NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES SESSION

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY

TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS

In Raleigh, North Carolina Monday, August 28, 2017, 1:30 p.m.

PREPARED BY: Regina Toppins

RUFFIN CONSULTING, INC.

DIRECT DIAL: 252-243-9000

WWW.RUFFINCONSULTING.COM

Legislative Defendants Trial

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinCons

Phone: 252-243-9000 **LDNC000842** 

Ex.014

2.5

SPEAKER MOORE: The House will come to order. Members will take their seats. Visitors will retire from the chamber. Sergeant-At-Arms will close the doors. Ask members and guests to please silence all electronic devices.

This afternoon's prayer will be offered by Representative Jones. We'd ask our members and our guests in the gallery to please stand and remain standing for the pledge of allegiance.

Representative Jones.

REPRESENTATIVE JONES: Let us pray. Our Father and our God, let us come before your throne today with praise and thanksgiving. You are a great God and a good God, full of love, mercy and grace. You're a God of truth. And in all our ways let us acknowledge you as God. Let us bring honor and glory to you today and everyday. We pray for our nation. We're calling in your word that blessed is the nation whose God is the lord. We lift up all of our people and all those in authority that they will seek and receive divine wisdom from above.

The psalm reminds us that it is better to put our trust in the Lord than to put our confidence in man. Let us put our trust in you, oh, Lord, our strength, and our redeemer.

Page 3

Father, we lift up all that try to protect us, including our military and our law enforcement. We pray for their safety. We also lift up those that are dealing with weather-related disasters, such as the hurricane in the Texas area. We pray for their safety as well. We thank you for all your many blessings to us. You're a wonderful and a gracious God. As each may pray in their own way, I pray in the name of your son, my savior Jesus Christ, amen.

ASSEMBLY MEMBERS: Amen.

I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible with liberty and justice for all.

SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman from Harnett, Representative Lewis, is recognized for a motion.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Mr. Speaker, the

Journal for August 25th has been examined and found to

be correct. I moved that it be approved as written.

SPEAKER MOORE: Representative Lewis moves the Journal for August 25th be approved as written. Those in favor will say Aye; those opposed say No. The Ayes have it. The Journal is approved as written.

Calendar. House vote 927. The Clerk will read.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

24

25

Page 4

The House will come to order. Members,
Members, please give your attention to the Chair just
a moment. We, I believe during these proceedings we
have a court reporter, who is also trying to
transcribe, so we'd ask that the conversations please
be kept down so that the court reporter can do that as
well as just general respect to our fellow members.

The Clerk will read.

HOUSE CLERK: Representatives Lewis and Dollar, House Bill 927, a Bill to be entitled Enact to realign the districts for elections of members of the North Carolina General Assembly. General Assembly of North Carolina enacts.

SPEAKER MOORE: Further discussion, further debate.

Does the gentleman from Harnett wish to explain the Bill?

18 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, Mr.

19 Speaker.

SPEAKER MOORE: And, by the way, the Chair will suspend Rule 12D. The gentleman has the floor.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you,

23 Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker and Members, we are here today in order to comply with the Covington's Court order.

Page 5

The court's specific finding was that despite a robust and extensive record produced by this General Assembly in 2011, there was not enough evidence of legally significant racially polarized voting to draw 19 majority/minority districts in the North Carolina House plan. It is important to note that the court did not find discriminatory intent on our part. Specifically the court that, "nor does it signify that the legislature acted in bad faith or with discriminary intent in its redistricting."

The process that we're using today is in response and at the order of the court. The court gave us a timeline that requires us to enact a plan by September 1st. We produced the first such redraw 14 business days, 19 total days after the court order. As I had announced before, it was our intent to have more public input and to produce the maps by early November; however, in no way should these remarks be construed as being critical of the court.

Our intention today is simply to comply with the order of the court. The timeline that the court allowed provided time for us to meet and to receive public input as we adopted the criteria, it provided for us to have one statewide public hearing last Tuesday and we have had a robust committee process

Page 6

thus far.

As a note on the public hearing, I think it's important to point out that one of the most prevalent feedbacks that we received, in fact, I personally got 2,050 e-mails asking that the legislature produce a map to look at before the public hearings were held. We did that. I had hoped that activists and other speakers who engage with different aspects regarding the legislative process might would have offered a little more input on the specific map, but that's not what they chose to do.

I will attest that I did attend the public hearing in Raleigh, I did also listen to the audio recordings provided by the House Sergeant-At-Arms of the remote sites.

I'm very proud of the map that this committee has produced. We produced a redistricting plan that complies with the criteria that the committee adopted as well, and most importantly, as was stated in the federal law. Other proposals that I've seen fail to live up to this map and I hope to be able to tell you why.

First, this map complies with the equal population requirement as established in Stevenson versus Bartlett. No district exceeds the plus or

Page 7

minus five percent population deviation.

Second, our map produces contiguous districts. We did our best to avoid using water contiguity where it was not required by the county groupings formula.

Third, our map does comply with the county groupings formula as established by Stevenson versus Bartlett decision, and unlike other maps I've seen, this map complies with the county traversals as authorized in the Stevenson decision. This map splits 39 counties, the fewest in over two decades of maps.

Fourth, this map is more compact, using the parameters or Polsby-Popper score and the dispersion score the Reock score. This map is more compact than maps enacted by the General Assembly over the past two decades, and it complies with the committee's criteria to use compactness as established by the test I've already mentioned.

Fifth, this map splits fewer precincts than maps produced over the past two decades. It splits 49 precincts total, but 30 of those are retained from unaffected county groupings. And by that I did clarify to the committee that when you do the county optimization plan, some of the existing districts did not need to be changed in order to comply with the

Page 8

order of the court and, therefore, they are not changed on this map.

Sixth, this map splits less municipalities and respects more municipal boundaries than prior plans enacted over the past two decades. This map also complies with the rest of the committee's criteria of encompassing protection, the use of election data and no consideration of race. We avoided maliciously double bunking incumbents. Indeed, there are only six incumbents that are double debunked in this plan. Four of them are required by the county grouping formula.

Members, this plan accomplishes what the court has asked us to do. This plan reflects thoughtful consideration, it reflects public input and it reflects my genuine desire to comply with the order of the court.

I will have an amendment coming shortly, but I would ask you to support the plan as amended.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER MOORE: Further discussion, further debate?

For what purpose does the gentleman from Wake, Representative Martin, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: To see if the bill

Page 9

sponsor would yield to a few questions.

SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman from

3 | Harnett yield to the gentleman from Wake?

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: I yield, Mr.

Speaker.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SPEAKER MOORE: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you,

Mr. Speaker, and thank you to the bill sponsor also.

Representative Lewis, in looking at the map, I'm looking at Wayne County off in the eastern part of the state and specifically at District 10, and as you know, of course, our state constitution does have a whole county provision, which as we know when redistricting law and principles is not absolute, it's subject to other factors, but it is in our constitution. And so, in looking at Wayne County, I see that it's spread over, as I count them, three separate counties. I'm sorry, the District 10 is spread into Wayne County, Johnston County, and Greene County, but as I look at it, it seems pretty clear that you could have drawn that district into just two counties. What was the reason for that?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for the question, Representative Martin. First, if I could point out that Wayne County is in a 7-county grouping

Page 10

that stretches from Bladen in the south to Greene in the north. It goes as far west as Lee and, again, as far east as Greene.

What we are required to do, as you know, is the optimum number for a county for a state House seat, and I'm looking at my notes to make sure I don't misspeak on this very important number, the optimum number for a state House seat is 79,462. As I said, we are allowed to have a plus or minus 5%. So what we did in producing this map is to, first of all, create the optimal county grouping, which is done by taking the 2010 population and divided it by this number. Once we got a whole number that we could use, we then set about dividing up the areas within the county group.

To your specific question, we actually did look at a drawing that would have done what you suggest. However, in keeping with the entire nine criteria that were adopted, we feel like this draw is the preferred way to go. I realize that this is the only map that is before us now. I would point out for the record that there have been other maps submitted during this process that also would have crossed into a third county, to use your analogy or to use your specific example, but to be perhaps a bit more clear,

Page 11 1 we believe that we have drawn this in compliance with 2 the Stevenson rules and been able to harmonize those 3 with the nine criteria that the committee adopted. 4 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Mr. Speaker? 5 SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the 6 gentleman rise? 7 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: To see if the bill sponsor will yield to a follow-up question. 8 9 SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to 10 an additional question? 11 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield. 12 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 13 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. 14 Speaker, and thank you to the bill sponsor also. 15 I'd like to ask a question specifically with 16 the part of your response where you said that in 17 looking at it with this option that emerged with splitting the district over three counties versus a 18 19 district that was just in two counties, that the 20 option that we had before was in your words I think the preferred option. Would you be willing to 21 2.2 elaborate a little bit more about what factors you 23 considered in how you weighed them when determining 24 that this was the preferred approach?

2.5

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 12

question. There were nine criteria, I believe,
Representative Martin, the best I can recall. This
avoid us -- this helped us avoid having to pair
incumbents in this draw.

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Mr. Speaker?

SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, to see if the bill sponsor would yield to another follow-up question.

SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to additional questions?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I do.

SPEAKER MOORE: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you,

16 | Representative Lewis.

So, the way what I hear in that answer I think is that in applying various factors that the committee adopted, that you chose incumbent protection as you were deciding that this was the preferred option over perhaps compactness because District 10 as it shows up here being spread across three counties is perhaps not as compact as a district might be in just two counties, not to mention its interaction with the whole county provision of the Constitution.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 13

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for that question, Representative Martin.

I should further elaborate that again when you look at all of the criteria, including the most important, you know, compliance with the state constitution, I do believe that the other draw would have created, based on what we saw, additional county traversals as well. So, while this is does go into three counties, it doesn't weave in and weave out and so on. So, I do believe that this draw best conforms to the criteria that was adopted by the committee.

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, sir. Mr. Speaker?

SPEAKER MOORE: Gentleman may state his purpose.

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: To see if the bill sponsor would yield to another question.

SPEAKER MOORE: Will the gentleman yield to an additional question?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Yes, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER MOORE: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, sir.

I'd like to shift to a little bit different part of the state over to Forsyth County, some districts there, and actually back over to Sampson and

Page 14

Columbus. And there's districts in those counties that to my eye at least don't appear to be particularly compact. Would you mind telling me what the reason in a map that you say compactness was a top priority, why are these districts perhaps not as compact as other districts throughout the state?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for that question, Representative Martin. If I said that compactness was the top priority, then I misspoke. I said that was one of the criteria that was adopted by the committee, or at least that's what I intended to say.

The district in Forsyth County, House

District 75, falls -- falls with the range of

acceptable compactness as measured by the

Polsby-Popper score. Again, not to try to repeat

myself with you or the members of the House, when you

apply all of the criteria that the committee was

tasked with using, this is the draw that I feel best

-- best meets all nine.

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Mr. Speaker?

SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman may state his

23 purpose.

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, to see if the bill sponsor would yield to another question.

Page 15

SPEAKER MOORE: Would the gentleman from Harnett yield to an additional question?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I do.

SPEAKER MOORE: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, and I'm very grateful to the bill sponsor for his patience with my questions.

So, my question is with regard to House District 75 in Forsyth County, which is squinting my aged eyes to look at it. Looks like it takes up the southeast corner of Forsyth County, runs along the southern border of Forsyth County and then takes up a chunk of southwest Forsyth County that that district is -- meets your criteria for compactness; is that correct?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for the question, Representative Martin.

One of the things that I would point out and I will have to look at the exact report, but you know Forsyth County, of course, is the home of the great city of Winston-Salem, and I believe that we're able to maintain and respect the municipal boundaries of Winston-Salem by using this draw. So, again I would reiterate that, yes, it is probably possible to draw a more compact district, but compactness was not the

Page 16 only criteria or the only goal that we had in the 1 2 production of these maps. 3 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Mr. Speaker? 4 SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman may state his 5 purpose. 6 REPRESENTATIVE MOORE: Thank you, Mr. 7 Speaker, to see if the bill sponsor would kindly yield 8 to another question. 9 SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to 10 an additional question? REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: 11 T do. 12 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 13 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, 14 Representative Lewis. I appreciate your answer to 15 that, and I wondered if you wouldn't mind also talking 16 me through the other counties I mentioned, the 17 districts in Sampson and Columbus counties look a 18 little bit, again, to my untrained eye not 19 particularly compact, and I wondered if you wouldn't 20 mind discussing what the reasons for their lack of 21 compactness would be. 22 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for 23 the question, Representative Martin. 24 The districts in the county grouping that 25 you asked about which stretch from Bladen in the south

Page 17

Greene in the North, I think you'll find that Bladen is a whole county, then we have enough of Sampson County to meet the population requirement. I did see a draw that may have perhaps been judged a bit more compact, but that would have created a situation where incumbents were paired.

Again, incumbency protection was not a primary goal, but it was one of the nine goals or one of the nine criteria that the committee adopted.

As far as the Columbus County draw, the Columbus County draw was a part of a grouping that stretches from Pender County in the east to Robeson County in the west, and what you will find when trying to draw this is when you start in Pender County and then you take enough population to meet the one person, one vote, it simply creates the district that you have there. Again, I would point out that the overall score of this map in compactness is within the guidelines that we have stated.

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Mr. Speaker?

SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman may state his purpose.

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: To see if the bill sponsor would yield to a further question.

SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to

Page 18

an additional question?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Yes, sir, I yield.

SPEAKER MOORE: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: And thank you again for your patience, Representative Lewis.

So, you talked about in the context of these districts that at least in my eyes don't look as compact as a lot of the other ones, that a factor that you weighed here incumbent protection. Is it safe to say that in evaluating these maps for the best approach, that you weighed incumbent protection more heavily than compactness here?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for that question.

Again I would say that it was one of the criteria that was used in evaluating the maps. There are examples in this map where compactness was -- we could have been more compact had we not had incumbency protection as a goal. The most compact draw that I saw actually put five members in Wake County in the same seat, but that would not have been in keeping with the spirit and the other nine -- the other eight criteria that -- that were selected by the committee.

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Mr. Speaker?

SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman may state his

Page 19 1 purpose. 2 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: To see if the bill 3 sponsor will let me take him to one final part of the 4 state. 5 SPEAKER MOORE: Does the bill sponsor yield 6 to an additional question? 7 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield. SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. 10 Speaker. Thank you once again, Chairman Lewis. If we 11 can take a look at the cluster that has Rowan, Davie 12 13 Cabarrus and a few other counties in it, it looks to 14 me that there are two districts in that cluster that 15 are solely within one county, not the same county, but 16 they're each within a single county. I think it's 76 17 and 82, but as I've looked at it, it seems that it's possible in that cluster to draw three house districts 18 19 that would each be located within an individual 20 county. What was the reasoning behind drawing the 21 district this way? 22 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for 23 that question, Representative Martin. 24 Ironically, if you did the draw that you

25

asked about, which would put two seats in Cabarrus

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Page 20

County, you would have an additional county traversal into Rowan County, which, respectfully, is something that you just questioned over in Wayne County.

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, sir.

SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the lady from Orange, Representative Insko, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE INSKO: To ask Representative Lewis a question.

SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to the lady from Orange?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Yes, sir.

SPEAKER MOORE: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE INSKO: Representative Lewis, when we did -- when you all did the 2011 maps for the U. S. Congressional races, the balance shifted from 7 democrats and 6 republicans to 10 republicans and 3 democrats. Those maps were found to be unconstitutional and needed to be redrawn, which you all did. And I remember that you stood up on the floor of the House and said that you were going to redraw the maps, not paying any attention to race and that you intended to draw the maps that would result in 10 republicans and 3 democrats, and that if you could, you would draw 11, but you couldn't do that.

So, just looking at the split that we have

Page 21

now between House democrats here, 74 and 46 democrats, how by the average year, what would the new maps produce in that ratio?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, Representative, first of all, thank you for the question. And if I may, as you sort of prefaced your question, I'd like to preface my answer.

Perhaps in 2016 I did use a little bit more hyperbole on the floor than I wish I had. I can tell you that I had no partisan target in mind when these maps were drawn.

To answer your question, I would point out that we have provided on your desk a stack pack of ten different races that ten different electoral contests, which were a part of the criteria adopted by the committee. You will find that there are relative districts that tend to perform one way or the other, but there are a whole lot that tend to vote both ways in terms of one year they may have selected the democratic nominee for governor, the next went they selected the republican.

The short answer to your question, which perhaps I should have done first, is as I had no direct outcome target in mind. I honestly don't know, nor have I seen any numbers that indicate what the

NC House of Representatives Floor Session Hearing Page 22 partisan results of this map would be. 1 2 REPRESENTATIVE INSKO: May I ask a 3 follow-up? 4 SPEAKER MOORE: Representative Insko is 5 recognized. Does the gentleman yield to an additional 6 question? 7 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Yes, sir. 8 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 9 REPRESENTATIVE INSKO: So, I haven't 10 actually counted this map up either. I think the 11 original one I saw had potential for 76 republicans seats and 44 democratic seats, but would it be fair to 12 13 say that if you could draw -- if you could draw more 14 districts that would be more favorable to republicans, 15 that you would do that, or based on your comments in 16 2016 I guess congressional districts, so if you could 17 do 11 you would. If you could do 77 for republicans, 18 would that be your goal? 19 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for 20 your question, Representative. 21 The direct answer is my only goal in this is 22 to comply with the order of the Covington Court. 23 would point out, though, because I think it goes to

24

25

what you're saying is that there are a lot of factors

that influence the outcome of elections. As you know,

Phone: 252-243-9000

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

amendment.

Page 23

you and I both served here for some time and there are a lot of things that influence elections: The amount of money that an incumbent can raise, let's just say, the things like that, the name ID, the level of constituency services that an incumbent provides. All those things influence the outcome of elections that are not a part of the map making process.

REPRESENTATIVE INSKO: Thank you.

SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the gentleman from Cabarrus, Representative Pittman, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE PITTMAN: To send forth an

SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman is recognized to send forth an amendment. The Clerk will read.

HOUSE CLERK: Representative Pittman moves to amend the bill on page 23, lines 21 through 27, by deleting those lines and substituting the following.

SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman is recognized to debate the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE PITTMAN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Ladies and gentlemen, making adjustments in the districts in Rowan and Cabarrus counties does not require moving one incumbent's precinct into another incumbent's district and vice versa as the proposed

Page 24

map would do. My amendment would undo this unnecessary maneuver so that each incumbent can remain in approximately the district each currently serves.

You know, there are a lot of folks in my district currently who have seen these maps and they're pretty upset about it, and they've been doing some research and they've given me some items that you might be interested to hear.

With the proposed map it is estimated that only about 10 percent of Cabarrus County motors -- excuse me, voters, can't talk today, would have the option of keeping their representative if they so choose. Approximately 120,000 voters would be disenfranchised to the extent that the legislature will have decided to remove their representative from them as opposed to the voters being able to make that decision themselves. Voters should be allowed to choose their representatives rather than the legislature or the courts choosing for them.

Cabarrus County is the 9th largest county in the state. Under the committee's map the eight larger ones all have complete districts within their counties. These include Mecklenburg, Wake, Guilford, Forsyth, Cumberland, Buncombe, New Hanover and Gaston. The next eight smaller counties all have two complete

Page 25

districts within them. This includes Onslow, Johnson, Iredell, Alamance, Catawba, Randolph, Rowan and Robeson. Why is Cabarrus the only county in that size range being required to reach outside its borders to complete two districts? Cabarrus deserves the same treatment as every other large county.

