 to 0.371 |
| Mean-Median Difference: | +0.053 | +0.015 to +0.038 | +0.012 to +0.040 |
| Democratic Districts (using 2010-2016 Statewide Election Composite, which corresponds to a 47.92% statewide Democratic vote share): | 42 (out of 120 districts) | 43 (6 simulation) 44 (48 simulations) 45 (172 simulations) 46 (284 simulations) 47 (278 simulations) 48 (132 simulations) 49 (58 simulations) 50 (20 simulations) 51 (2 simulations) | 43 (1 simulation) 44 (21 simulations) 45 (88 simulations) 46 (222 simulations) 47 (319 simulations) 48 (210 simulations) 49 (99 simulations) 50 (28 simulations) 51 (12 simulations) |