Table 8: Comparison of 2017 Senate Plan and Senate Simulation Set 1 and Set 2 On Number of Districts with Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Thresholds in Individual Counties

County:	Number of 2017 Senate Plan Districts Wholly Within County:	Election for Which Dr. Lewis Estimates Black CVAP Threshold:	Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold:	Number of 2017 Senate Plan Districts Satisfying Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold:	Senate Simulation Set 1 Plans Containing At Least the Same Number of Districts with Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold as the 2017 Senate Plan:	Senate Simulation Set 1 Plans Containing More Districts with Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold than the 2017 Senate Plan:	Senate Simulation Set 2 Plans Containing At Least the Same Number of Districts with Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold as the 2017 Senate Plan:	Senate Simulation Set 2 Plans Containing More Districts with Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold than the 2017 Senate Plan:
		Attorney						
Bladen	0	General, Primary (2016)	14%	0	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
Bladen	0	Hypothetical State Senate (2016)	27%	0	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
Bladen	0	Lt. Gov., General (2016)	32%	0	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
Bladen	0	President, General (2016)	37%	0	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
Columbus	0	Sheriff, General (2018)	31%	0	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
Cumberland	1	Attorney General, Primary (2016)	13%	1 (SD 19)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
Cumberland	1	Hypothetical State Senate (2016)	34%	0	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)

County:	Number of 2017 Senate Plan Districts Wholly Within County:	Election for Which Dr. Lewis Estimates Black CVAP Threshold:	Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold:	Number of 2017 Senate Plan Districts Satisfying Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold:	Senate Simulation Set 1 Plans Containing At Least the Same Number of Districts with Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold as the 2017 Senate Plan:	Senate Simulation Set 1 Plans Containing More Districts with Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold than the 2017 Senate Plan:	Senate Simulation Set 2 Plans Containing At Least the Same Number of Districts with Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold as the 2017 Senate Plan:	Senate Simulation Set 2 Plans Containing More Districts with Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold than the 2017 Senate Plan:
	-	Commissioner						
Cumberland	1	of Labor, Primary (2016)	65%	0	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
Forsyth	1	Commissioner of Labor, Primary (2016)	5%	1 (SD 32)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
rorsyth		Hypothetical State Senate	3,0	1 (35 32)	1,000 (100/0)	0 (070)	1,000 (100/0)	0 (070)
Forsyth	1	(2016)	40%	1 (SD 32)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Forsyth	1	Attorney General, Primary (2016)	44%	0	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
C iltari	2	Commissioner of Labor,	F0/	2 (50 27 20)	4 000 (4000)	0 (00()	4 000 (4000()	0 (00)
Guilford	2	Primary (2016)	5%	2 (SD 27, 28)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
Guilford	2	Sheriff, Primary (2014)	23%	1 (SD 28)	1,000 (100%)	140 (14%)	1,000 (100%)	131 (13.1%)
- 46	_	Attorney General,				2 (22 ()		- ()
Guilford	2	Primary (2016)	26%	1 (SD 28)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
Guilford	2	Sheriff, Primary (2018)	30%	1 (SD 28)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)

County:	Number of 2017 Senate Plan Districts Wholly Within County:	Election for Which Dr. Lewis Estimates Black CVAP Threshold:	Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold:	Number of 2017 Senate Plan Districts Satisfying Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold:	Senate Simulation Set 1 Plans Containing At Least the Same Number of Districts with Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold as the 2017 Senate Plan:	Senate Simulation Set 1 Plans Containing More Districts with Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold than the 2017 Senate Plan:	Senate Simulation Set 2 Plans Containing At Least the Same Number of Districts with Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold as the 2017 Senate Plan:	Senate Simulation Set 2 Plans Containing More Districts with Dr. Lewis' Black CVAP Threshold than the 2017 Senate Plan:
	_	Sheriff, General				- /		- 1
Guilford	2	(2018)	31%	1 (SD 28)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
Guilford	2	Hypothetical State Senate (2016)	40%	1 (SD 28)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
	_	Sheriff, General	,	_ (02 _0)		C (C/S)	_,	3 (375)
Guilford	2	(2014)	43%	1 (SD 28)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
Nash	0	Sheriff, Primary (2014)	30%	0	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
Nash	0	Hypothetical State Senate (2016)	41%	0	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
140511		Sheriff, General	1170	, ,	1,000 (10070)	0 (070)	1,000 (10070)	0 (070)
Nash	0	(2014)	54%	0	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
		Sheriff, General			,			
Pitt	0	(2018)	28%	0	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)
Robeson	0	Sheriff, Primary (2018)	36%	0	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,000 (100%)	0 (0%)