If you make two incumbents switch districts, the constituents of each will lose the continuity of familiarity and service they have received from each of those representatives. That would serve no purpose except perhaps to dictate to the voters that they must choose someone else when that may not be their desire. These districts and others have been formed to favor members who have been in office longer, and to disfavor members who have not been here a long time. This is the sort of thing that I believe is causing many citizens in our state and across the nation to demand term limits. They are tired of long-term politicians protecting their own status as opposed to newer members the people might favor who don't intend to make a career of it.

Protecting long-term incumbents I believe is a problem. I understand it was a criteria that the committee chose to use in putting these maps together. However, you know, we serve two-year terms here and I

Page 26

believe each term should be seen as standing alone.

Doesn't matter if you've been here three or four
years, doesn't matter if you've been here nine, ten
years, 20 years, however long it may be, each election
is a new thing and I believe the rights of all
candidates should be respected. I mean I've had
people run against me in the three elections that I've
won and my attitude has always been they have just as
much right to run as anybody, including myself. After
all, these seats belong to the people, not to us.

I'm also being told that 90% of the voters in Cabarrus County under this proposed map would not be allowed to vote for their incumbent in Cabarrus County. Voters, again, should make that choice, not the legislature or the courts.

If someone wants to move into another district to run, I think they ought to get a house in that district and move themselves there, not move your whole precinct or that of an undesired opponent whether with or without Representative Johnson's consent this has been done, I couldn't say about that, but I know I was not consulted, I don't think Representative Ford was consulted about this configuration, and I'm pretty sure most of the voters in Cabarrus and Rowan County were not asked their

Page 27

1 opinion.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Simply putting my precinct back into my current district and Representative Johnson's precinct back into her district is what my amendment would accomplish, and I tried to do as little moving around to accomplish that as I could.

What it entails is moving precincts 0404, 0405, 0406 and 0407 back into the 83rd District, and precincts 1209, 1212 and 0108 back into the 82nd District. That is within the 5%.

So, I appreciate your support for my amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the gentleman from Harnett, Representative Lewis, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: To debate the

amendment.

SPEAKER MOORE. The gentleman has the floor to debate the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you.

Mr. Speaker and Members, if I could direct your attention to the 2017 House Redistricting Plan A, I'd like to talk a little bit about this amendment, but first I want to point out that this is an amendment that changes the county grouping that stretches from Richmond in the south to Davie in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 28

north. This county grouping is a part of the county optimal -- the optimal grouping scheme that both parties to the lawsuit agree exists. This is the optimal county grouping for this state. When you do that, there was literally one more incumbent, one more seated member of the General Assembly in this county group than the population of that county group would allow for.

I spent a lot of time trying to figure out what to do with that issue. The only ways that I knew to solve it, and I don't make light of this because I know everybody works hard and sacrifices a great deal to be up here, the options that I considered were you could have gone to the north end of the county group and grouped the incumbents that were there, you could have gone to the south and grouped them there. Frankly, you could have drawn them out of a hat, but the only criteria that I could think of to use that met with the criteria of the committee was to look at the length of incumbency. The -- to be clear, under no configuration, including the one that the gentleman from Cabarrus has just sent forward, under no configurations is there a way to avoid pairing incumbents in this group.

What his amendment proposes to do is to pair

Page 29

a different two. I would ask you respectfully to vote this down. It's unfortunate and regretful that we have to make a choice like this, but I have made it using the data that I had, which included the criteria of the incumbency and that, of course, includes the number of terms that are served.

So, I would ask you to vote no on this amendment.

SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the gentleman from Cabarrus, Representative Pittman, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE PITTMAN: Speak on the amendment a second time.

SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman is recognized to speak on the amendment a second time.

REPRESENTATIVE PITTMAN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Ladies and gentlemen, just very briefly I would like to point out that I understand that either way somebody among the three of us that two are going to be paired. I would point out to you that geographically Representative Ford and Representative Johnson are much closer together than I am to either one of them. Thank you.

SPEAKER MOORE: Further discussion, further debate? If not, the question for the House is the

Page 30

adoption of Amendment Al sent forth by Representative Pittman. Those in favor will vote Aye, those opposed will vote No. The Clerk will open the vote.

Representative Rogers, Representative Corbin in chamber. Representative Alexander, Representative Collins.

Clerk will lock the machine and record the vote. 7 having voted in the affirmative; 102 in the negative. The amendment fails.

The gentleman from Harnett, Representative

Lewis, is recognized to send forth the amendment. The

Clerk will read.

THE CLERK: Representative Lewis moves to amend the bill on page 3, line 39 through page 4, line 2 by deleting those lines and submitting the following.

SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman has the floor to debate the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, first of all, I'd like to correct the record. When the committee met on Friday, there was an alternative proposal that had been submitted for consideration, and I erroneously stated the House plan that I submitted was more compact in

Page 31

Wake County and I misspoke.

Representative Jackson from Wake County was kind enough to share with me on Friday that I had misspoke and provided the data to reemphasize that.

Therefore, I worked on Saturday to try to improve the compactness scores. I also reached out for advice to some members of the House of the Wake County delegation for their input.

Therefore, despite what you may or may not think of the map, I would ask you to support this amendment. I think this map does a better job of keeping municipalities whole. I think this map is certainly more compact. The Reock score is increased by .019. The Polsby-Popper score is increased by 0.5.

Again, there is one fewer split town in this map, and I would urge members to please support this amendment.

SPEAKER MOORE: Further discussion, further debate? If not, the question for the House is the adoption of Amendment A2 sent forward by Representative Lewis. Those in favor will vote Aye, those opposed will vote No. The Clerk will open the vote.

The Clerk will lock the machine and record the vote.

Page 32 64 having voted in the affirmative, and 46 1 2 in the negative the Amendment is adopted. 3 For what purpose does the gentleman from 4 Lee, Representative Reives, rise? 5 He stepped off. For what purpose does the lady from 6 7 Franklin, Representative Richardson, rise? 8 REPRESENTATIVE RICHARDSON: Thank you, Mr. 9 Speaker. 10 I would like to ask the bill sponsor about 11 three questions, please. SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman from 12 13 Harnett yield to three questions? 14 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield to all of 15 them, Mr. Speaker. 16 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields, and after he 17 answers each question in the interest of time, Representative Richardson, the lady is permitted to go 18 19 onto the next question. 20 REPRESENTATIVE RICHARDSON: Okay, thank you, 21 Mr. Speaker. 22 My first question is I went to the public 23 hearing in Halifax and there were quite a few people 24 who were as was across the state. Was the information 25 or the questions asked by those public hearings

Page 33

included or impacted any of the changes in the maps that you presented?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for that question, Representative. The input that I can recall that we got was to make the districts compact. They were largely along the criteria that the committee has adopted. Unfortunately, I don't recall any specific criteria from the Halifax location that was incorporated in this drawing.

REPRESENTATIVE RICHARDSON: Thank you. I won't address that, but I just wondered.

My second question is that the plaintiffs had attorneys working for them. In deciding the maps that we are about to vote on, were any of the plaintiffs or the attorneys for the plaintiffs contacted and consulted about the results that will reflect in the maps that you've given us today?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for that

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for that question.

Certainly in my opinion would have been inappropriate for me to have contacted the plaintiffs attorneys to talk about this. I do appreciate the fact that the plaintiffs submitted maps for us to review. I, frankly, spent a lot of time reviewing it and I don't recall that there are any direct changes

Page 34

to this map as a result of the map that the plaintiffs submitted.

REPRESENTATIVE RICHARDSON: Thank you.

My last question, it relates to the letter that attorney Anita Earle sent. Did that letter impact any changes once you received it from her?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for that question.

I read the letter thoroughly. There are points of the letter that I would respectfully disagree with in terms of the way that the signers of the letter interpret various aspects of the law. Again, I think one of the biggest fallacies in the letter is the number of county traversals that following their theory of the law would create.

So, the short answer, and I apologize for continuing to do that, it's not my intent, I'm trying to recall, I do not recall that the letter left any direct changes in the map.

REPRESENTATIVE RICHARDSON: Thank you for your answers. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the gentleman from Lee, Representative Reives, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: To ask the bill

Page 35

sponsor a couple of questions.

SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman from Harnett yield to inquiry?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield to all questions.

SPEAKER MOORE: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: Thank you, Chairman Lewis, and I'll try to make a couple of presumptions to go ahead and try not to ask you a whole bunch of questions.

I believe the reason we left the racial statistics out of the stack pack was to secure the racial gerrymander per the court's order. With that being said, we still had Dr. Hoffler doing the maps this time around. Was there a particular reason that we were picking Dr. Hoffler again?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for the question, Representative Reives. And to be clear, the map that you have before you is just like any other piece of legislation that comes up. The idea behind the map and the process that produces the map is a -- is the legislative -- is the legislative process. I feel and continue to feel that Dr. Hoffler was the best person to help us quickly comply with the order of the court. So, yes, I think he was the best one to

Page 36 hire to help reproduce this map to present to you 1 2 today. 3 REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: And follow-up? 4 SPEAKER MOORE: Gentleman yields to 5 additional questions? He yields. 6 REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: Thank you. 7 And based on that, that because of the fact that he would have been the quickest, would have been 8 9 because of the substantial amount of work and work product that he would have had from 2011; would that 10 11 be fair to say? 12 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for 13 the question. 14 No, I don't think the 2011 plan would have 15 had anything to do with it. I think it was more the 16 we agreed that maptitude was the sort of the industry 17 standard that's used nationwide, and he was just very fluent in being able to help legislators translate 18 19 their desires to the maptitude program. 20 REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: Follow-up. 21 SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to 22 additional questions? 23 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Yes, sir. 24 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 2.5 REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: Based on that, is it

Page 37 your belief, then, that he would not have used 1 2 information that he had had available to him back in 3 2011 and his knowledge of the districts to kind of 4 help push this process along? 5 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for the 6 question. 7 The only information that Dr. Hoffler had access to and used in preparing this map was that it 8 9 was adopted by the criteria because that was the only 10 -- was the criteria adopted by the committee because 11 that was the only information that was loaded up on 12 his computer. 13 REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: Follow-up. 14 SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to 15 additional questions? 16 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield. 17 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 18 REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: Thank you. 19 So, did we put anything in place to make 20 sure that he wasn't using any other information, for instance, his knowledge of the racial data, 21 22 statistics, district lines, things of that sort, that 23 information that has previously been used this time around so as not to violate the court's order? 24 2.5 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for the

Page 38

question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Part of his contract and certainly his instructions from me were to only use the criteria that was adopted by the committee.

REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: All right, thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the gentleman from Durham, Representative Michaux, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: To see if the gentleman would respond to a question or two.

SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman from Harnett yield to the gentleman from Durham?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I do.

SPEAKER MOORE: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Mr. Chairman, did you contact any of the members of the body before these maps were presented to the committee to get any individual input from them?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Representative Michaux, thank you for the question.

I have talked to members of the body throughout this process. Prior to the release of the first map I certainly had talked to probably Representative Dollar, who is the Chair of the committee with me, but I don't recall that I spoke to

Page 39 any other member prior to the release of the first 1 2 map. I've continued to talk with members along the 3 way, which is why we put the map out was to get 4 feedback from the members and the public and we have 5 made certain changes in the map based on input from members. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Follow-up. SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to 8 9 additional questions? 10 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield. 11 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 12 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Let me try to make 13 it simple. Did you seek out any information at all 14 from the members of this body as to what they would 15 like to see in any map that was drawn on a personal 16 basis? 17 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for that 18 question, Representative Michaux. 19 I produced a map and have received input 20 since then. I did not specifically seek out 21 information from members prior to that. 22 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Follow-up. 23 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield to all 24 questions. 2.5 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields.

Page 40 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: In considering --1 2 who -- who worked with you on consideration of the map 3 that you submitted to the Redistricting Committee for 4 their approval? 5 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: So, obviously 6 Dr. Hoffler, who is a consultant that was hired drew 7 the map at my direction, and Representative Dollar 8 also added input to the maps after he had been named 9 Co-chair of the committee. 10 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Another. 11 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield to all 12 questions. 13 SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman yields. 14 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: So, you and 15 Representative Dollar and Dr. Hoffler were the only 16 ones who put a map together that was presented to the 17 Redistricting Committee for their approval? 18 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: So, to be clear, 19 primarily I directed how the map was produced, but, 20 yes, the three people that you said were the ones that largely had seen it prior to its public publication. 21 22 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Follow-up? 23 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield. 24 SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman yields. 25 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: This won't take but

Page 41

a couple more.

The court in turning -- in finding that the map you drew in 2011 was unconstitutional indicated that the maps were unconstitutional because they were racially gerrymandered. By racial gerrymandering, that race was brought into the map in order to make the maps that you drew; is that not correct?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for the question, Representative Michaux.

Certainly the court's ruling was that the maps were unconstitutional as racial gerrymander.

It's my understanding that the reason the court made that determination is that they said that we had not established enough in the record to trigger the use of race in drawing districts.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Follow-up?

SPEAKER MOORE: Will the gentleman yield to additional questions?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I do.

SPEAKER MOORE: He yields.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: You keep saying that the court says that you had not figured enough in there in order to trigger race, but did they not indicate to you that race was a predominant factor in the way that those lines were drawn in 2011?

б

Page 42

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Representative	
Michaux, I'm not sure I completely understand when	hat
you're asking. I have acknowledged that the con	urt
ruled that 19 of the state House districts were	racial
gerrymanders without the necessary supporting the	he
information from the court. I mean that the co	urt
says the legislature did not have enough information	ation
to use race in the drawing of the maps. No add	itional
information has been presented to me or to the	
Redistricting Committee to refute the court,	
therefore, we did not use race in drawing this	map.
REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: One follow-up	
question.	
SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yie.	ld to
additional questions?	
REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I do.	
SPEAKER MOORE: He yields.	
REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: How do you, the	hen,
correct, how do you correct a racially predomina	antly
drawn district without including race in order	to
correct that predominance?	
REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for the	he
question.	
It's my understanding that the ruling of	of the
court was that we did not have enough evidence	to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 43

justify the use of race in drawing districts, therefore, if race is not used at all in the drawing of the districts, certainly a court would not be able to find because race had not been a factor at all, it certainly could not have been a factor that was incorrectly considered.

REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Thank you.

SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the gentleman from Wake, Representative Jackson, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: For a series of questions to the sponsor chair.

SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman from Harnett yield to questions?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield.

SPEAKER MOORE: He yields, and

Representative Jackson, you're welcome just to continue asking after each one.

REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think it's only four questions, Chairman Lewis.

On Friday we talked about to back the scores, and you did make a change to Wake County, and so, I'll just ask was the map the committee considered on Friday what I'll call the Covington, the Covington map, is it still slightly better compacted scores than

Page 44

the offer 927 as amended at this point?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for the question, Representative Jackson. The -- my understanding is that the Covington map is slightly better statewide on overall compactness and the -- in Wake County the now amended plan is better under the Reock score than the Covington map was and almost as good as the Covington map under the Polsby-Popper score.

REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: Thank you.

Chairman Lewis, I noticed a series of split counties: Craven, Onslow, Pitt, Granville, Robeson, Johnston, Sampson, Nash, Harnett, Columbus and Stanly, and so, in my examination of those I didn't see a single place where the split worked to the advantage of the democratic party and to the detriment of the republican party, and I was just wondering if I was wrong or if you could point me to one those?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for that question, Representative Jackson. The -- I'm not aware of the outcome of how these splits effect various partisan performance of a district. Were I to gander, I would say that -- I would say that probably the placement of the line for population purposes in District 8 probably benefits democrats more than it

Page 45

does republicans. That's one of the things I tried the say when we were adopting the criteria. Every choice, everywhere a line is made, there are political ramifications for where that line falls, and one of the reasons that I objected so much to the committee to trying to specify that a precinct or a county could only be split for population purposes, frankly, is I knew that where ever the line went, there would be political consequences for it and folks would blame me for violating the criteria.

REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: And the last question I have for you is Representative Lewis or Chairman Lewis, is in Wake and Mecklenburg County there are five house districts that did not touch in any way districts that had been declared unconstitutional, and since you didn't consider race in redrawing these districts, can you tell us why it was necessary for you to change the boundaries of House District 105 in Mecklenburg County and House Districts 36, 37, 40 and 41 in Wake County?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: The court ordered us to correct racial gerrymanders, that is, districts that use race too much. Freezing districts which do not touch the illegal district would require the core of the racial gerrymander as a starting point and then

Page 46

we would be accused of racial gerrymandering all over again. Instead, we started with a blank slate. As you yourself have pointed out, the blank slate has let us do some good things. It's let us split fewer precincts, it's let us keep more municipalities whole.

The state constitution does assume that redistricting will be done after the census and not touched later on, but it does not speak to the situation we're in now, which is court ordered redistricting. In 2011 what I refer to as the VRA districts were created first. For example, in Wake County all of the remaining districts were drawn around the VRA seats. Therefore, all the remaining districts were, in fact, impacted by the Covington ruling.

In its ruling the Covington court criticizes split precincts. To correct that we needed to try to split less precincts in all of the districts that were in a group, and that's what we've done here in this drawing.

REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

SPEAKER MOORE: Further discussion, further debate? Does the gentleman wish to debate the bill?

REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: I do.

Page 47

SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman from Wake,
Representative Jackson, is recognized to debate the
bill.

REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Ladies and gentlemen, I apologize in advance. My comments are probably about 10 minutes long. So, I tried to summarize them as best I could.

I want you to know that I do rise to oppose this redistricting plan today and I wanted to start with the issue of race, which I believe is at the heart of this plan and very much, unfortunately, part of the national public debate in recent months.

A lot of hate was on display a few weeks ago in Charlottesville, and I think the comments we heard at the public hearing last week were in large part a response to what happened in Charlottesville. So, from the beginning I want to state publicly and clearly and for the record that I do not think your plan is racial gerrymandered because my colleagues in this body are racist. No one in this body deserves to be called some of the names we heard that night or in some of the public comments that I have personally received. But today is the anniversary of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.'s I Have a Dream speech, and we have,

Page 48

in fact, come a long way, but I do not believe we are at the point in this country, in the south or even in this state where you can no longer consider race in these type of decisions.

I do believe your plan is still a racial gerrymander, just as the plan from 2011 was found to be. I believe your plan racially gerrymanders so that you can lessen the opportunities of African-American voters to have their voices heard in more districts because that could in turn create more democratic districts. That is at the core of your supermajority.

The plan that we are passing today is every bit as constitutionally flawed as the one in 2011.

House democrats have pointed out some of the flaws on the floor and in committee. The Covington plaintiffs have done so through written correspondence to the chairs and submission to alternative map. You have not made the necessary changes, but I'm going to point them out one final time.

First, there was the process you've known since June 5th that your maps were unconstitutional and needed to be redrawn and we did nothing. Governor Cooper attempted to call us into special session on June 7th; again we did nothing. Finally, you acted laying out a long, drawn out timetable for public

Page 49

input and hearings. It sounded great except that it was now August. And remember last time you tried to schedule filing in December and that's a long process and prevents us from recruiting candidates. That's not a problem for your side with so many incumbents and maps to consult, but the court figured this out and rightly called you out on it.

evening of one public hearing conducted in probably one of the most bizarre fashions I've ever seen. As best I can tell, what input we did receive through the hearing or the online process was not used in any meaningful way. I have no doubt that the maps we see today have existed in some basic form for sometime, after all, the clusters were drawn last year around this time, yet they were released on a Saturday, but just as pictures with no data. It took another couple of days to get the data we all know existed.

