

| | | Excess | | |
|----------------|---------|-------------|----------|------------------|
| Municipality | Split % | Avg % split | St. dev. | Split in enacted |
| Kingstown | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - |
| Ranlo | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - |
| High Shoals | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - |
| Stanley | 8.628 | 0.622 | 3.083 | Y |
| Shelby | 8.8 | 15.99 | 12.92 | Y |
| Lowell | 11.73 | 0.340 | 0.010 | - |
| Bessemer City | 13.53 | 38.52 | 1.119 | - |
| Mount Holly | 17.22 | 21.08 | 15.25 | - |
| Cherryville | 20.75 | 42.20 | 9.118 | - |
| Kings Mountain | 21.09 | 11.06 | 16.36 | Y |
| Dallas | 33.14 | 35.11 | 0.652 | - |
| Belmont | 71.08 | 27.05 | 14.93 | Y |
| Cramerton | 74.24 | 0.221 | 0.599 | Y |
| Gastonia | 100.0 | 40.90 | 8.599 | Y |

Figure 82: We compare municipal divisions of the enacted plan with the ensemble. Split % reports the fraction of plans in the ensemble in which the given municipality was split. Avg. Split reports the fraction of the population that was removed from the core district(s) and St. dev. is the standard deviation of this split in the ensemble.