

Cluster	Unique	Ensemble	Unique-Incumbent	Incumbent	Plaintiff selected
Alamance	1267	2558	333	798	Y
Bladen-Lee-Sampson-Harnett-Greene-Wayne-Johnston	654	3639	444	2244	N
Buncombe	3301	3606	2857	3146	Y
Caswell-Orange	381	3881	364	3852	N
Catawba	513	9930	335	6243	N
Chatham-Durham	2355	2437	15	15	N
Columbus-Pender-Robeson	14659	15941	10548	11378	Y
Craven-Beaufort	9	187	9	187	N
Cumberland	10368	10368	5048	5048	Y
Davidson	2752	17614	1728	8673	N
Davie-Montgomery-Richmond-Cabarrus-Rowan-Stanly	7725	7725	3822	3822	Y
Duplin-Onslow	238	7688	214	7590	Y
Gaston-Cleveland	4090	8750	1834	4666	Y
Guilford	332	1442	8	9	Y
Iredell	370	50115	370	50115	N
Madison-Swain-Jackson-Haywood-Yancey	295	3166	295	3166	N
Mecklenburg	40549	40549	103	103	Y
Nash-Franklin	67	4284	67	4284	Y
New Hanover-Brunswick	42386	46642	25684	28507	Y
Person-Vance-Granville-Warren	14	4060	14	4060	N
Pitt-Lenoir	1590	3763	1590	3763	Y
Polk-Transylvania-Henderson	289	41049	248	39286	N
Randolph-Moore	224	8078	130	5373	N
Rutherford-Burke	288	5026	288	5026	N
Union-Anson	2886	6645	2773	6495	Y
Wake	50000	50000	27	27	Y
Wilkes-Stokes-Surry-Rockingham-Alleghany-Alexander	4366	4649	2265	2461	N
Yadkin-Forsyth	17062	17062	1136	1136	Y

Table 14: The total number of maps in the ensemble for the North Carolina House, along with the total number of unique maps. We also present the number of total and unique maps in the ensemble that preserve incumbents to the same extent or better than the enacted plan. These numbers are for the primary ensembles; for the ensembles that were built to expressly preserve incumbents, see Table 15.