The second flaw in the plan before us today is the alleged non-use of racial data. Nine Supreme Court justices, who rarely agree on anything, struck down your maps as a racial gerrymander. Some argued that the court did not find such a racial gerrymander, only that you considered race without the proper factual findings or that the law has somehow changed

Page 50

since 2011. In my opinion that just isn't accurate.

The Federal courts have referred to the 2011 plan as a racial gerrymander at least seven different times in two separate court orders requiring you to re-draw these 19 unconstitutional House districts.

Three federal judges issued an order instructing you on how to fix your map, including specific language directing the use of racial data. You are refusing to do so. You're pretending that the Voting Rights Act no longer exists.

You can look at page 151 of the court's opinion. There the court talks about the history of the prior Voting Rights Act districts in North Carolina and racially pulverized voting. The court says, "We would not dispute that some of the information is relevant and should be considered during a legislative redistricting."

Should be considered, that's what the court says. We didn't consider it. In the court's conclusion, "Section II of the Voting Rights Acts continues to play an important role in redistricting, and legislatures must undertake a district specific analysis to identify and cure potential Section II violations."

Again, note the magic words, legislatures

Page 51

must. Again, we have not done that.

The maps before us today have stack packs with no racial data in them. You claim that means a racial gerrymander is impossible, yet the lines were drawn by the same expert who drew the maps in 2011. They used racial data so extensively as to be found unconstitutional. Dr. Hoffler spent months taking a scaffold to the North Carolina map and finding pockets of African-American voters to create 50% plus districts all across the state. He spent many more years helping the state answer pleadings, discovery requests, giving depositions and expert testimony. How do you instruct him now not to use what he knows all so well? It would be like telling Dale Jr. not to look at his speedometer. It's pointless. He still knows how fast he's going when he's in that car.

And you see it in the maps. You see racial gerrymanders that have not been cured. They live on, refusing to die like a character in The Walking Dead. If not, why do the districts look so similar to the ones last time?

What's more, you even refused to even check on the back end to make sure you have identified and cured potential Section II violations. The court has told you to do so, but you have refused. I'd ask that

Page 52

you not cry foul if and when the court calls you to task on it. Everyone has warned you.

The third flaw I see is the unfortunate error of violating the whole county provision of the North Carolina Constitution. Your map splits more counties than the map I presented at committee. There are no unnecessary county traversals. Why not fix them now?

The fourth flaw also relates to the North Carolina Constitution. Unlike Georgia and other states, we cannot redistrict mid-decade without a court ordering us to do so. You abide by this constitutional rule by not redrawing areas like Alamance or New Hanover or Burke County, yet you violate the rule in Wake and Mecklenburg County.

It is possible and constitutionally required to leave districts unchanged that do not touch unconstitutional districts when the cluster is unchanged, yet you refuse to do so for reasons of politics.

There's no legal universe with your political reasons can trump the North Carolina Constitution. It's as clear as day. Article II, Section 5 says, "When established, the House districts in the apportionment of their representatives shall

Page 53

remain unaltered until the return of another census of population taken by order of congress."

That same language is cited in both the

Stevenson 1 and Stevenson 2 is one of the four

constitutional limitations upon the redistricting

authority of the General Assembly. Why would a party

of strict constructionists ignore this prohibition?

Finally, I will point out the flaw that is obvious to anyone paying attention and that is partisan gerrymandering. Our current map is one of the most gerrymandered in the history of America. That is not an exaggeration. We are at the political center of the political scientists world, and you've doubled down what you did in 2011. And I know, I know you're going to say that democrats did it first, and there's two comments I'd like to say about that. First, at some point someone has to have the integrity and political courage to stop doing it. Obviously it won't be us today.

The second I looked up the last democratic plan of 2003, looking at the closest statewide race of 2000, which was the democratic candidate won by three points, the 2003 democratic gerrymander gave us, gave our party, the democratic party, 66 seats and yours 54. Obviously it was drawn at that time to help the

Page 54

democrats, yet compared to what we have before us today, Attorney General Stein won in North Carolina. He wins over 42 seats in your plan. 35% of the North Carolina House.

In committee I presented a plan that resulted in Attorney General Stein winning 56 seats, and some of my republican friends were horrified, aghast. It was beyond the pale what I was proposing. I had a radical partisan gerrymander in favor of democrats, and imagine that, it was a plan that only gave you a simple majority. Your party's executive director said that the Covington's plaintiffs' plan was the racial gerrymander in this case and that what I was doing on Friday was an embarrassment.

Let me sum up those republic talking points for you. The plan before us today puts the democrats in the super minority, but it's completely fair and balanced. My amendment that would have put democrats in the close minority is the wildly partisan racial gerrymander. It takes a lot of gall to parrot those talking points. I encourage you to try it out in public sometime.

I know that partisan gerrymandering has not been struck down by the courts yet. Plans like the one before us today are putting us on that path.

Page 55

There are bad democratic gerrymanders in states like Maryland, and bad republican ones in states like Wisconsin, but we remain the top dog in gerrymandering. Nobody does it like North Carolina. We're number one. The fact that you would do it again after your first maps were struck down by the courts is very interesting, to say the least. You could have drawn a more reasonable map that guaranteed you to stay in control of this body through 2020. You could have shown a little humility, a little moderation, a little caution knowing that the court this time has to approve this plan, but you didn't. You're going all in, and we'll see how this all works out in a few weeks.

On behalf of the House of Democrats I say that we will be voting against this plan. Thank you.

SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the gentleman from Wake, Representative John, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE JOHNS: Briefly debate the

bill.

SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman is recognized to debate the bill.

REPRESENTATIVE JOHNS: Since I announced my intention to seek election to this body nearly a year ago, I have consistently and repeatedly spoken of my

Page 56

firm belief that the task of drawing electoral districts for the North Carolina House and the North Carolina Senate should be assigned to a wholly independent, impartial, and most importantly, a nonpartisan commission.

In the public comments recently heard by the Redistricting Committee, speaker after speaker after speaker expressed the identical sentiment.

As we've heard so often, politicians should not be put in the position of selecting their voters as opposed to citizens selecting their representatives. Our frail human nature being what it is, when those directly affected and indeed those who will specifically benefit by the process are those who themselves are making the critical decisions as to where the borders of legislative districts are set, it is inevitable that self-district -- self-interest and partisan concerns will creep in and in most instances will ultimately prevail.

My personal wish, and I believe the wish of the vast majority of North Carolinians, would have been that the General Assembly would have considered and early on passed a law establishing an independent redistricting commission. Bipartisan proposals to do so currently languish in the House Rules Committee,

Page 57 and sadly, no such legislation was passed. What we 1 2 have instead are the proposed maps before us, the many 3 flaws of which have been pointed out in the questions 4 and statements we have heard. 5 My primary and heartfelt goal, Mr. Speaker, 6 will be to continue to see a significant change in 7 this process, and one which will give our citizens the 8 full confidence they deserve in their legislative 9 districts. 10 Thank you, Mr. Speaker. 11 SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the 12 gentleman from Harnett, Representative Lewis, rise? 13 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: May I send forth an 14 amendment? 15 SPEAKER MOORE: Representative wishes to 16 send forth an amendment. Clerk will now read. HOUSE CLERK: Representative Louis wishes to 17 18 amend the bill by changing the short title from 2017 19 House Redistricting Plan A1 to 2017 House 20 Redistricting Plan A2. 21 SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman is recognized 22 to debate the amendment. 23 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, Mr. 24 Speaker. 25 Mr. Speaker and Members, this is a purely

Page 58

technical amendment recommend to me by essential staff. It simply makes it easier to identify the map that appeared on the calendar today from the map that now appears as amended with the Wake County amendment. Therefore, I would respectfully ask you to vote green and adopt this amendment. It just renames the map.

SPEAKER MOORE: Further discussion, further debate? If not, the question before the House is the adoption of Amendment A3 sent forward by Representative Lewis. Those in favor will vote Aye, those opposed will vote No. The Clerk will open the vote.

The Clerk will lock the machine and record the vote. 111 having voted in the affirmative, none in the negative. The bill is adopted. We're now back on the bill for the discussion, further debate.

For what purpose does the gentleman from Mecklenburg, Representative Alexander, rise?

For what purpose does the gentleman from Harnett, Representative Lewis, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Mr. Speaker, may I please ask the gentleman from Wake, Representative Jackson, a series of questions?

SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman from Wake yield to the gentleman from Harnett?

Page 59

REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: Yes, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER MOORE: He yields and the gentleman will be permitted to simply propound additional questions.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you,
Mr. Speaker, and thank you Representative Jackson.

Representative Jackson, just to be clear, you pointed out what you identify as deficiencies in this process. Have you done or caused to be done a district by district on the houses that gives any specific information that race should have been used?

REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: I have not done a specific district by district analysis, Representative Lewis. As I mentioned on Friday, I have seen some racial data statistics for the different plans, but I have not taken it down to the -- if you read, as you read the Covington opinion, it's a 160 something pages and it goes in each district and it talks about what was the breakdown of African-Americans in the 2003 plan and did they elect the candidate of their choice. I did not take it down through that level, no, sir. I've just seen the preliminary statistics.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you.

Did you -- did you ask for the racial data on the plan that is before us now from staff or an

Page 60

outside source?

REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: I have certainly seen data on the plan as existed last week. The plan that's before us now has been amended a few times, and so, I've not asked for that data. I have also seen some preliminary racial data on the Covington plaintiffs' map, and I can't remember if it was at my request or if I was just copied on that e-mail, Representative Lewis.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: May I ask one last question, Mr. Speaker?

SPEAKER MOORE: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, Mr.

Speaker, and thank you Representative Jackson.

Have you published this racial data or do you intend to? Is it a part of the record somewhere?

REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: I believe it exists, Representative Lewis, in this computers. I have not published it. I believe that some members of my caucus have seen it as it applied to your previous plan as introduced to committee last week. I don't believe everyone on our side has seen the data as it exists for the Covington map. And, then, this weekend after you were kind enough on Saturday to share the Wake County amendment that you intended to run, I did

Page 61

get a breakdown of some of the changes to some of those districts in Wake County and I've only shared that with a few members.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you,

Mr. Speaker, and thank you, Representative Jackson.

SPEAKER MOORE: Further discussion, further debate? If not, the question for the House is the passage of House Bill 927 on the second reading.

Those in favor will vote Aye; those opposed will vote No. The Clerk will open the vote.

The Clerk will lock the machine and record the vote. 65 having voted in the affirmative; 47 in the negative. House Bill 927 passes at second reading and without objection be read a third time.

HOUSE CLERK: North Carolina enacts.

SPEAKER MOORE: Further discussion, further debate? If not, the question before the House the passage of House Bill 927 on the third reading. Those in favor will vote Aye; those opposed will vote No. The Clerk will open the vote.

The Clerk will lock the machine and close the vote. 65 having voted in the affirmative; 47 in the negative. House Bill 927 passes its third reading. The Bill is ordered enrolled and sent to the Senate. Strike that, the Bill is ordered in gross and

Page 62

sent to the Senate.

Moving on to other business, Members, the
Chair appoints the following members as well as
constituting a committee on judicial redistricting.
The committee members are as follows: Representative
Berg, Chair; Representative Stevens, Vice Chair;
Representative Ted Davis, Vice Chair; Representative
John Bluss, Vice Chair. Members Representative
Blackwell, Brisson, Bumgardner, Floyd, Goodman, Hall,
Hastings, Harrison, Jackson, Jordan, John, Lewis,
McNeil, Bobby Richardson, Rogers, Torbit, Ray and
Zachary, and that's pursuant to Rule 26A.

We'll stand at ease momentarily. And since we have multiple Halls that was Representative Destin Hall.

The Clerk directs that 927 should be sent by special message to the Senate.

(HOUSE IS AT EASE.)

House will come back to order.

Members, for your planning purposes this is the schedule for today and for the next couple of days. First of all, we are -- we finished today's business. The Chair will direct, Mr. Clerk, that the three veto overrides: House Bill 205, House Bill 511, House Bill 576 be removed from today's calendar and

Page 63

calendared for Wednesday, put on Wednesday's calendar.

Tomorrow we will have -- tomorrow we will have session at 1, but there will be no votes. Excuse me, 1 or 2, we haven't determined. I think we're going to go with 2, 2 o'clock tomorrow, but no votes tomorrow. The plan tomorrow is going to be that the Redistricting Committee will take up the Senate redistricting map. The chairman will make an announcement momentarily on that, and once that -- the committee process will be tomorrow. And then on Wednesday it is the Chair's intent that we take care of second and third reading on Wednesday.

Is there any objection to -- is there anybody going to object to the reading of the Senate redistricting map on Wednesday? Okay, then, the plan will be that we'll do second and third reading on the Senate map and on Wednesday. For ratification purposes and so forth, I believe we still may be here Thursday, but at least right now it would appear that the voting business will be done Wednesday, but I'm not sure. I know there are several other bills floating around. There are some comp reports folks are working on. So, those may be taken up Wednesday and Thursday as well, but that's where we are for right now.

Page 64 1 The gentleman from Harnett, Representative 2 Lewis, is recognized for an announcement. 3 The gentleman from Wayne, Representative 4 Bell, is recognized for an announcement. 5 REPRESENTATIVE BELL: Thank you, Mr. 6 Speaker. 7 Republican members, we're going to caucus on Wednesday. Right now the time is to be determined, 8 but look somewhere in the 12 o'clock timeframe. So, 9 10 prepare accordingly. Thank you. 11 SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman from Wake, Representative Dollar, is recognized for an 12 13 announcement. 14 REPRESENTATIVE DOLLAR: Tomorrow the House 15 Redistricting Committee will meet at 1:00, 1:00 in 16 Room 643. We'll be there to take up the Senate's 17 Redistricting Bill. SPEAKER MOORE: And the Chair would intend 18 19 we have session tomorrow too. Is there any -- the 20 Chair would move the rules be suspended so that the House may meet at the same time the committee is 21 2.2 meeting. 23 Is there an objection? Hearing none, so 24 ordered. 2.5 For what purpose does the gentleman from

Page 65 1 Wake, Representative Jackson, rise? 2 REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: For an 3 announcement. 4 SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman is recognized 5 for an announcement. 6 REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: Thank you, Mr. 7 Speaker. Our House democrats will caucus Wednesday at 8 9 1:00. Wednesday at 1:00 in our normal room. Thank 10 you. 11 SPEAKER MOORE: So, just again to remind 12 members, no votes in session tomorrow. It will be a 13 no-vote session, but the Redistricting Committee will 14 be meeting. There will be votes, however, on 15 Wednesday and probably on Thursday. 16 Further notices and announcements? 17 For what purpose does the gentleman from 18 Durham, Representative Michaux, rise? 19 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Inquiry of the 20 Chair. 21 SPEAKER MOORE: Just a moment. 22 Members of the House will come to order. 23 The gentleman is recognized for an inquiry. 24 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Barring any other 25 order or anything coming from the court, what's on

	Page 66
1	schedule after Wednesday or Thursday?
2	SPEAKER MOORE: The Chair believes we'll be
3	done Thursday. I don't foresee us being here on
4	Friday. If the gentleman is asking what specific
5	bills there are?
6	REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: No, I'm talking
7	about after we leave here Wednesday or Thursday, when
8	do we come back?
9	SPEAKER MOORE: Sometime in October we have
10	a we'll have a reconvening date in October. That
11	date has yet to be determined, but the Chair believes
12	it will be during the second week of October.
13	REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: I thought the
14	resolution pardon me, another question. I thought
15	the resolution we passed called for a September date?
16	SPEAKER MOORE: That is in there. That will
17	be amended in this adjournment resolution. The Chair
18	doesn't believe there will be a need to be back that
19	early. So, looks like you'll be safe until October.
20	REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: What's safe about
21	that?
22	SPEAKER MOORE: Something to look forward
23	to, Representative Michaux. It's just like Christmas,
24	it just keeps repeating itself over and over again.
25	Further notices and announcements?

Page 67

If not, gentleman from Harnett,
Representative Lewis, recognized for a motion.

Just a moment.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER MOORE: Just a moment,

Representative Lewis. Oh, yes, the Chair apologizes. We actually have some pages with us today. If the pages would please come forward that are going to be serving with us this week. If you guys would come forward, I want to make sure I introduce these pages. I know a lot of members have left, but I would ask that you give your attention. A number of you probably have sponsored our pages who are here.

And to our pages, as the Clerk calls your name, please step forward and wave so that my colleagues and I will know who you are and where you're from. All right, the Clerk will introduce the pages.

HOUSE CLERK: Caroline Beason, Mecklenburg
County, Sponsor Representative Dulin. Rhea Bagia,
Mecklenburg County, Sponsor Representative Dulin. Zoe
Byrd, Johnston County, Sponsor Representative
Strickland. Tanner Harron, Guilford County, Sponsor
Representative Faircloth. Emma Hiott, Stanly County,
Sponsor Representative Burr. Carrie Holloway, Forsyth

Page 68 County, Sponsor Representative Hanes. Victoria Hume, 1 2 Orange County, Sponsor Representative Meyer. Timothy 3 Parker, Wake County, Sponsor Representative Malone. 4 Katherine Sanota, Mecklenburg County, Sponsor 5 Representative Stone. Kirastacia Taylor, Orange 6 County, Sponsor Representative Meyer. Maxwell 7 Wagenseller, New Hanover County, Sponsor 8 Representative Butler. Nate Worley, Buncombe County, 9 Sponsor Representative Turner. 10 SPEAKER MOORE: Members, if you would please 11 join me in welcoming our pages here this week. 12 (APPLAUSE.) 13 We're glad to have you with us this week. You may return to you post. 14 15 The gentleman from Harnett, Representative 16 Lewis, is recognized for a motion. 17 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Mr. Speaker, in honor and memory or Richard Thomas McDowell, the father of 18 19 Representative White, I move the House adjourn to 20 reconvene Tuesday, August 29th, at 1 o'clock p.m. 21 subject to the standard stipulation set forth in Rule 22 15.1, except, Mr. Speaker, may I change that and move 23 that the House reconvene on Tuesday, August 29th, at 2 24 o'clock p.m. 25 SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman from Harnett

	Page 69
1	moves that the House be now adjourned, seconded by the
2	lady from Johnston, Representative White, in honor and
3	in memory of Richard Thomas McDowell, who is the
4	father of Representative White, subject to the
5	standard stipulations set forth in Rule 15.1 to
6	reconvene Tuesday, August 29th at 2 p.m.
7	Those in favor say Aye.
8	HOUSE MEMBERS: Aye.
9	SPEAKER MOORE: Those opposed say no. The
10	Ayes have it. We stand adjourned.
11	(HOUSE SESSION ADJOURNED AT 3:07 P.M.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
2	COUNTY OF DURHAM
3	
4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
5	I, Regina Toppins, Shorthand Reporter and Notary
6	Public in and for the State of North Carolina, do hereby
7	affirm that the foregoing pages contain a verbatim
8	transcription of the above-captioned proceedings and
9	have been transcribed to the best of my ability and
10	understanding; I further affirm that I am not related
11	to any of the parties to this action; that I am not
12	interested in the outcome of this case; that I am not of
13	counsel nor in the employ of any of the parties to this
14	action.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereto set my hand,
16	this the 28th day of August, 2017.
17	$\rho \sim 10^{-1}$
18	Regina Poppins
19	
20	Regina Toppins, Notary Public Notary Number: 200626300019
21	Notary Number. 200626300019
22	
23	
24	
25	

			I	I
A	6:19 10:19	23:19 24:1	52:25	53:6
<b>A1</b> 30:1 57:19	11:3 12:19	27:4,12,16,18	appreciate	authorized 7:10
<b>A2</b> 31:20 57:20	13:11 14:10	27:22,24 28:25	16:14 27:11	available 37:2
<b>A3</b> 58:9	17:9 21:15	29:8,12,14	33:22	average 21:2
<b>abide</b> 52:12	32:2 33:7 37:9	30:1,9,11,18	approach 11:24	avoid 7:3 12:3,3
ability 70:9	37:10 38:4	31:11,17,20	18:11	28:23
<b>able</b> 6:22 11:2	58:15	32:2 54:18	approval 40:4	avoided 8:9
15:21 24:16	adopting 45:2	57:14,16,22	40:17	aware 44:21
36:18 43:3	adoption 30:1	58:1,4,6,9	approve 55:12	<b>Aye</b> 3:22 30:2
above-caption	31:20 58:9	60:25	approved 3:19	31:21 58:10
70:8	advance 47:7	America 3:12	3:21,23	61:9,19 69:7,8
absolute 9:14	advantage 44:15	53:11	approximately	<b>Ayes</b> 3:23 69:10
acceptable	advice 31:6	amount 23:2	24:3,13	
14:15	<b>affirm</b> 70:7,10	36:9	area 3:5	<u>B</u>
access 37:8	affirmative 30:8	analogy 10:24	areas 10:14	back 13:25 27:2
accomplish 27:5	32:1 58:14	analysis 50:23	52:13	27:4,8,9 37:2
27:6	61:12,22	59:13	argued 49:22	43:21 51:23
accomplishes	African-Amer	Anita 34:5	Article 52:23	58:15 62:19
8:13	48:8 51:9	anniversary	asked 8:14	66:8,18
accurate 50:1	African-Amer	47:24	16:25 19:25	bad 5:9 55:1,2
accused 46:1	59:19	announced 5:16	26:25 32:25	<b>Bagia</b> 67:20
acknowledge	afternoon's 2:6	55:23	60:5	<b>balance</b> 20:15
2:16	aged 15:10	announcement	asking 6:5 42:3	balanced 54:18
acknowledged	aghast 54:8	63:9 64:2,4,13	43:17 66:4	<b>Barring</b> 65:24 <b>Bartlett</b> 6:25 7:8
42:3	ago 47:14 55:25	65:3,5	aspects 6:9	based 13:7
<b>Act</b> 50:9,13	agree 28:3 49:21	announcements	34:13	22:15 36:7,25
acted 5:9 48:24	<b>agreed</b> 36:16	65:16 66:25	Assembly 1:3	39:5
action 70:11,14	ahead 35:9	answer 12:17	3:10 4:12,12	<b>basic</b> 49:14
activists 6:8	Alamance 25:2	16:14 21:7,12	5:2 7:15 28:6	basis 39:16
Acts 50:20	52:14	21:22 22:21	53:6 56:22	<b>Beason</b> 67:19
<b>added</b> 40:8	Alexander 30:5	34:17 51:11	assigned 56:3	beginning 47:18
additional 11:10	58:18	answers 32:17	assume 46:6	behalf 55:15
12:12 13:7,19	alleged 49:20	34:22	attempted 48:23	belief 37:1 56:1
15:2 16:10	allegiance 2:9	anybody 26:9	attend 6:12	believe 4:3 11:1
18:1 19:6 20:1	3:11	63:14	attention 4:2	12:1 13:6,10
22:5 36:5,22	allow 28:8 allowed 5:22	<b>apologize</b> 34:17 47:6	20:21 27:21	15:21 25:16,22
37:15 39:9		· · ·	53:9 67:12 attest 6:12	26:1,5 35:11
41:18 42:8,15	10:9 24:17	apologizes 67:6	attitude 26:8	47:11 48:1,5,7
59:3	26:13 alternative	<b>appear</b> 14:2 63:19		56:20 60:17,19
address 33:11	30:23 48:17		<b>attorney</b> 34:5 54:2,6	60:22 63:18
adjourn 68:19	amen 3:9,10	appeared 58:3 appears 58:4	attorneys 33:13	66:18
adjourned 69:1	amend 23:16	APPLAUSE	33:15,22	<b>believes</b> 66:2,11
69:10,11	30:14 57:18	68:12	audio 6:13	Bell 64:4,5
adjournment	amended 8:19	applied 60:20	<b>August</b> 1:12	belong 26:10
66:17	44:1,6 58:4	applied 60.20 apply 14:18	3:18,21 49:2	benefit 56:14
adjustments	60:4 66:17	applying 12:18	68:20,23 69:6	benefits 44:25
23:22	amendment	appoints 62:3	70:16	Berg 62:6
adopt 58:6	8:18 23:12,14	appoints 02.5	authority 2:20	best 7:3 12:2
adopted 5:23	0.10 23.12,14		autionity 2.20	

	1	1	1	1
13:10 14:19,20	59:19 61:1	Caroline 67:19	<b>choice</b> 26:14	comes 35:20
18:10 35:24,25	briefly 29:17	Carolinians	29:3 45:3	coming 8:18
47:8 49:11	55:19	56:21	59:20	65:25
70:9	<b>bring</b> 2:16	<b>Carrie</b> 67:25	<b>choose</b> 24:13,18	comments 22:15
better 2:22	Brisson 62:9	case 54:13 70:12	25:12	47:7,15,23
31:11 43:25	brought 41:6	Catawba 25:2	choosing 24:19	53:16 56:6
44:5,6	Bumgardner	<b>caucus</b> 60:20	<b>chose</b> 6:11 12:19	commission
beyond 54:8	62:9	64:7 65:8	25:24	56:5,24
biggest 34:14	<b>bunch</b> 35:9	caused 59:9	Christ 3:9	committee 5:25
<b>bill</b> 4:10,10,17	Buncombe	causing 25:16	Christmas	6:17,19 7:23
8:25 9:8 11:7	24:24 68:8	caution 55:11	66:23	11:3 12:19
11:14 12:9	bunking 8:9	census 46:7 53:1	<b>chunk</b> 15:13	13:11 14:11,18
13:16 14:25	<b>Burke</b> 52:14	<b>center</b> 53:13	<b>cited</b> 53:3	17:9 18:23
15:6 16:7	<b>Burr</b> 67:25	certain 39:5	citizens 25:17	21:16 25:24
17:23 19:2,5	business 5:15	certainly 31:13	56:11 57:7	28:19 30:22
23:16 30:14	62:2,23 63:20	33:20 34:9	<b>city</b> 15:21	33:6 37:10
32:10 34:25	Butler 68:8	38:2,23 41:10	<b>claim</b> 51:3	38:4,17,25
46:24 47:3	<b>Byrd</b> 67:22	43:3,5 60:2	clarify 7:23	40:3,9,17
55:20,22 57:18		CERTIFICA	<b>clear</b> 9:20 10:25	42:10 43:23
58:15,16 61:8	C	70:4	28:20 35:18	45:5 48:15
61:13,18,23,24	Cabarrus 19:13	<b>chair</b> 4:2,20	40:18 52:23	52:6 54:5 56:7
61:25 62:24,24	19:25 23:10,23	38:24 43:11	59:7	56:25 60:21
62:25 64:17	24:10,20 25:3	62:3,6,6,7,8,23	clearly 47:19	62:4,5 63:7,10
<b>bills</b> 63:21 66:5	25:5 26:12,13	64:18,20 65:20	Clerk 3:24 4:8,9	64:15,21 65:13
Bipartisan	26:25 28:22	66:2,11,17	23:14,15 30:3	committee's
56:24	29:10	67:6	30:7,12,13	7:16 8:6 24:21
<b>bit</b> 10:25 11:22	calendar 3:24	<b>Chair's</b> 63:11	31:22,24 57:16	<b>comp</b> 63:22
13:23 16:18	58:3 62:25	chairman 19:11	57:17 58:11,13	<b>compact</b> 7:12,14
17:4 21:8	63:1	35:7 38:6,15	61:10,11,15,20	12:23 14:3,6
27:22 48:13	calendared 63:1	43:19 44:11	61:21 62:16,23	15:25 16:19
bizarre 49:10	call 43:24 48:23	45:13 46:22	67:14,17,19	17:5 18:8,18
Blackwell 62:9	called 47:22	63:8	<b>close</b> 2:3 54:19	18:19 30:25
Bladen 10:1	49:7 66:15	chairs 48:17	61:21	31:13 33:5
16:25 17:1	calling 2:18	chamber 2:3	closer 29:22	compacted
<b>blame</b> 45:9	calls 52:1 67:14	30:5	closest 53:21	43:25
<b>blank</b> 46:2,3	candidate 53:22	change 43:22	<b>cluster</b> 19:12,14	compactness
blessed 2:18	59:20	45:18 57:6	19:18 52:18	7:17 12:21
blessings 3:7	candidates 26:6	68:22	clusters 49:15	14:4,9,15
<b>Bluss</b> 62:8	49:4	changed 7:25	Co-chair 40:9	15:14,25 16:21
<b>Bobby</b> 62:11	car 51:16	8:2 49:25	colleagues 47:20	17:18 18:12,17
<b>body</b> 38:16,21	care 63:11	changes 27:24	67:16	31:6 44:5
39:14 47:21,21	career 25:21	33:1,25 34:6	Collins 30:6	compared 54:1
55:9,24	<b>Carolina</b> 1:1,3	34:20 39:5	Columbus 14:1	complete 24:22
border 15:12	1:11 4:12,13	48:18 61:1	16:17 17:10,11	24:25 25:5
borders 25:4	5:5 50:14 51:8	changing 57:18	44:13	completely 42:2
56:16	52:5,10,22	character 51:19	come 2:1,12 4:1	54:17
boundaries 8:4	54:2,4 55:4	Charlottesville	48:1 62:19	compliance 11:1
15:22 45:18	56:2,3 61:15	47:15,17	65:22 66:8	13:5
breakdown	70:1,6	check 51:22	67:8,9	complies 6:18
	I	I	I	I

6:23 7:9,16 8:6	52:13 53:5	13:9 14:1	court's 5:1	31:4 37:21
comply 4:25	constitutionally	16:16,17 19:13	35:13 37:24	49:17,18,20
5:20 7:6,25	48:13 52:16	23:23 24:23,25	41:10 50:11,19	50:8 51:3,6
8:16 22:22	constructionists	44:12 52:6	<b>courts</b> 24:19	59:15,24 60:3
35:24	53:7	country 48:2	26:15 50:2	60:5,6,15,22
computer 37:12	construed 5:19	county 7:4,6,9	54:24 55:6	date 66:10,11,15
computers	consult 49:6	7:22,23 8:12	Covington	<b>Davie</b> 19:12
60:18	consultant 40:6	9:10,13,16,19	22:22 43:24,24	27:25
concerns 56:18	consulted 26:22	9:19,20,25	44:4,7,8 46:14	<b>Davis</b> 62:7
conclusion	26:23 33:16	10:5,11,14,24	46:16 48:15	day 52:23 70:16
50:20	CONSULTING	12:25 13:7,24	59:17 60:6,23	days 5:15,15
conducted 49:9	1:23	14:13 15:9,11	Covington's	49:18 62:22
confidence 2:23	contact 38:16	15:12,13,20	4:25 54:12	<b>Dead</b> 51:19
57:8	contacted 33:16	16:24 17:2,3	Craven 44:12	deal 28:12
configuration	33:21	17:10,11,12,13	create 10:10	dealing 3:4
26:24 28:21	contain 70:7	17:14 18:20	34:16 48:10	debate 4:15 8:22
configurations	contests 21:14	19:15,15,16,20	51:9	23:19 27:15,18
28:23	context 18:6	20:1,1,2,3	created 13:7	29:25 30:18
conforms 13:10	contiguity 7:4	24:10,20,20	17:5 46:11	31:19 46:24,24
congress 53:2	contiguous 7:2	25:3,6 26:12	creates 17:16	47:2,13 55:19
congressional	continue 35:23	26:14,25 27:24	creep 56:18	55:22 57:22
20:15 22:16	43:17 57:6	28:1,1,4,6,7,14	criteria 5:23	58:8,16 61:7
consent 26:21	continued 39:2	31:1,2,7 34:15	6:18 7:16 8:7	61:17
consequences	continues 50:21	43:22 44:6	10:19 11:3	debunked 8:11
45:9	continuing	45:6,13,19,20	12:1 13:4,11	decades 7:11,16
consider 45:16	34:18	46:12 52:4,7	14:10,18 15:14	7:20 8:5
48:3 50:19	continuity 25:8	52:14,15 58:4	16:1 17:9	December 49:3
consideration	contract 38:2	60:25 61:2	18:16,23 21:15	decided 24:15
8:8,15 30:24	control 55:9	67:20,21,22,23	25:23 28:18,19	deciding 12:20
40:2	conversations	67:24 68:1,2,3	29:4 33:6,8	33:13
considered	4:5	68:4,6,7,8 70:2	37:9,10 38:3	decision 7:8,10
11:23 28:13	<b>Cooper</b> 48:23	<b>couple</b> 35:1,8	45:2,10	24:17
43:6,23 49:24	copied 60:8	41:1 49:17	critical 5:19	decisions 48:4
50:16,18 56:22	Corbin 30:4	62:21	56:15	56:15
considering	<b>core</b> 45:24 48:11	courage 53:18	criticizes 46:16	declared 45:15
40:1	corner 15:11	course 9:12	crossed 10:23	deficiencies 59:8
consistently	correct 3:19	15:20 29:5	<b>cry</b> 52:1	delegation 31:8
55:25	15:15 30:22	court 4:4,6,25	Cumberland	deleting 23:17
constituency	41:7 42:19,19	5:6,8,12,12,15	24:24	30:15
23:5	42:21 45:22	5:19,21,21 8:1	cure 50:23	<b>demand</b> 25:18
constituents	46:17	8:14,17 22:22	<b>cured</b> 51:18,24	democratic
25:8	correspondence	35:25 41:2,12	current 27:3	21:20 22:12
constituting	48:16	41:22 42:3,6,6	53:10	44:16 48:10
62:4	counsel 70:13	42:10,25 43:3	currently 24:3,5	53:20,22,23,24
constitution	<b>count</b> 9:17	45:21 46:9,16	56:25	55:1
9:12,16 12:25	counted 22:10	49:6,21,23		democrats
13:6 46:6 52:5	counties 7:11	50:4,12,14,18	$\frac{\mathbf{D}}{\mathbf{D}}$	20:16,17,23
52:10,23	9:18,22 11:18	51:24 52:1,12	<b>Dale</b> 51:14	21:1,1 44:25
constitutional	11:19 12:22,24	55:11 65:25	data 8:8 29:4	48:14 53:15
	l	l	l	l

	ĺ	<u> </u>	I	1
54:1,10,16,18	31:18 46:23	64:12,14	elaborate 11:22	exaggeration
55:15 65:8	58:7,16 61:6	doors 2:4	13:3	53:12
depositions	61:16	<b>double</b> 8:9,10	<b>elect</b> 59:20	examination
51:12	disenfranchised	doubled 53:14	<b>election</b> 8:8 26:4	44:14
deserve 57:8	24:14	<b>doubt</b> 49:13	55:24	examined 3:18
deserves 25:5	disfavor 25:15	<b>Dr</b> 35:14,16,23	elections 4:11	example 10:25
47:21	dispersion 7:13	37:7 40:6,15	22:25 23:2,6	46:11
desire 8:16	display 47:14	47:24 51:7	26:7	examples 18:17
25:12	dispute 50:15	draw 5:4 10:19	electoral 21:14	exceeds 6:25
desires 36:19	district 6:25	12:4 13:6,10	56:1	excuse 24:11
desk 21:13	9:11,18,21	14:19 15:23,24	electronic 2:5	63:3
<b>despite</b> 5:1 31:9	11:18,19 12:21	17:4,10,11,14	embarrassment	executive 54:11
<b>Destin</b> 62:14	12:23 14:13,14	18:19 19:18,24	54:14	<b>existed</b> 49:14,18
determination	15:9,13,25	20:22,24 22:13	emerged 11:17	60:3
41:13	17:16 19:21	22:13	Emma 67:24	existing 7:24
determined 63:4	23:25 24:3,5	drawing 10:17	<b>employ</b> 70:13	exists 28:3 50:10
64:8 66:11	26:17,18 27:3	19:20 33:9	enact 4:10 5:13	60:18,23
determining	27:4,8,10	41:15 42:8,11	<b>enacted</b> 7:15 8:5	<b>expert</b> 51:5,12
11:23	37:22 42:20	43:1,2 46:20	enacts 4:13	explain 4:17
detriment 44:16	44:22,25 45:19	56:1	61:15	expressed 56:8
deviation 7:1	45:24 50:22	<b>drawn</b> 9:21 11:1	encompassing	extensive 5:2
devices 2:5	59:10,10,13,13	21:11 28:17	8:7	extensively 51:6
<b>DIAL</b> 1:24	59:18	39:15 41:25	encourage 54:21	extent 24:14
dictate 25:11	districts 4:11	42:20 46:12	enforcement 3:2	<b>eye</b> 14:2 16:18
<b>die</b> 51:19	5:5 7:3,24	48:25 49:15	engage 6:8	eyes 15:10 18:7
different 6:8	13:25 14:1,5,6	51:5 53:25	enrolled 61:24	
13:23 21:14,14	16:17,24 18:7	55:8	entails 27:7	<b>F</b>
29:1 50:3	19:14,18 21:17	<b>Dream</b> 47:25	<b>entire</b> 10:18	<b>fact</b> 6:4 33:23
59:15	22:14,16 23:23	drew 40:6 41:3	entitled 4:10	36:7 46:14
direct 1:24	24:22 25:1,5,7	41:7 51:5	<b>equal</b> 6:23	48:1 55:5
21:24 22:21	25:13 33:5	<b>Dulin</b> 67:20,21	erroneously	factor 18:8
27:20 33:25	37:3 41:15	<b>Durham</b> 38:8,12	30:24	41:24 43:4,5
34:20 62:23	42:4 43:1,3	65:18 70:2	error 52:4	factors 9:15
directed 40:19	45:14,15,17,20		essential 58:1	11:22 12:18
directing 50:8	45:22,23 46:11	E	established 6:24	22:24
direction 40:7	46:12,14,18	<b>e-mail</b> 60:8	7:7,17 41:14	factual 49:25
directly 56:13	48:9,11 50:5	e-mails 6:5	52:24	<b>fail</b> 6:21
director 54:12	50:13 51:10,20	<b>Earle</b> 34:5	establishing	<b>fails</b> 30:9
directs 62:16	52:17,18,24	<b>early</b> 5:17 56:23	56:23	<b>fair</b> 22:12 36:11
disagree 34:11	56:2,16 57:9	66:19	estimated 24:9	54:17
disasters 3:4	61:2	ease 62:13,18	evaluating	Faircloth 67:24
discovery 51:11	divided 10:12	easier 58:2	18:10,16	faith 5:9
discriminary	dividing 10:14	east 10:3 17:12	evening 49:9	fallacies 34:14
5:10	divine 2:21	eastern 9:10	everybody	<b>falls</b> 14:14,14
discriminatory	dog 55:3	<b>effect</b> 44:21	28:12	45:4
5:7	<b>doing</b> 24:6 35:14	<b>eight</b> 18:22	everyday 2:17	familiarity 25:9
discussing 16:20	53:18 54:14	24:21,25	evidence 5:3	<b>far</b> 6:1 10:2,3
discussion 4:14	<b>Dollar</b> 4:10	<b>either</b> 22:10	42:25	17:10
8:21 29:24	38:24 40:7,15	29:18,22	exact 15:19	fashions 49:10
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

	1	1		1
<b>fast</b> 51:16	<b>flawed</b> 48:13	Franklin 32:7	59:2 64:1,3,11	55:12 63:5,6
<b>father</b> 2:12 3:1	flaws 48:14 57:3	frankly 28:17	64:25 65:4,17	63:14 64:7
68:18 69:4	floating 63:22	33:24 45:7	65:23 66:4	67:8
<b>favor</b> 3:22 25:13	<b>floor</b> 4:21 20:20	Freezing 45:23	67:1 68:15,25	<b>good</b> 2:14 44:8
25:20 30:2	21:9 27:17	Friday 30:22	gentlemen 23:22	46:4
31:21 54:9	30:17 48:15	31:3 43:21,24	29:17 47:6	Goodman 62:9
58:10 61:9,19	Floyd 62:9	54:14 59:14	genuine 8:16	governor 21:20
69:7	<b>fluent</b> 36:18	66:4	geographically	48:22
favorable 22:14	folks 24:4 45:9	friends 54:7	29:21	grace 2:14
federal 6:20	63:22	<b>full</b> 2:14 57:8	Georgia 52:10	gracious 3:7
50:2,6	follow-up 11:8	further 4:14,14	gerrymander	Granville 44:12
feedback 39:4	12:10 22:3	8:21,21 13:3	35:13 41:11	grateful 15:6
feedbacks 6:4	36:3,20 37:13	17:24 29:24,24	45:25 48:6	great 2:13 15:20
feel 10:19 14:19	39:7,22 40:22	31:18,18 46:23	49:22,23 50:3	28:12 49:1
35:23,23	41:16 42:12	46:23 58:7,7	51:4 53:23	green 58:5
fellow 4:7	<b>following</b> 23:17	58:16 61:6,6	54:9,13,20	Greene 9:19
fewer 7:19 31:15	30:16 34:15	61:16,16 65:16	gerrymandered	10:1,3 17:1
46:4	62:3	66:25 70:10	41:5 47:20	gross 61:25
fewest 7:11	<b>follows</b> 62:5		53:11	group 10:15
<b>Fifth</b> 7:19	foot-dragging	G	gerrymanderi	28:7,7,14,24
figure 28:9	49:8	gall 54:20	41:5 46:1	46:19
figured 41:22	Ford 26:23	gallery 2:8	53:10 54:23	grouped 28:15
49:6	29:21	gander 44:23	55:4	28:16
filing 49:3	foregoing 70:7	Gaston 24:24	gerrymanders	grouping 8:12
final 19:3 48:19	foresee 66:3	<b>general</b> 1:3 4:7	42:5 45:22	9:25 10:11
Finally 48:24	form 49:14	4:12,12 5:2	48:7 51:18	16:24 17:11
53:8	formed 25:13	7:15 28:6 53:6	55:1	27:24 28:1,2,4
<b>find</b> 5:7 17:1,13	formula 7:5,7	54:2,6 56:22	give 4:2 57:7	groupings 7:5,7
21:16 43:4	8:12	gentleman 3:15	67:12	7:22
49:23	Forsyth 13:24	4:16,21 8:23	given 24:7 33:17	guaranteed 55:8
finding 5:1 41:2	14:13 15:9,11	9:2,3 11:6,9	gives 59:10	guess 22:16
51:8	15:12,13,20	12:7,11 13:14	giving 51:12	guests 2:4,8
<b>findings</b> 49:25	24:24 67:25	13:18 14:22	glad 68:13	guidelines 17:19
finished 62:22	forth 23:11,14	15:1 16:4,9	glory 2:16	Guilford 24:23
firm 56:1	30:1,11 57:13	17:21,25 18:25	go 10:20 13:8	67:23
first 5:14 6:23	57:16 63:18	20:9 22:5	32:18 35:9	guys 67:9
9:24 10:10	68:21 69:5	23:10,13,18	63:5	<b></b>
21:5,23 27:23	forward 28:22	27:14,17 28:21	<b>goal</b> 16:1 17:8	H
30:21 32:22	31:20 58:9	29:10,13 30:10	18:19 22:18,21	Halifax 32:23
38:23 39:1	66:22 67:8,10	30:17 32:3,12	57:5	33:8
46:11 48:20	67:15	34:24 35:2	goals 17:8	Hall 62:9,15
53:15,17 55:6	foul 52:1	36:4,21 37:14	God 2:12,14,14	Halls 62:14
62:22	found 3:18	38:8,10,11,12	2:15,16,19 3:8	hand 70:15
five 7:1 18:20	20:17 48:6	39:8 40:13,24	3:13	Hanes 68:1
45:14	51:6	41:17 42:14	goes 10:2 22:23	Hanover 24:24
fix 50:7 52:7	four 8:11 26:2	43:9,12 46:24	59:18	52:14 68:7
flag 3:11	43:19 53:4	47:1 55:18,21	going 20:20	happened 47:17
flaw 49:19 52:3	fourth 7:12 52:9	57:12,21 58:17	29:19 48:18	hard 28:12
52:9 53:8	frail 56:12	58:19,22,24,25	51:16 53:15	harmonize 11:2
32.7 33.0	11 an 50.12		31.10 33.13	

	1	1	1	1	
Harnett 3:15	house 1:1 2:1	impossible 51:4	<b>input</b> 5:17,23	60:2,14,17	
4:16 9:3 15:2	3:24 4:1,9,10	improve 31:5	6:10 8:15 31:8	61:5 62:10	
27:14 30:10	5:6 6:14 10:5,8	inappropriate	33:4 38:18	65:1,2,6	
32:13 35:3	14:13,17 15:8	33:21	39:5,19 40:8	Jesus 3:9	
38:12 43:13	19:18 20:20	include 24:23	49:1,11	<b>job</b> 31:11	
44:13 57:12	21:1 23:15	included 29:4	inquiry 35:3	<b>John</b> 55:18 62:8	
58:20,25 64:1	26:17 27:21	33:1	65:19,23	62:10	
67:1 68:15,25	29:25 30:25	includes 25:1	<b>Insko</b> 20:6,7,13	<b>JOHNS</b> 55:19	
Harrison 62:10	31:7,19 42:4	29:5	22:2,4,9 23:8	55:23	
<b>Harron</b> 67:23	45:14,19,19	including 3:2	instance 37:21	Johnson 25:1	
Hastings 62:10	48:14 50:5	13:4 26:9	instances 56:18	29:22	
hat 28:17	52:24 54:4	28:21 42:20	instruct 51:13	<b>Johnson's</b> 26:20	
hate 47:14	55:15 56:2,25	50:7	instructing 50:6	27:3	
hear 12:17 24:8	57:17,19,19	incorporated	instructions	Johnston 9:19	
heard 47:15,22	58:8 61:7,8,13	33:9	38:3	44:13 67:22	
48:9 56:6,9	61:15,17,18,23	incorrectly 43:6	integrity 53:17	69:2	
57:4	62:18,19,24,24	increased 31:13	intend 25:20	<b>join</b> 68:11	
hearing 5:24 6:2	62:25 64:14,21	31:14	60:16 64:18	Jones 2:7,10,11	
6:13 32:23	65:8,22 67:19	incumbency	intended 14:11	Jordan 62:10	
47:16 49:9,12	68:19,23 69:1	17:7 18:18	20:22 60:25	<b>Journal</b> 3:18,21	
64:23	69:8,11	28:20 29:5	intent 5:7,10,16	3:23	
hearings 6:7	houses 59:10	incumbent	34:18 63:11	Jr 51:14	
32:25 49:1	human 56:12	12:19 18:9,11	intention 5:20	Jr.'s 47:25	
heart 47:12	Hume 68:1	23:3,5 24:2	55:24	judged 17:4	
heartfelt 57:5	humility 55:10	26:13 28:5	interaction	judges 50:6	
heavily 18:12	hurricane 3:5	incumbent's	12:24	judicial 62:4	
held 6:7	hyperbole 21:9	23:24,25	interest 32:17	June 48:21,24	
help 35:24 36:1	hyperbole 21.9	incumbents 8:9	interested 24:8	justice 3:14	
36:18 37:4	I	8:10 12:4 17:6	70:12	justices 49:21	
53:25	<b>ID</b> 23:4	25:7,22 28:15	interesting 55:7	justify 43:1	
helped 12:3	idea 35:20	28:24 49:5	interesting 33.7	Justify 43.1	
helping 51:11	identical 56:8	independent	introduce 67:10	K	
hereto 70:15	identified 51:23	56:4,23	67:17	Katherine 68:4	
Hiott 67:24	identify 50:23	indicate 21:25	introduced	keep 41:21 46:5	
hire 36:1	58:2 59:8	41:24	60:21	keeping 10:18	
hired 40:6	ignore 53:7	indicated 41:3	Iredell 25:2	18:21 24:12	
history 50:12	II 50:20,23	individual 19:19	Ironically 19:24	31:12	
53:11	51:24 52:23	38:18	issue 28:10	keeps 66:24	
<b>Hoffler</b> 35:14,16	illegal 45:24	indivisible 3:13	47:11	kept 4:6	
35:23 37:7	imagine 54:10	industry 36:16	issued 50:6	kind 31:3 37:3	
40:6,15 51:7	impact 34:6	inevitable 56:17	items 24:7	60:24	
Holloway 67:25	impacted 33:1	influence 22:25		<b>kindly</b> 16:7	
home 15:20	46:14	23:2,6	J	King 47:25	
honestly 21:24	impartial 56:4	information	Jackson 31:2	Kirastacia 68:5	
honor 2:16	important 5:6	32:24 37:2,7	43:9,10,16,18	knew 28:10 45:8	
68:17 69:2	6:3 10:7 13:5	37:11,20,23	44:3,10,20	know 9:12,13	
hope 6:21	50:21	39:13,21 42:6	45:11 46:21,25	10:4 13:5	
hoped 6:7	importantly	42:7,9 50:16	47:2,4 58:23	15:19 21:24	
horrified 54:7	6:19 56:4	59:11	59:1,6,7,12	22:25 24:4	
normed 54.7		JJ.11			

		 I		
25:25 26:22	length 28:20	literally 28:5	maintain 15:22	36:19
28:12 47:9	lessen 48:8	little 6:10 11:22	majority 54:11	<b>Martin</b> 8:24,25
49:18 53:14,14	let's 23:3	13:23 16:18	56:21	9:4,7,24 11:4,7
54:23 63:21	<b>letter</b> 34:4,5,9	21:8 27:5,22	majority/min	11:13 12:2,5,8
67:11,16	34:10,11,12,14	55:10,10,11	5:5	12:15 13:2,12
knowing 55:11	34:19	live 6:21 51:18	<b>making</b> 23:7,22	13:16,22 14:8
knowledge 37:3	level 23:4 59:21	<b>loaded</b> 37:11	56:15	14:21,24 15:17
37:21	<b>Lewis</b> 3:16,17	located 19:19	maliciously 8:9	16:3,13,23
known 48:20	3:20 4:9,18,22	location 33:8	Malone 68:3	17:20,23 18:4
knows 51:13,16	9:9,23 11:11	lock 30:7 31:24	man 2:24	18:24 19:2,9
	11:25 12:13,16	58:13 61:11,21	maneuver 24:2	19:23 20:4
L	13:1,20 14:7	long 25:15 26:4	<b>map</b> 6:6,10,16	47:24
lack 16:20	15:3,5,16	47:8 48:1,25	6:21,23 7:2,6,9	Maryland 55:2
Ladies 23:22	16:11,14,22	49:3	7:10,12,14,19	matter 26:2,3
29:17 47:6	18:2,5,13 19:7	long-term 25:18	8:2,3,5 9:9	Maxwell 68:6
lady 20:6,10	19:11,22 20:8	25:22	10:10,21 14:4	McDowell 68:18
32:6,18 69:2	20:11,13 21:4	longer 25:14	17:18 18:17	69:3
language 50:7	22:7,19 27:14	48:3 50:10	22:1,10 23:7	<b>McNeil</b> 62:11
53:3	27:15,19 30:11	look 6:6 9:20	24:1,9,21	mean 26:6 42:6
languish 56:25	30:13,19 31:21	10:17 13:4	26:12 31:10,11	meaningful
large 25:6 47:16	32:14 33:3,18	15:10,19 16:17	31:12,16 34:1	49:13
largely 33:6	34:7 35:4,8,17	18:7 19:12	34:1,20 35:19	<b>means</b> 51:3
40:21	36:12,23 37:5	28:19 50:11	35:21,21 36:1	measured 14:15
larger 24:21	37:16,25 38:13	51:15,20 64:9	37:8 38:23	Mecklenburg
largest 24:20	38:19 39:10,17	66:22	39:2,3,5,15,19	24:23 45:13,19
law 3:2 6:20	39:23 40:5,11	<b>looked</b> 19:17	40:2,7,16,19	52:15 58:18
9:14 34:13,16	40:18,23 41:8	53:20	41:3,6 42:11	67:19,21 68:4
49:25 56:23	41:19 42:1,16	<b>looking</b> 9:9,10	43:23,25 44:4	meet 5:22 17:3
lawsuit 28:3	42:22 43:14,20	9:16 10:6	44:7,8 48:17	17:15 64:15,21
laying 48:25	44:2,11,19	11:17 20:25	50:7 51:8 52:5	meeting 64:22
leave 52:17 66:7	45:12,13,21	53:21	52:6 53:10	65:14
led 49:8	57:12,13,23	looks 15:10	55:8 58:2,3,6	meets 14:20
Lee 10:2 32:4	58:10,20,21	19:13 66:19	60:7,23 63:8	15:14
34:24	59:5,14,23	lord 2:19,23,24	63:15,17	member 28:6
left 34:19 35:11	60:9,10,13,18	lose 25:8	maps 5:17 7:8	39:1
67:11	61:4 62:10	<b>lot</b> 18:8 21:18	7:11,15,20	members 2:2,4
legal 52:21	64:2 67:2,4,6	22:24 23:2	10:22 16:2	2:7 3:10 4:1,2
legally 5:3	68:16,17	24:4 28:9	18:10,16 20:14	4:7,11,24 8:13
legislation 35:20	liberty 3:14	33:24 47:14	20:17,21,22	14:17 18:20
57:1	<b>lift</b> 2:19 3:1,3	54:20 67:11	21:2,11 24:5	25:14,15,20
legislative 6:9	light 28:11	Louis 57:17	25:24 33:1,13	27:20 31:7,16
35:22,22 50:17	limitations 53:5	love 2:14	33:17,23 35:14	38:16,21 39:2
56:16 57:8	limits 25:18	<b>Luther</b> 47:25	38:17 40:8	39:4,6,14,21
legislators 36:18	line 30:14,14		41:4,7,11 42:8	57:25 60:19
<b>legislature</b> 5:9	44:24 45:3,4,8		48:21 49:6,13	61:3 62:2,3,5,8
6:6 24:14,19	lines 23:16,17	machine 30:7	49:22 51:2,5	62:20 64:7
26:15 42:7	30:15 37:22	31:24 58:13	51:17 55:6	65:12,22 67:11
legislatures	41:25 51:4	61:11,21	57:2	68:10 69:8
50:22,25	listen 6:13	<b>magic</b> 50:25	maptitude 36:16	memory 68:18
	l	ı	l	l

		I		
69:3	22:8 23:9,13	national 47:13	numbers 21:25	11:21 12:21
mention 12:24	23:18 27:13,17	nationwide		24:12
mentioned 7:18	29:9,13,24	36:17	0	options 28:13
16:16 59:14	30:17 31:18	nature 56:12	o'clock 63:5	<b>Orange</b> 20:6,10
mercy 2:14	32:12,16 34:23	nearly 55:24	64:9 68:20,24	68:2,5
message 62:17	35:2,6 36:4,21	necessary 42:5	<b>object</b> 63:14	order 2:2 4:1,25
met 28:19 30:22	36:24 37:14,17	45:18 48:18	objected 45:5	4:25 5:12,15
<b>Meyer</b> 68:2,6	38:7,11,14	need 7:25 66:18	objection 61:14	5:21 7:25 8:1
<b>Michaux</b> 38:8,9	39:8,11,25	<b>needed</b> 20:18	63:13 64:23	8:16 22:22
38:15,20 39:7	40:13,24 41:17	46:17 48:22	obvious 53:9	35:13,24 37:24
39:12,18,22	41:20 42:14,17	negative 30:9	obviously 40:5	41:6,23 42:20
40:1,10,14,22	43:8,12,15	32:2 58:15	53:18,25	50:6 53:2
40:25 41:9,16	46:23 47:1	61:13,23	<b>October</b> 66:9,10	62:19 65:22,25
41:21 42:2,12	55:17,21 57:11	new 21:2 24:24	66:12,19	ordered 45:21
42:18 43:7	57:15,21 58:7	26:5 52:14	offer 44:1	46:9 61:24,25
65:18,19,24	58:24 59:2	68:7	<b>offered</b> 2:6 6:10	64:24
66:6,13,20,23	60:12 61:6,16	newer 25:20	<b>office</b> 25:14	ordering 52:12
mid-decade	64:11,18 65:4	<b>night</b> 47:22	<b>oh</b> 2:24 67:6	orders 50:4
52:11	65:11,21 66:2	<b>nine</b> 10:18 11:3	Okay 32:20	original 22:11
military 3:2	66:9,16,22	12:1 14:20	63:15	ought 26:17
mind 14:3 16:15	67:5 68:10,25	17:8,9 18:22	once 10:13	outcome 21:24
16:20 21:10,24	69:9	26:3 49:20	19:11 34:6	22:25 23:6
minority 54:17	<b>motion</b> 3:16	<b>no-vote</b> 65:13	63:9	44:21 70:12
54:19	67:2 68:16	nominee 21:20	ones 18:8 24:22	outside 25:4
<b>minus</b> 7:1 10:9	<b>motors</b> 24:10	non-use 49:20	40:16,20 51:21	60:1
minutes 47:7	move 26:16,18	nonpartisan	55:2	overall 17:18
misspeak 10:7	26:18 64:20	56:5	<b>online</b> 49:12	44:5
misspoke 14:9	68:19,22	normal 65:9	Onslow 25:1	overrides 62:24
31:1,4	<b>moved</b> 3:19	<b>north</b> 1:1,3,11	44:12	
moderation	moves 3:20	4:12,13 5:5	open 30:3 31:22	<u> </u>
55:10	23:15 30:13	10:2 17:1 28:1	58:11 61:10,20	<b>p.m</b> 1:12 68:20
moment 4:3	69:1	28:14 50:13	opinion 27:1	68:24 69:6,11
65:21 67:3,5	<b>moving</b> 23:24	51:8 52:5,9,22	33:20 50:1,12	pack 21:13
momentarily	27:5,7 62:2	54:2,3 55:4	59:17	35:12
62:13 63:9	multiple 62:14	56:2,2,21	opponent 26:19	packs 51:2
Monday 1:12	municipal 8:4	61:15 70:1,6	opportunities	page 23:16
<b>money</b> 23:3	15:22	<b>Notary</b> 70:5,20	48:8	30:14,14 50:11
<b>months</b> 47:13	municipalities	70:21	<b>oppose</b> 47:9	pages 59:17 67:7
51:7	8:3 31:12 46:5	<b>note</b> 5:6 6:2	opposed 3:22	67:8,10,13,14
<b>MOORE</b> 2:1		50:25	24:16 25:19	67:18 68:11
3:15,20 4:14	N 20224	notes 10:6	30:2 31:22	70:7
4:20 8:21 9:2,6	name 3:9 23:4	noticed 44:11	56:11 58:11	pair 12:3 28:25
11:5,9,12 12:6	67:15	notices 65:16	61:9,19 69:9	paired 17:6
12:11,14 13:14	named 40:8	66:25	optimal 10:11	29:20
13:18,21 14:22	names 47:22	November 5:18	28:2,2,4	pairing 28:23
15:1,4 16:4,6,9	Nash 44:13	<b>number</b> 10:5,7,8	optimization	pale 54:8
16:12 17:21,25	Nate 68:8	10:12,13 29:6	7:24	parameters 7:13
18:3,25 19:5,8	nation 2:17,18	34:15 55:5	<b>optimum</b> 10:5,7	<b>pardon</b> 66:14
20:5,9,12 22:4	3:13 25:17	67:12 70:21	<b>option</b> 11:17,20	Parker 68:3
	l	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>

		<u> </u>		ı
parrot 54:20	<b>Pitt</b> 44:12	59:8	1:22	production 16:2
part 5:7 9:10	<b>Pittman</b> 23:10	pointless 51:15	preparing 37:8	program 36:19
11:16 13:24	23:11,15,20	<b>points</b> 34:10	present 36:1	prohibition 53:7
17:11 19:3	29:10,11,15	53:23 54:15,21	presented 33:2	<b>proper</b> 49:24
21:15 23:7	30:2	polarized 5:4	38:17 40:16	proposal 30:23
28:1 38:2	<b>place</b> 37:19	political 45:3,9	42:9 52:6 54:5	proposals 6:20
47:12,16 60:16	44:15	52:22 53:12,13	presumptions	56:24
particular 35:15	placement 44:24	53:18	35:8	proposed 23:25
particularly	plaintiffs 33:12	politicians 25:19	pretending 50:9	24:9 26:12
14:3 16:19	33:15,15,21,23	56:9	pretty 9:20 24:6	57:2
parties 28:3	34:1 48:15	politics 52:20	26:24	proposes 28:25
70:11,13	plaintiffs' 54:12	Polsby-Popper	prevail 56:19	proposing 54:8
partisan 21:10	60:7	7:13 14:16	prevalent 6:4	propound 59:3
22:1 44:22	plan 5:6,13 6:18	31:14 44:8	prevents 49:4	protect 3:1
53:10 54:9,19	7:24 8:11,13	population 6:24	previous 60:20	protecting 25:19
54:23 56:18	8:14,19 27:21	7:1 10:12 17:3	previously	25:22
party 44:16,17	30:25 36:14	17:15 28:7	37:23	protection 8:7
53:6,24,24	44:6 47:10,12	44:24 45:7	primarily 40:19	12:19 17:7
party's 54:11	47:20 48:5,6,7	53:2	primary 17:8	18:9,11,19
passage 61:8,18	48:12 49:19	<b>position</b> 56:10	57:5	proud 6:16
passage 01.6,16 passed 56:23	50:3 53:21	posible 15:24	principles 9:14	provided 5:22
57:1 66:15	54:3,5,10,12	19:18 52:16	prior 8:4 38:22	5:23 6:14
passes 61:13,23	54:16 55:12,16	post 68:14	39:1,21 40:21	21:13 31:4
passes 01.13,23 passing 48:12	57:19,20 59:20	potential 22:11	50:13	provides 23:5
path 54:25	59:25 60:3,3	50:23 51:24	<b>priority</b> 14:5,9	provision 9:13
patience 15:6	60:21 63:6,15	praise 2:13	probably 15:24	12:25 52:4
18:5	planning 62:20	praise 2.13 pray 2:11,17 3:3	38:23 44:23,25	psalm 2:22
paying 20:21	plans 8:5 54:24	3:5,8,8	47:7 49:9	public 5:17,23
53:9	59:15	prayer 2:6	65:15 67:13	5:24 6:2,6,12
<b>Pender</b> 17:12,14	play 50:21	prayer 2.0 precinct 23:24	problem 25:23	8:15 32:22,25
people 2:19	pleadings 51:11	26:19 27:2,3	49:5	39:4 40:21
25:20 26:7,10	please 2:4,8 4:2	45:6	proceedings 1:8	47:13,16,23
32:23 40:20	4:5 31:16		4:3 70:8	48:25 49:9
percent 7:1	32:11 58:22	<b>precincts</b> 7:19 7:21 27:7,9	process 5:11,25	54:22 56:6
24:10		,	6:9 10:23 23:7	
	67:8,15 68:10 <b>pledge</b> 2:9 3:11	46:5,17,18	35:21,22 37:4	70:6,20
perform 21:17 performance	plus 6:25 10:9	predominance 42:21	38:22 48:20	<b>publication</b> 40:21
44:22	_			
	51:9	predominant 41:24	49:3,8,12	publicly 47:18
<b>permitted</b> 32:18 59:3	pockets 51:8		56:14 57:7	<b>published</b> 60:15 60:19
	point 6:3 9:25	predominantly	59:9 63:10	
person 17:16	10:21 15:18	42:19	<b>produce</b> 5:17	pulverized
35:24	17:17 21:12	preface 21:7	6:6 21:3	50:14
personal 39:15	22:23 27:23	prefaced 21:6	produced 5:2,14	purely 57:25
56:20	29:18,20 44:1	preferred 10:20	6:17,17 7:20	purpose 8:23
personally 6:5	44:18 45:25	11:21,24 12:20	39:19 40:19	11:5 12:6
47:23	48:2,18 53:8	preliminary	produces 7:2	13:15 14:23
<b>picking</b> 35:16	53:17	59:22 60:6	35:21	16:5 17:22
pictures 49:17	<b>pointed</b> 46:3	prepare 64:10	producing 10:10	19:1 20:5 23:9
<b>piece</b> 35:20	48:14 57:3	PREPARED	product 36:10	25:10 27:13
	I	I	I	I

	I	I	I	1
29:9 32:3,6	43:11,13,19	34:10 57:16	53:5 56:7,24	reminds 2:22
34:23 38:7	57:3 58:23	59:16,17 61:14	57:19,20 62:4	remote 6:15
43:8 55:17	59:4	<b>reading</b> 61:8,13	63:7,8,15	remove 24:15
57:11 58:17,19	quickest 36:8	61:18,24 63:12	64:15,17 65:13	removed 62:25
64:25 65:17	quickly 35:24	63:14,16	redraw 5:14	renames 58:6
purposes 44:24	quite 32:23	realign 4:11	20:21	<b>Reock</b> 7:14
45:7 62:20		realize 10:20	redrawing	31:13 44:7
63:18	R	reason 9:22 14:4	45:17 52:13	repeat 14:16
pursuant 62:12	race 8:8 20:21	35:11,15 41:12	redrawn 20:18	repeatedly
<b>push</b> 37:4	41:6,15,23,24	reasonable 55:8	48:22	55:25
put 2:23,23,24	42:8,11,20	reasoning 19:20	reemphasize	repeating 66:24
18:20 19:25	43:1,2,4 45:16	reasons 16:20	31:4	report 15:19
37:19 39:3	45:23 47:11	45:5 52:19,22	refer 46:10	reporter 4:4,6
40:16 54:18	48:3 49:24	recall 12:2 33:4	referred 50:2	70:5
56:10 63:1	53:21 59:11	33:7,25 34:9	reflect 33:17	REPORTER'S
<b>puts</b> 54:16	races 20:15	34:19,19 38:25	<b>reflects</b> 8:14,15	70:4
putting 25:24	21:14	receive 2:21	8:16	reports 63:22
27:2 54:25	racial 35:11,13	5:22 49:11	<b>refuse</b> 52:19	representative
	37:21 41:5,11	received 6:4	refused 51:22,25	2:7,10,11 3:16
Q	42:4 45:22,25	25:9 34:6	refusing 50:8	3:17,20 4:18
question 9:24	46:1 47:20	39:19 47:24	51:19	4:22 8:24,25
10:16 11:8,10	48:5 49:20,22	receiving 34:9	<b>refute</b> 42:10	9:4,7,9,23,24
11:15 12:1,10	49:23 50:3,8	recognized 3:16	regard 15:8	11:4,7,11,13
13:2,17,19	51:3,4,6,17	22:5 23:13,18	regarding 6:9	11:25 12:2,5,8
14:8,25 15:2,8	54:13,19 59:15	29:13 30:11	Regina 1:22	12:13,15,16
15:17 16:8,10	59:24 60:6,15	47:2 55:21	70:5,20	13:1,2,12,16
16:23 17:24	racially 5:4 41:5	57:21 64:2,4	regretful 29:2	13:20,22 14:7
18:1,14 19:6	42:19 48:7	64:12 65:4,23	reiterate 15:24	14:8,21,24
19:23 20:8	50:14	67:2 68:16	Reives 32:4	15:3,5,16,17
21:5,6,12,22	racist 47:21	recommend	34:24,25 35:7	16:3,6,11,13
22:6,20 29:25	radical 54:9	58:1	35:18 36:3,6	16:14,22,23
31:19 32:17,19	raise 23:3	reconvene 68:20	36:20,25 37:13	17:20,23 18:2
32:22 33:4,12	Raleigh 1:11	68:23 69:6	37:18 38:5	18:4,5,13,24
33:19 34:4,8	6:13	reconvening	related 70:10	19:2,7,9,22,23
35:18 36:13	ramifications	66:10	<b>relates</b> 34:4 52:9	20:4,6,7,7,11
37:6 38:1,10	45:4	record 5:2 10:22	relative 21:16	20:13,13 21:4
38:20 39:18	Randolph 25:2	30:7,22 31:24	release 38:22	21:4 22:2,4,7,9
41:9 42:13,23	range 14:14	41:14 47:19	39:1	22:19,20 23:8
44:3,20 45:12	25:4	58:13 60:16	released 49:16	23:10,11,15,20
58:8 60:11	rarely 49:21	61:11	relevant 50:16	24:12,15 26:20
61:7,17 66:14	ratification	recordings 6:14	remain 2:8 24:2	26:23 27:3,14
questioned 20:3	63:17	recruiting 49:4	53:1 55:3	27:15,19 29:10
questions 9:1	ratio 21:3	redeemer 2:25	remaining 46:12	29:11,15,21,21
12:12 15:7	Ray 62:11	redistrict 52:11	46:13	30:1,4,4,5,5,10
32:11,13,25	re-draw 50:5	redistricting	remarks 5:18	30:13,19 31:2
35:1,5,10 36:5	reach 25:4	5:10 6:17 9:14	remember	31:21 32:4,7,8
36:22 37:15	reached 31:6	27:21 40:3,17	20:19 49:2	32:14,18,20
39:9,24 40:12	read 3:25 4:8	42:10 46:7,10	60:7	33:3,4,10,18
41:18 42:15	23:14 30:12	47:10 50:17,21	<b>remind</b> 65:11	34:3,7,21,24
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

34:25 35:4,7	republicans	right 26:9 38:5	Saturday 31:5	26:1 40:21
35:17,18 36:3	20:16,16,23	63:19,25 64:8	49:16 60:24	49:10 59:14,22
36:6,12,20,23	22:11,14,17	67:17	savior 3:9	60:3,5,20,22
36:25 37:5,13	45:1	rightly 49:7	saw 13:7 18:20	selected 18:23
37:16,18,25	request 60:8	rights 26:5 50:9	22:11	21:19,21
38:5,8,9,13,15	requests 51:12	50:13,20	saying 22:24	selecting 56:10
38:19,19,24	require 23:24	rise 8:24 11:6	41:21	56:11
39:7,10,12,17	45:24	12:7 20:6	says 41:22 42:7	self-district
39:18,22,23	required 7:4	23:10 27:14	50:15,19 52:24	56:17
40:1,5,7,10,11	8:11 10:4 25:4	29:10 32:4,7	scaffold 51:8	self-interest
40:14,15,18,22	52:16	34:24 38:8	schedule 49:3	56:17
40:23,25 41:8	requirement	43:9 47:9	62:21 66:1	Senate 56:3
41:9,16,19,21	6:24 17:3	55:18 57:12	scheme 28:2	61:25 62:1,17
42:1,1,12,16	requires 5:13	58:18,20 65:1	scientists 53:13	63:7,14,17
42:18,22 43:7	requiring 50:4	65:18	score 7:13,14,14	<b>Senate's</b> 64:16
43:9,10,14,16	research 24:7	Robeson 17:12	14:16 17:18	send 23:11,14
43:18 44:2,3	resolution 66:14	25:3 44:12	31:13,14 44:7	30:11 57:13,16
44:10,19,20	66:15,17	robust 5:1,25	44:9	sent 28:22 30:1
45:11,12,21	respect 4:7	Rogers 30:4	scores 31:6	31:20 34:5
46:21,25 47:2	15:22	62:11	43:22,25	58:9 61:24
47:4 55:18,19	respected 26:6	role 50:21	seat 10:6,8	62:1,16
55:23 57:12,13	respectfully	<b>room</b> 64:16 65:9	18:21	sentiment 56:8
57:15,17,23	20:2 29:1	<b>Rowan</b> 19:12	seated 28:6	separate 9:18
58:10,18,20,21	34:11 58:5	20:2 23:23	seats 2:2 19:25	50:4
58:22 59:1,5,6	respects 8:4	25:2 26:25	22:12,12 26:10	September 5:14
59:7,12,13,23	respond 38:10	<b>RUFFIN</b> 1:23	46:13 53:24	66:15
60:2,9,10,13	response 5:12	rule 4:21 52:13	54:3,6	Sergeant-At
60:14,17,18	11:16 47:17	52:15 62:12	<b>second</b> 7:2 29:12	2:3 6:14
61:4,5 62:5,6,7	rest 8:6	68:21 69:5	29:14 33:12	<b>series</b> 43:10
62:7,8,14 64:1	result 20:22	ruled 42:4	49:19 53:20	44:11 58:23
64:3,5,12,14	34:1	rules 11:2 56:25	61:8,13 63:12	serve 25:10,25
65:1,2,6,18,19	resulted 54:6	64:20	63:16 66:12	<b>served</b> 23:1 29:6
65:24 66:6,13	results 22:1	<b>ruling</b> 41:10	seconded 69:1	serves 24:3
66:20,23 67:2	33:16	42:24 46:15,16	<b>Section</b> 50:20,23	service 25:9
67:4,6,20,21	retained 7:21	run 26:7,9,17	51:24 52:24	services 23:5
67:22,24,25	retire 2:3	60:25	secure 35:12	serving 67:9
68:1,2,3,5,6,8	return 53:1	runs 15:11	see 8:25 9:17	session 1:1
68:9,15,17,19	68:14		11:7 12:9	48:23 63:3
69:2,4	review 33:24	<u>S</u>	13:16 14:24	64:19 65:12,13
representatives	reviewing 33:24	S 20:15	16:7 17:3,23	69:11
1:1 4:9 24:18	<b>Rhea</b> 67:20	sacrifices 28:12	19:2 38:9	<b>set</b> 10:14 56:16
25:10 52:25	Richard 68:18	sadly 57:1	39:15 44:14	68:21 69:5
56:12	69:3	<b>safe</b> 18:9 66:19	49:13 51:17,17	70:15
reproduce 36:1	Richardson	66:20	52:3 55:13	seven 50:3
republic 3:12	32:7,8,18,20	<b>safety</b> 3:3,6	57:6	<b>share</b> 31:3 60:24
54:15	33:10 34:3,21	Sampson 13:25	seek 2:20 39:13	shared 61:2
republican	62:11	16:17 17:2	39:20 55:24	shift 13:23
21:21 44:17	Richmond	44:13	seen 6:21 7:8	shifted 20:15
54:7 55:2 64:7	27:25	Sanota 68:4	21:25 24:5	<b>short</b> 21:22
			I	l

	1	l	l	
34:17 57:18	46:8	50:7,22 59:11	starting 45:25	submission
Shorthand 70:5	<b>speaker</b> 2:1 3:15	59:13 66:4	state 9:11,12	48:17
shortly 8:18	3:17,20 4:14	specifically 5:8	10:5,8 13:5,14	submitted 10:22
shown 55:10	4:19,20,23,24	9:11 11:15	13:24 14:6,22	30:24,25 33:23
shows 12:22	8:20,21 9:2,5,6	39:20 56:14	16:4 17:21	34:2 40:3
side 49:5 60:22	9:8 11:4,5,9,12	specify 45:6	18:25 19:4	submitting
signers 34:12	11:14 12:5,6,9	<b>speech</b> 47:25	24:21 25:17	30:15
significant 5:4	12:11,14 13:13	speedometer	28:4 32:24	substantial 36:9
57:6	13:14,18,20,21	51:15	42:4 46:6	substituting
signify 5:8	14:21,22 15:1	spent 28:9 33:24	47:18 48:3	23:17
silence 2:4	15:4 16:3,4,7,9	51:7,10	51:10,11 70:1	suggest 10:18
similar 51:20	16:12 17:20,21	spirit 18:22	70:6	sum 54:15
<b>simple</b> 39:13	17:25 18:3,24	<b>split</b> 20:25 31:15	stated 6:20	summarize 47:8
54:11	18:25 19:5,8	44:11,15 45:7	17:19 30:24	<b>super</b> 54:17
<b>simply</b> 5:20	19:10 20:5,9	46:4,17,18	statements 57:4	supermajority
17:16 27:2	20:12 22:4,8	<b>splits</b> 7:10,19,20	states 3:12 52:11	48:11
58:2 59:3	23:9,13,18,21	8:3 44:21 52:5	55:1,2	support 8:19
<b>single</b> 19:16	27:13,17,20	splitting 11:18	statewide 5:24	27:11 31:10,16
44:15	29:9,13,16,24	spoke 38:25	44:5 53:21	supporting 42:5
sir 13:12,22 18:2	30:17,20,21	<b>spoken</b> 55:25	statistics 35:12	Supreme 49:20
20:4,11 22:7	31:18 32:9,12	sponsor 9:1,8	37:22 59:15,22	sure 10:6 26:24
36:23 59:21	32:15,16,21	11:8,14 12:9	status 25:19	37:20 42:2
60:12	34:22,23 35:2	13:17 14:25	stay 55:9	51:23 63:21
sites 6:15	35:6 36:4,21	15:6 16:7	Stein 54:2,6	67:10
situation 17:5	36:24 37:14,17	17:24 19:3,5	step 67:15	suspend 4:21
46:9	38:6,7,11,14	32:10 35:1	stepped 32:5	suspended
six 8:10	39:8,11,25	43:11 67:20,21	Stevens 62:6	64:20
Sixth 8:3	40:13,24 41:17	67:22,23,25	Stevenson 6:24	switch 25:7
<b>size</b> 25:3	41:20 42:14,17	68:1,2,3,4,6,7	7:7,10 11:2	
slate 46:2,3	43:8,12,15,19	68:9	53:4,4	T
slightly 43:25	46:23 47:1,5	sponsored 67:13	stipulation	take 2:2 17:15
44:4	55:17,21 56:7	<b>spread</b> 9:17,19	68:21	19:3,12 40:25
smaller 24:25	56:7,8 57:5,10	12:22	stipulations	59:21 63:7,11
<b>solely</b> 19:15	57:11,15,21,24	squinting 15:9	69:5	64:16
<b>solve</b> 28:11	57:25 58:7,21	stack 21:13	<b>Stone</b> 68:5	taken 53:2 59:16
somebody 29:19	58:24 59:1,2,6	35:12 51:2	<b>stood</b> 20:19	63:23
son 3:9	60:11,12,14	staff 58:2 59:25	stop 53:18	takes 15:10,12
sorry 9:18	61:5,6,16 64:6	<b>stand</b> 2:8 62:13	strength 2:25	54:20
sort 21:6 25:16	64:11,18 65:4	69:10	stretch 16:25	talk 24:11 27:22
36:16 37:22	65:7,11,21	standard 36:17	stretches 10:1	33:22 39:2
sounded 49:1	66:2,9,16,22	68:21 69:5	17:12 27:25	talked 18:6
source 60:1	67:4,5 68:10	standing 2:9	Strickland	38:21,23 43:21
south 10:1 16:25	68:17,22,25	26:1	67:23	talking 16:15
27:25 28:16	69:9	stands 3:13	strict 53:7	54:15,21 66:6
48:2	speakers 6:8	<b>Stanly</b> 44:13	<b>Strike</b> 61:25	talks 50:12
southeast 15:11	special 48:23	67:24	<b>struck</b> 49:21	59:18
southern 15:12	62:17	<b>start</b> 17:14	54:24 55:6	<b>Tanner</b> 67:23
southwest 15:13	<b>specific</b> 5:1 6:10	47:10	subject 9:15	target 21:10,24
speak 29:11,14	10:16,25 33:7	started 46:2	68:21 69:4	task 52:2 56:1
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

<b>tasked</b> 14:19	thing 25:16 26:5	24:11 33:17	turn 48:10	43:1 45:23
Taylor 68:5	things 15:18	36:2 47:10,24	Turner 68:9	50:8 51:13
technical 58:1	23:2,4,6 37:22	48:12 49:14,19	turning 41:2	
<b>Ted</b> 62:7	45:1 46:4	51:2 53:19	two 7:11,15,20	V
tell 6:22 21:9	think 6:2 11:20	54:2,16,25	8:5 9:21 11:19	various 12:18
45:17 49:11	12:18 17:1	58:3 62:21	12:24 19:14,25	34:13 44:22
telling 14:3	19:16 22:10,23	67:7	24:25 25:5,7	vast 56:21
51:14	26:17,22 28:18	today's 62:22,25	29:1,19 38:10	verbatim 70:7
ten 21:13,14	31:10,11,12	told 26:11 51:25	50:4 53:16	versa 23:25
26:3	34:13 35:25	tomorrow 63:2	two-year 25:25	versus 6:25 7:7
tend 21:17,18	36:14,15 43:19	63:2,5,6,6,10	type 48:4	11:18
term 25:18 26:1	47:15,19 63:4	64:14,19 65:12		veto 62:24
terms 21:19	third 7:6 10:24	top 14:4,9 55:3	U	vice 23:25 62:6
25:25 29:6	52:3 61:14,18	Toppins 1:22	U 20:15	62:7,8
34:12	61:23 63:12,16	70:5,20	ultimately 56:19	Victoria 68:1
test 7:17	<b>Thomas</b> 68:18	<b>Torbit</b> 62:11	unaffected 7:22	violate 37:24
testimony 51:12	69:3	total 5:15 7:21	unaltered 53:1	52:15
Texas 3:5	thoroughly	touch 45:14,24	unchanged	violating 45:10
thank 3:6 4:18	34:10	52:17	52:17,19	52:4
4:22 8:20 9:7,8	<b>thought</b> 66:13	touched 46:8	unconstitutio	violations 50:24
9:23 11:13,14	66:14	town 31:15	20:18 41:3,4	51:24
11:25 12:8,15	thoughtful 8:15	transcribe 4:5	41:11 45:16	Visitors 2:2
13:1,12,22	three 9:17 11:18	transcribed	48:21 50:5	voices 48:9
14:7,24 15:5	12:22 13:9	70:9	51:7 52:18	<b>vote</b> 3:24 17:16
15:16 16:6,13	19:18 26:2,7	TRANSCRIPT	understand	21:18 26:13
16:22 18:4,13	29:19 32:11,13	1:8	25:23 29:18	29:1,7 30:2,3,3
19:9,11,22	40:20 50:6	transcription	42:2	30:8 31:21,22
20:4 21:5	53:22 62:24	70:8	understanding	31:23,25 33:14
22:19 23:8,20	throne 2:12	translate 36:18	41:12 42:24	58:5,10,11,12
27:12,19 29:15	Thursday 63:19	traversal 20:1	44:4 70:10	58:14 61:9,9
29:23 30:19	63:24 65:15	traversals 7:9	undertake 50:22	61:10,12,19,19
32:8,20 33:3	66:1,3,7	13:8 34:15	undesired 26:19	61:20,22
33:10,18 34:3	time 5:22 23:1	52:7	<b>undo</b> 24:1	<b>voted</b> 30:8 32:1
34:7,21,22	25:15 28:9	treatment 25:6	unfortunate	58:14 61:12,22
35:7,17 36:6	29:12,14 32:17	tried 27:5 45:1	29:2 52:3	voters 24:11,13
36:12 37:5,18	33:24 35:15	47:8 49:2	unfortunately	24:16,17 25:11
37:25 38:5,6	37:23 48:19	trigger 41:14,23	33:7 47:12	26:11,14,24
38:20 39:17	49:2,16 51:21	trump 52:22	United 3:12	48:9 51:9
41:8 42:22	53:25 55:11	trust 2:23,24	universe 52:21	56:10
43:7,18 44:2	61:14 64:8,21	<b>truth</b> 2:15	unnecessary	votes 63:3,5
44:10,19 46:21	timeframe 64:9	<b>try</b> 3:1 14:16	24:2 52:7	65:12,14
47:4 55:16	<b>timeline</b> 5:13,21	31:5 35:8,9	untrained 16:18	<b>voting</b> 5:4 50:9
57:10,23 59:5	times 50:4 60:4	39:12 46:17	upset 24:6	50:13,14,20
59:6,23 60:13	timetable 48:25	54:21	<b>urge</b> 31:16	55:16 63:20
60:14 61:4,5	Timothy 68:2	<b>trying</b> 4:4 17:13	use 7:17 8:7	<b>VRA</b> 46:10,13
64:5,10 65:6,9	tired 25:18	28:9 34:18	10:13,24,24	***
thanksgiving	title 57:18	45:6	21:8 25:24	W
2:13	today 2:13,17	Tuesday 5:25	28:18 38:3	Wagenseller
<b>theory</b> 34:16	4:24 5:11,20	68:20,23 69:6	41:14 42:8,11	68:7
		l	<u> </u>	

31:1,2,7 43:9		·		1	
31:1,2,7 43:9	<b>Wake</b> 8:24 9:3	Wednesday's	works 28:12	0	41:3,25 46:10
31:1.2,7 43:9   week 47:16 60:3   world 53:13   Worley 68:8   wouldn't 16:15   16:19   world 52:15   seekend 60:23   weeks 47:14   siz:15   siz:4 60:25   siz:4 60:25   siz:4 60:25   siz:4 60:25   siz:4 60:25   siz:4 weighed 11:23   welcoming 68:11   went 27:23 47:9   welcoming 44:18   welcoming 47:18 constraints 26:16   ware 67:15   wave 67:15   wave 67:15   wave 67:15   wave 67:15   wave 67:15   wave 67:15   willing 11:21   winning 54:6   willing 11:21   winning 54:6   siz:14 (62:2)   wish 54:3   wish 64:16 66:2,10   we're 2:18 5:11   siz:1 4:29   siz:16 (62:10   siz:16 (62:10   siz:17 (63:3)   siz:17 (63:3)   siz:17 (63:4)   s	18:20 24:23	63:1	55:13	0.5 31 · 14	48:6,13 50:1,2
43:22 44:6   45:13,20 46:11   47:15 2:15   weekend 60:23   55:18 58:4,22   58:24 60:25   61:2 64:11   weighed 11:23   18:9,11   welcome 43:16   wrong 44:18   WWW.RUFF   1   155:14 68:11   went 21:20   wants 26:16   warned 52:2   wasn't 37:20   water 7:3   wave 67:15   way 3:8 4:20   5:18 10:20   12:17 19:21   21:17 28:23   wildly 54:19   21:17 28:23   45:15 48:1   49:13   55:13   46:24 56:20,20   49:15 51:3   46:24 66:210   wilds 53:15   58:15   68:10   wilds 66:210   wilds 66:210   wilds 66:210   we're 2:18 5:11   wonderd 16:15   53:4 64:7   68:19   69:2.4   wilds 51:5   22:5 52:13,14   39:3 41:25   46:24 56:20,20   wishes 57:15,17   we'l 155:13   66:19 33:11   wonderd 16:15   53:4 64:7   68:19   69:2.4   51:11   52:1,23   wilds 53:12   56:19 33:11   30:16.23 30:14   30:1	31:1,2,7 43:9	week 47:16 60:3	world 53:13		51:5 53:14
45:13.20 46:11   67:9 68:11,13   weekend 60:23   weekend 60:23   55:18 88:4,22   58:24 60:25   61:2 64:11   weighed 11:23   18:9,11   welcome 43:16   welcome 43:16   welcome 43:16   welcome 43:16   wented 47:10   wanted 47:10   wanted 47:10   wanted 52:2   wasn't 37:20   water 7:3   wave 67:15   way 3:8 4:20   5:18 10:20   12:17 19:21   21:17 28:23   willing 11:21   21:17 28:23   willing 11:21   23:16   252-243-9000   15:20   256:224   256:24   256:46:212   273:16   252-243-9000   15:20   256:224   256:224   256:46:212   273:16   252-243-9000   15:20   256:224   256:46:212   273:16   252-243-9000   15:20   256:224   256:46:212   273:16   252-243-9000   15:20   256:224   256:46:212   273:16   252-243-9000   15:20   256:224   256:46:212   273:16   252-243-9000   15:20   256:224   256:46:212   273:16   252-243-9000   15:20   256:224   256:46:212   273:16   252-243-9000   15:20   256:224   256:46:212   273:16   252-243-9000   15:20   256:224	43:22 44:6	60:21 66:12	Worley 68:8		<b>2016</b> 21:8 22:16
47:1 52:15   55:18 58:4,22   58:24 60:25   61:2 64:11   65:1 68:3   18:9,11   welcome 43:16   welcome 43:16   wanted 47:10   wants 26:16   warned 52:2   48:10   west 10:2 17:13   wasn't 37:20   water 7:3   wave 67:15   white 68:19   49:15 55:24   year 21:2,19   49:15 55:24   year 22:3,14   51:11   120:24 22:17   11:29:11   121:17 28:23   wildly 54:19   willing 11:2 1   wins 54:6   wins 54:3   wins 54:3   wish 4:16 21:9   49:15 5:18 10:20   12:17 19:21   21:17 28:23   wins 54:3   wish 4:16 21:9   49:15 5:13   64:3   64:3   63:16   64:16 66:2,10   we're 2:18 5:11   15:21 46:9   55:5 58:15   63:4 64:7   wedered 16:15   16:19 33:11   words 11:20   we're 2:18 5:11   15:21 46:9   55:5 58:15   63:4 64:7   68:13   we'd 46:19 56:9   worderd 3:4   weather-related 3:	45:13,20 46:11	67:9 68:11,13	wouldn't 16:15		<b>2017</b> 1:12 27:21
55:18 58:422         weeks 47:14         written 3:19,21         0406 27:8         2026 52:9         205 62:24         2026 52:9         205 62:24         2026 52:9         205 62:24         2026 52:9         205 62:24         2026 52:9         205 62:24         2026 52:9         205 62:24         2026 52:9         205 62:24         2026 52:9         205 62:24         205 62:24         205 62:24         205 62:24         206 62:24         207 7:8         205 62:24         205 62:24         206 62:24         207 7:8         207 7:1         208 7:8         208 7:8         207 7:1         208 7:8         208 7:8         201 7:1         206 7:1         206 7:9         205 7:8         206 7:9         205 7:8         201 7:8         201 7:8         201 7:8         201 7:8         201 7:8         201 7:8         206 7:9<	47:1 52:15	weekend 60:23	16:19		57:18,19 70:16
58:24 60:25         55:14 weighed 11:23 (5:16 63:3)         3:23 48:16 wrong 44:18 (wrong 44:18 wrong 44:18 (wrong 44:18 wrong 44:18 wrong 44:18 wrong 44:18 wrong 44:18 (68:20 1:24 23:23:16 252-243-9000 1:24 41:20 wanted 47:10 wanted 47:10 wanted 47:10 wanted 47:10 wanted 47:10 wanted 52:2 west 10:2 17:13 wasn't 37:20 water 7:3 wave 67:15 white 68:19 49:15 55:24 years 26:3.4,4 51:11 3:17,18 willing 11:21 winning 54:6 wins 54:3 windom 54:8 wins 54:3 windom 54:8 wins 54:3 wisdom 2:21 wish 4:16 21:9 ways 2:15 21:18 28:10 wrong 44:15 words 11:20 wrong 44:18 wrong 41:20 wrong 42:18 wrong 42:18 wrong 42:18 wrong 42:18 wrong 43:16 wrong 42:18 wrong 42:12 wrong 42:12 wrong 42:12 wrong 42:12 wrong 42:18 wrong 42:18 wrong 42:12 wrong	55:18 58:4,22	weeks 47:14	written 3:19,21		<b>2020</b> 55:9
65:12 64:11   65:16 8:3   18:9,11   WWW.RUFF   1   1   1   23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   23 23:16   24 23:17   25 24 5:8   welcoming   68:11	58:24 60:25	55:14	3:23 48:16		<b>205</b> 62:24
65:1 68:3   Walking 51:19   welcome 43:16   welcoming 68:11	61:2 64:11	weighed 11:23	wrong 44:18	0407 27.0	<b>21</b> 23:16
want 27:23 47:9 47:18 67:10         welcoming 68:11         X         68:20         1:24 25th 3:18,21 25th 3:18,21 26A 62:12 27:33:16           wanted 47:10 wants 26:16 warned 52:2 wasn't 37:20 water 7:3 wave 67:15 way 3:8 4:20 5:18 10:20 12:17 19:21 21:17 28:23 29:19 34:12 winning 54:6 43:15 48:1 49:13         White 68:19 69:2,4 wholly 56:3 willing 11:21 winning 54:6 wins 54:3 17:25 18:2 19:5,7 20:9 46:24 56:20,20 wishes 57:15,17 we'll 55:13 62:13 63:16 64:16 66:2,10 we're 2:18 5:11 5:21 46:9 self-site 5:55:5 58:15 63:4 64:7 68:13 worderful 3:7 wonderful 3:7 wonderful 3:7 wordering 3:4 words 11:20 worder 3:4 4:17 wonderful 3:7 wordering 4:12 15:21 46:9 worder 13:9,9 weeve 13:9,9 weeked 3:1,2,0,2,3 64:8 65:8, 9, 15 working 33:13         x         x         68:20 1:2 45th 3:18,21 26A 62:12 27:33:16 22th 27:33:16 22th 27:33:16 22:24:10 49:15 55:24 20:16,23 24:10 47:17 49:15 55:24 11:20 49:15,31 49:15,51.2 12:11 13:17,18 12:21 12:11 13:17,18 12:21 12:11 13:17,18 12:21 12:11 13:17,18 14:25 15:2 16:7,9 17:24 12:12 13:11 12:9 11:20:24 22:17 11:26:4:9 11:20:24 22:17 11:26:4:9 11:20:24 22:17 11:26:4:9 11:20:24 22:17 11:25:18:2 12:14 13:14 12:26:55:2 12:18 23:13,14 35:3,4 36:21 13:14 43:13,14 5:14 43:13,14 5:14 5:14 43:13,14 5:14 5:14 43:13,14 5:14 5:14 43:13,14 5:14 5:14 43:13,14 5:14 5:14 5:14 43:13,14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:15 13 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14	65:1 68:3	0	<u> </u>	1	<b>23</b> 23:16
want 27:23 47:9 47:18 67:10         welcoming 68:11         X         68:20         1:24 25th 3:18,21 25th 3:18,21 26A 62:12 27:33:16           wanted 47:10 wants 26:16 warned 52:2 wasn't 37:20 water 7:3 wave 67:15 way 3:8 4:20 5:18 10:20 12:17 19:21 21:17 28:23 29:19 34:12 winning 54:6 43:15 48:1 49:13         White 68:19 69:2,4 wholly 56:3 willing 11:21 winning 54:6 wins 54:3 17:25 18:2 19:5,7 20:9 46:24 56:20,20 wishes 57:15,17 we'll 55:13 62:13 63:16 64:16 66:2,10 we're 2:18 5:11 5:21 46:9 self-site 5:55:5 58:15 63:4 64:7 68:13 worderful 3:7 wonderful 3:7 wonderful 3:7 wordering 3:4 words 11:20 worder 3:4 4:17 wonderful 3:7 wordering 4:12 15:21 46:9 worder 13:9,9 weeve 13:9,9 weeked 3:1,2,0,2,3 64:8 65:8, 9, 15 working 33:13         x         x         68:20 1:2 45th 3:18,21 26A 62:12 27:33:16 22th 27:33:16 22th 27:33:16 22:24:10 49:15 55:24 20:16,23 24:10 47:17 49:15 55:24 11:20 49:15,31 49:15,51.2 12:11 13:17,18 12:21 12:11 13:17,18 12:21 12:11 13:17,18 12:21 12:11 13:17,18 14:25 15:2 16:7,9 17:24 12:12 13:11 12:9 11:20:24 22:17 11:26:4:9 11:20:24 22:17 11:26:4:9 11:20:24 22:17 11:26:4:9 11:20:24 22:17 11:25:18:2 12:14 13:14 12:26:55:2 12:18 23:13,14 35:3,4 36:21 13:14 43:13,14 5:14 43:13,14 5:14 5:14 43:13,14 5:14 5:14 43:13,14 5:14 5:14 43:13,14 5:14 5:14 43:13,14 5:14 5:14 5:14 43:13,14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:15 13 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14 5:14		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		153:4 63:3.4	252-243-9000
47:18 67:10   wanted 47:10   want 2:20   32:22 45:8   west 10:2 17:13   wasn't 37:20   water 7:3   70:15   way 3:8 4:20   69:2.4   silling 11:21   winning 54:6   silling 15:14   silling 15	<u> </u>				
wanted 47:10 wants 26:16         went 21:20 3:2:2 45:8 west 10:2 17:13 wasn't 37:20         west 10:2 17:13 wasn't 37:20         year 21:2.19 49:15 55:24 years 26:3,4,4 51:11 to 9:11,18 12:21 28th 70:16 29th 68:20,23 (e):6.23 24:10 47:7         266:2,3 24:10 49:15 55:24 years 26:3,4,4 51:11 to 9:11,18 12:21 28th 70:16 29th 68:20,23 (e):6.23 24:10 47:7         27 (e):6,23 24:10 47:7         26 (e):6,23 24:10 47:7         27 (e):16,23 24:10 47:7         28 (e):10 (e):6,23 24:10 47:7         28 (e):6,23 24:10 47:7         29 (e):6,23 24:10 47:7         20:16,23 24:10 47:7 <th< td=""><td>47:18 67:10</td><td>0</td><td>X</td><td></td><td><b>25th</b> 3:18,21</td></th<>	47:18 67:10	0	X		<b>25th</b> 3:18,21
wants 26:16 warned 52:2 wasn't 37:20         32:22 45:8 west 10:2 17:13 WHEREOF 70:15         year 21:2.19 49:15 55:24 years 26:3,4,4 51:15 55:24 years 26:3,4,4 51:11 12:9 1 20:16,23 24:10 47:7 20:16,23 24:10 47:7 20:16,23 24:10 47:7 20:16,23 24:10 47:7 20:16,23 24:10 47:7 20:16,23 24:10 47:7 20:16,23 24:10 47:7 20:16,23 24:10 47:7 20:16,23 24:10 47:7 20:16,23 24:10 47:7 20:16,23 24:10 47:7 20:16,23 24:10 49:15 55:24 years 26:3,4,4 51:11 12:9 11:11 13:17,18 11:12:9 11:12 11:12:11 13:17,18 11:12:9 12:11 13:17,18 11:12:9 12:11 13:17,18 11:12:9 12:11 13:17,18 11:12:9 12:11 13:17,18 11:12:11 13:17,				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,
warned 52:2 wasn't 37:20 water 7:3         west 10:2 17:13 WHEREOF 70:15         year 21:2.19 49:15 55:24 years 26:3.4,4 51:11         109:11,18 12:21 20:16,23 24:10 47:7         28th 70:16 29th 68:20,23           wave 67:15 way 3:8 4:20 5:18 10:20 12:17 19:21 21:17 28:23 wildly 54:19 21:17 28:23 29:19 34:12 wining 54:6 wins 54:3 Winston-Salem 49:13 45:15 48:1 49:13 28:10 wwys 2:15 21:18 28:10 wwsys 2:15 21:18 28:10 wwish 4:16 21:9 46:24 56:20,20 wishes 57:15,17 we'll 55:13 62:13 63:16 64:16 66:2,10 we're 2:18 5:11 15:21 46:9 wondered 16:15 55:5 58:15 63:4 64:7 68:13 we've 46:19 56:9 weather-related 3:4 wword 2:18 words 11:20 50:25 work 36:9,9 worked 31:5 63:17,20,23 64:8 63:17,20,23 64:8 63:17,20,23 64:8 63:17,20,23 66:8 63:17,20,23 64:8 68:19 work in 33:13 working 33:13         year 21:2,19 49:15 55:24 years 26:3,4,4 51:11 11:29 11:3:17,18 11:2:9 105 45:3,4 47:7         109:11,18 12:21 20:16,23 24:10 47:7         29th 68:20,23 69:6         69:6         29th 68:20,23 69:6         69:6         33 20:16,23 30:14 20:15 51:2 11:15 51:2 11:2 12:15 21:13 21:14 13:21 12:15 21:12 30:13 30:7:21 30:7:69:11 30:7:69:11 30:7:21 30:7:69:11 30:7:21 30:7:69:11 30:7:21 30:7:21 30:7:21 30:7:69:11 30:7:21 30:			-	,	
wasn't 37:20 water 7:3         WHEREOF 70:15         49:15 55:24 years 26:3.4,4 51:11         20:16,23 24:10 47:7         28th 70:16 29th 68:20,23         29th 68:20,23         69:6           way 3:8 4:20 5:18 way 3:8 4:20 5:18 vash 3:8 4:20 12:17 19:21 21:17 28:23 29:19 34:12 winning 54:6 wins 54:3 45:15 48:1 49:13         wholly 56:3 willing 11:21 winning 54:6 wins 54:3 wissom-Salem 15:21,23 wissom 2:21 wish 4:16 21:9 46:24 56:20,20 wishes 57:15,17 with 15:5:13 62:13 63:16 64:16 66:2,10 we're 2:18 5:11 15:21 46:9 word 2:18 5:55 58:15 63:4 64:7 68:13 we've 46:19 56:9 weather-related 3:4 weave 13:9,9 wednesday 63:1,11,12,15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8,9 15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8,9 15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8,9 15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8,9 15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8,9 15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8,9 15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8,9 15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8,9 15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8,9 15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8,9 15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8,9 15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8,9 15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8,9 15 63:17,20,23 work 36:9,9 work 36:9,9 work 36:9,9 work 36:25 work 36:9,9 work 36:9,9 work 36:25 work 36:9,9 work 36:9,9 work 36:25 work 36:3,9 work 36:9,9 work 36:25 work 36:3,13 13 work in a stantal s					
water 7:3         70:15         White 68:19         years 26:3,4,4         47:7         29th 68:20,23         69:6           way 3:8 4:20         69:2,4         5:18 10:20         wholly 56:3         11:8,9,11 12:9         102 30:8         105 45:19         320:16,23 30:14           12:17 19:21         wildly 54:19         willing 11:21         willing 11:21         willing 11:21         willing 11:21         11:8,9,11 12:9         111 58:14         320:16,23 30:14           39:19 34:12         winning 54:6         wins 54:3         17:25 18:2         16:7,9 17:24         17:25 18:2         120,000 24:13         307:21           39:19,25 20:3         Wisconsin 55:3         wisdom 2:21         wish 4:16 21:9         39:8,10,23         1212 27:9         35% 54:3           9:19,25 20:3         Wisconsin 55:3         wish 4:16 21:9         46:24 56:20,20         40:11,23 41:17         45:14,16 38:12         15:16 68:22 69:5         145:14         15:16 68:22 69:5         145:14         397:11 30:14         40:45:20         397:11 30:14         40:14 30:14         40:45:20         40:14 43:13,14         40:14 43:13,14         40:14 40:12         40:14 43:13,14         40:14 40:12         40:14 40:12         40:14 40:12         40:14 40:12         40:14 40:12         20:15 53:4         40:25:13         40:24 41:12         20:15				T	
wave 67:15   way 3:8 4:20   69:2,4				·	
way 3:8 4:20					· ·
Silk 10:20					
12:17 19:21			11:8,9,11 12:9		3
21:17 28:23		•	12:11 13:17,18		<b>3</b> 20:16,23 30:14
29:19 34:12   39:3 41:25   45:15 48:1   49:13   15:21,23   22:5 32:13,14   35:3,4 36:21   37:45:20   37:45:2		· ·	14:25 15:2		<b>3:07</b> 69:11
39:3 41:25		0			<b>30</b> 7:21
45:15 48:1		O	17:25 18:2	,	<b>35%</b> 54:3
49:13			19:5,7 20:9		<b>36</b> 45:20
Wayne 9:10,16         Wisconsin 55:3         35:3,4 36:21         37:14,16 38:12         397:11 30:14           9:19,25 20:3         wisdom 2:21         wish 4:16 21:9         46:24 56:20,20         40:11,23 41:17         42:14 43:13,14         15.1 68:22 69:5         40:11,23 41:17         40:11,23 41:17         42:14 43:13,14         160 59:17         40:145:20         40:145:20         40:145:20         40:145:20         40:145:20         40:145:20         40:145:20         40:145:20         40:145:20         40:145:20         40:145:20         40:145:20         40:145:20         40:145:20         40:24 41:20         40:24 41:20         40:15:34:46:12         20:12 22:8         30:15 53:4         40:24 41:20         40:17 38:14         39:11,25 40:13         40:24 41:20         40:24 41:20         40:17 38:14         20:12 22:8         30:15 53:22         50:25			22:5 32:13,14		<b>37</b> 45:20
9:19,25 20:3		*			<b>39</b> 7:11 30:14
64:3       wish 4:16 21:9       46:24 56:20,20       40:11,23 41:17       430:14         28:10       wishes 57:15,17       WiTNESS       58:25       19 5:4,15 42:4       40 45:20         62:13 63:16       62:13 63:16       70:15       58:25       19 5:4,15 42:4       50:5       1st 5:14         64:16 66:2,10       we're 2:18 5:11       15:21 46:9       54:2       15:4 16:12       2       1st 5:14       42:14 43:20       42:14 43:21         15:21 46:9       55:5 58:15       16:19 33:11       20:12 22:8       63:4,5,5 68:23       69:6       230:15 53:4       46:11,2,22       49 7:20       49 7:20         we're 46:19 56:9       we're 46:19 56:9       word 2:18       39:11,25 40:13       40:24 41:20       2000 53:22       49 7:20       50:65       55:224       50:9:19       50:9:19       50:9:19       50:9:19       50:65       50:65       50:65       50:65       56:46       576 62:25       56:46       576 62:25       5th 48:21         64:18 65:8 9 15       40:2 44:15       40:2 44:15       40:212       40:15       40:215       40:215       40:215       40:215       50:65       56:46       576 62:25       5th 48:21	,				
ways 2:15 21:18 28:10       46:24 56:20,20 wishes 57:15,17 wishes 57:15,17       40:11,23 41:17 42:14 43:13,14 58:25 yields 9:6 11:12 12:14 13:21 15:21 46:9 55:5 58:15 63:4 64:7 68:13 we've 46:19 56:9 wether-related 3:4 weave 13:9,9 Wednesday 63:1,11,12,15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8 9 15       46:24 56:20,20 wishes 57:15,17 42:14 43:13,14 58:25 yields 9:6 11:12 12:14 13:21 15:4 16:12 12:14 13:21 13:14 16:12 12:14 13:21 13:14 15:14 16:12 12:14 13:21 13:14 16:12 12:14 13:21 13:14 16:12 12:14 13:21 13:14 16:12 12:14 13:21 13:14 16:12 12:14 13:21 13:14 16:12 12:14 13:21 13:14 16:12 12:14 13:21 13:14 16:12 12:14 13:21 13:14 16:12 12:14 13:14 16:12 12:14 13:14 16:12 12:14 13:14 13:14 16:12 12:14 13:14 16:12 12:14 13:14 16:12 12:14 13:14 16:12 12:1	*		39:8,10,23		
28:10       wishes 57:15,17       42:14 43:13,14       19 5:4,15 42:4       40 45:20         40:13 63:16       62:13 63:16       70:15       58:25       50:5       1st 5:14         64:16 66:2,10       won 26:8 53:22       54:2       15:4 16:12       15:4 16:12       2       42:13 2:1         15:21 46:9       wondered 16:15       16:19 33:11       20:12 22:8       32:16 35:6       63:4,5,5 68:23       47 61:12,22       49 7:20         68:13       word 2:18       37:17 38:14       39:11,25 40:13       2000 53:22       2000 53:22       55:224         weave 13:9,9       words 11:20       40:24 41:20       42:17 43:15       59:19       50:25       56:46:6       56:45:6         Wednesday       63:17,20,23       40:2 44:15       70:21       200626300019       70:21       56:54:6         64:8 65:8 9 15       40:2 44:15       70:21       2010 10:12       576 62:25         56:4:6       576 62:25       5th 48:21					<b>4</b> 30:14
we'll 55:13         WITNESS         70:15         58:25         50:5         15:5         15:5         15:5         15:5         15:6         15:14         50:5         15:5         15:5         15:5         15:5         15:4         15:12         15:4         15:1	•		42:14 43:13,14		
62:13 63:16       70:15       yields 9:6 11:12       1st 5:14       42 54:3       44 22:12         64:16 66:2,10       we're 2:18 5:11       15:21 46:9       54:2       15:4 16:12       15:4 16:12       2       46 21:1 32:1       47 61:12,22       46 21:1 32:1       47 61:12,22       49 7:20       47 61:12,22       49 7:20       47 61:12,22       49 7:20       55:5 53:4       63:4,5,5 68:23       69:6       2,050 6:5       2,050 6:5       2,050 6:5       55:52:24       55:2:24       55:2:24       55:2:24       55:2:24       55:52:24       55:52:24       55:52:24       55:52:24       55:52:24       55:52:24       55:52:24       55:52:24       55:52:24       55:52:24       55:52:24       55:52:24       55:55:22       55:19       55:55:22       55:19       55:55:22       55:55:22       55:19       55:55:22       55:55:22       55:22       55:22       55:19       55:55:22       55:19       55:19       55:19       55:19       55:19       55:19       55:19       55:19       55:19       56:54:6       576:62:25       56:54:6       576:62:25       55:14       55:14       15:15:14       15:15:14       15:15:14       15:15:14       15:15:14       15:15:14       15:15:14       15:15:14       15:15:14       15:15:14       15:15:14 <t< td=""><td></td><td>,</td><td>58:25</td><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td><b>41</b> 45:20</td></t<>		,	58:25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>41</b> 45:20
64:16 66:2,10 we're 2:18 5:11 15:21 46:9 55:5 58:15 63:4 64:7 68:13 we've 46:19 56:9 weather-related 3:4 weave 13:9,9 Wednesday 63:1,11,12,15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8 9 15       won 26:8 53:22 54:2 15:4 16:12 15:4 16:12 18:3 19:8 20:12 22:8 32:16 35:6 36:4,5,5 68:23 69:6 23 69:6 20.12 22:8 32:16 35:6 36:4,5,24 37:17 38:14 39:11,25 40:13 40:24 41:20 42:17 43:15 59:2 200626300019 70:21 200626300019 70:21 200626300019 70:21 2010 10:12 56 54:6 576 62:25 5th 48:21			yields 9:6 11:12		<b>42</b> 54:3
we're 2:18 5:11       54:2       wondered 16:15       15:4 16:12       2       46 21:1 32:1       47 61:12,22       47 61:12,22       47 61:12,22       49 7:20       49 7:20       49 7:20       55:5 58:15       63:4,5,5 68:23       69:6       2,050 6:5       20 26:4       20 26:4       20 26:4       2000 53:22       50:25       55:224       50:05 59:19       50:25       50:25       59:2       200626300019       50:25       50:25       56 54:6       576 62:25			12:14 13:21	130 3.14	<b>44</b> 22:12
15:21 46:9			15:4 16:12	2	<b>46</b> 21:1 32:1
55:5 58:15 63:4 64:7 68:13 we've 46:19 56:9 weather-related 3:4 weave 13:9,9 Wednesday 63:1,11,12,15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8 9 15  16:19 33:11 wonderful 3:7 wondering 44:17 32:16 35:6 36:4,5,24 39:11,25 40:13 40:24 41:20 42:17 43:15 59:2  20:12 22:8 32:16 35:6 36:4,5,5 68:23 69:6 2,050 6:5 20 26:4 2000 53:22 2003 53:21,23 59:19 200626300019 70:21 2010 10:12 2011 5:3 20:14		- :	18:3 19:8	<b>2</b> 30:15 53:4	<b>47</b> 61:12,22
63:4 64:7       wonderful 3:7         68:13       wondering         44:17       36:4,5,24         37:17 38:14       39:11,25 40:13         30:4       39:11,25 40:13         40:24 41:20       40:24 41:20         40:24 41:15       59:2         2000 53:22       50% 51:9         50% 51:9       511 62:24         54:3 65:8 9 15       40:2 44:15         40:24 41:20       70:21         200626300019       70:21         70:21       70:21         2010 10:12       576 62:25         5th 48:21			20:12 22:8		<b>49</b> 7:20
68:13 we've 46:19 56:9 weather-related 3:4 weave 13:9,9 Wednesday 63:1,11,12,15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8 9 15  Wondering 44:17 words 2:18 words 11:20 50:25 work 36:9,9 Work 36:9,9 Worked 31:5 40:2 44:15 Working 33:13  36:4,5,24 37:17 38:14 39:11,25 40:13 40:24 41:20 42:17 43:15 59:2  Z Zachary 62:12  2,050 6:5 20 26:4 2000 53:22 2003 53:21,23 59:19 200626300019 70:21 2010 10:12 2011 5:3 20:14			32:16 35:6	' '	
we've 46:19 56:9 weather-related 3:4 words 11:20 50:25 Wednesday 63:1,11,12,15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8 9 15       44:17 works 13:14 39:11,25 40:13 40:24 41:20 42:17 43:15 59:2       20 26:4 2000 53:22 2000 53:23 20:24 2000 53:23 20:24 2000 53:23 20:24 2000 53:23 20:24 2000 53:23 20:24 2000 53:22 2000 53:23 20:24 2000 53:23 20:24 2000 53:22 2000 53:23 20:24 2000 53:22 2000 53:23 20:24 2000 53:23 20:24 2000 53:23 20:24 2000 53:24 2000 50:24 2000 50:24 2000 50:24 2000 50:24 2000 50:24 2000 50:24 2000 50:24 2000 50:24 2000 50:2			36:4,5,24		
weather-related       word 2:18       words 11:20       39:11,25 40:13       2000 53:22       50% 10:9 27:10         weave 13:9,9       work 36:9,9       42:17 43:15       59:19       506 54:6       54 53:25         Wednesday       work 36:9,9       worked 31:5       40:24 41:15       2006 53:22       50% 51:9       511 62:24         56 54:6       576 62:25       56 54:6       576 62:25       576 62:25       576 62:25         576 62:25       576 62:25       576 62:25       576 62:25       576 62:25		0	37:17 38:14	*	
3:4 weave 13:9,9 Wednesday 63:1,11,12,15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8 9 15  Works 11:20 50:25 40:24 41:20 42:17 43:15 59:2  2003 53:21,23 59:19 200626300019 70:21 70:21 2010 10:12 2011 5:3 20:14			39:11,25 40:13		
weave 13:9,9     50:25       Wednesday     63:1,11,12,15       63:17,20,23     64:8 65:8 9 15       64:8 65:8 9 15     working 33:13         42:17 43:15     59:19       200626300019     54 53:25       70:21     70:21       2010 10:12     576 62:25       5th 48:21			40:24 41:20		
Wednesday       work 36:9,9       200626300019       54 53:25         63:1,11,12,15       63:17,20,23       40:2 44:15       2010 10:12       56 54:6         64:8 65:8 9 15       40:2 44:15       2010 10:12       2011 5:3 20:14       54 53:25			42:17 43:15		
63:1,11,12,15 63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8 9 15 working 33:13 Zachary 62:12 70:21 70:21 2010 10:12 56 54:6 576 62:25 5th 48:21	,		59:2		
63:17,20,23 64:8 65:8 9 15 working 33:13 Zachary 62:12 2010 10:12 576 62:25 5th 48:21	•				
64:8 65:8 9 15   working 33:13   Zachary 62:12   2011 5:3 20:14   5th 48:21					
0.10 00.01/120   11 02 22223   00.10   17 . /7 A1   1 - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V -	' '		•		5th 48:21
66:1,7 63:23 <b>Zoe</b> 67:21 36:10,14 37:3		0	<b>Zoe</b> 67:21		
	00.1,7	03.23			

6		
<b>6</b> 20:16		
<b>64</b> 32:1		
<b>643</b> 64:16		
<b>65</b> 61:12,22		
<b>66</b> 53:24		
7		
<b>7</b> 20:15 30:8		
<b>7-county</b> 9:25		
<b>74</b> 21:1		
<b>75</b> 14:14 15:9		
<b>76</b> 19:16 22:11		
<b>77</b> 22:17		
<b>79,462</b> 10:8		
<b>7th</b> 48:24		
8		
<b>8</b> 44:25		
<b>82</b> 19:17		
82nd 27:9		
<b>83rd</b> 27:8		
9		
<b>90%</b> 26:11		
<b>927</b> 3:24 4:10		
44:1 61:8,13		
61:18,23 62:16		
9th 24:20		
9HI 24.20		