NORTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES SESSION

NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY

## TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS

In Raleigh, North Carolina

Monday, August 28, 2017, 1:30 p.m.

PREPARED BY: Regina Toppins

RUFFIN CONSULTING, INC.

DIRECT DIAL: 252-243-9000

WWW.RUFFINCONSULTING.COM

Plaintiffs' Exhibit 590

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

1	SPEAKER MOORE: The House will come to
2	order. Members will take their seats. Visitors will
3	retire from the chamber. Sergeant-At-Arms will close
4	the doors. Ask members and guests to please silence
5	all electronic devices.
б	This afternoon's prayer will be offered by
7	Representative Jones. We'd ask our members and our
8	guests in the gallery to please stand and remain
9	standing for the pledge of allegiance.
10	Representative Jones.
11	REPRESENTATIVE JONES: Let us pray. Our
12	Father and our God, let us come before your throne
13	today with praise and thanksgiving. You are a great
14	God and a good God, full of love, mercy and grace.
15	You're a God of truth. And in all our ways let us
16	acknowledge you as God. Let us bring honor and glory
17	to you today and everyday. We pray for our nation.
18	We're calling in your word that blessed is the nation
19	whose God is the lord. We lift up all of our people
20	and all those in authority that they will seek and
21	receive divine wisdom from above.
22	The psalm reminds us that it is better to
23	put our trust in the Lord than to put our confidence
24	in man. Let us put our trust in you, oh, Lord, our
25	strength, and our redeemer.

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Page 3 Father, we lift up all that try to protect 1 2 us, including our military and our law enforcement. 3 We pray for their safety. We also lift up those that 4 are dealing with weather-related disasters, such as 5 the hurricane in the Texas area. We pray for their safety as well. We thank you for all your many 6 7 blessings to us. You're a wonderful and a gracious 8 God. As each may pray in their own way, I pray in the 9 name of your son, my savior Jesus Christ, amen. 10 **ASSEMBLY MEMBERS:** Amen. 11 I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which 12 13 it stands, one nation under God, indivisible with 14 liberty and justice for all. 15 SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman from Harnett, 16 Representative Lewis, is recognized for a motion. 17 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Mr. Speaker, the Journal for August 25th has been examined and found to 18 19 be correct. I moved that it be approved as written. 20 SPEAKER MOORE: Representative Lewis moves the Journal for August 25th be approved as written. 21 22 Those in favor will say Aye; those opposed say No. 23 The Ayes have it. The Journal is approved as written. 24 Calendar. House vote 927. The Clerk will 25 read.

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

The House will come to order. Members, 1 2 Members, please give your attention to the Chair just 3 a moment. We, I believe during these proceedings we 4 have a court reporter, who is also trying to 5 transcribe, so we'd ask that the conversations please 6 be kept down so that the court reporter can do that as 7 well as just general respect to our fellow members. The Clerk will read. 8 9 HOUSE CLERK: Representatives Lewis and 10 Dollar, House Bill 927, a Bill to be entitled Enact to 11 realign the districts for elections of members of the North Carolina General Assembly. General Assembly of 12 13 North Carolina enacts. 14 SPEAKER MOORE: Further discussion, further debate. 15 16 Does the gentleman from Harnett wish to 17 explain the Bill? 18 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, Mr. 19 Speaker. 20 SPEAKER MOORE: And, by the way, the Chair will suspend Rule 12D. The gentleman has the floor. 21 22 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, 23 Mr. Speaker. 24 Mr. Speaker and Members, we are here today 25 in order to comply with the Covington's Court order.

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

The court's specific finding was that despite a robust 1 2 and extensive record produced by this General Assembly 3 in 2011, there was not enough evidence of legally 4 significant racially polarized voting to draw 19 5 majority/minority districts in the North Carolina 6 House plan. It is important to note that the court 7 did not find discriminatory intent on our part. Specifically the court that, "nor does it signify that 8 9 the legislature acted in bad faith or with 10 discriminary intent in its redistricting."

11 The process that we're using today is in response and at the order of the court. The court 12 13 gave us a timeline that requires us to enact a plan by 14 September 1st. We produced the first such redraw 14 15 business days, 19 total days after the court order. 16 As I had announced before, it was our intent to have 17 more public input and to produce the maps by early November; however, in no way should these remarks be 18 19 construed as being critical of the court.

20 Our intention today is simply to comply with 21 the order of the court. The timeline that the court 22 allowed provided time for us to meet and to receive 23 public input as we adopted the criteria, it provided 24 for us to have one statewide public hearing last 25 Tuesday and we have had a robust committee process

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

1 thus far.

2 As a note on the public hearing, I think 3 it's important to point out that one of the most 4 prevalent feedbacks that we received, in fact, I 5 personally got 2,050 e-mails asking that the 6 legislature produce a map to look at before the public 7 hearings were held. We did that. I had hoped that 8 activists and other speakers who engage with different 9 aspects regarding the legislative process might would 10 have offered a little more input on the specific map, 11 but that's not what they chose to do. I will attest that I did attend the public 12 13 hearing in Raleigh, I did also listen to the audio 14 recordings provided by the House Sergeant-At-Arms of the remote sites. 15 16 I'm very proud of the map that this 17 committee has produced. We produced a redistricting plan that complies with the criteria that the 18 19 committee adopted as well, and most importantly, as 20 was stated in the federal law. Other proposals that I've seen fail to live up to this map and I hope to be 21 22 able to tell you why. 23 First, this map complies with the equal 24 population requirement as established in Stevenson 25 versus Bartlett. No district exceeds the plus or

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

1 minus five percent population deviation.

Second, our map produces contiguous districts. We did our best to avoid using water contiguity where it was not required by the county groupings formula.

6 Third, our map does comply with the county 7 groupings formula as established by Stevenson versus 8 Bartlett decision, and unlike other maps I've seen, 9 this map complies with the county traversals as 10 authorized in the Stevenson decision. This map splits 11 39 counties, the fewest in over two decades of maps.

Fourth, this map is more compact, using the parameters or Polsby-Popper score and the dispersion score the Reock score. This map is more compact than maps enacted by the General Assembly over the past two decades, and it complies with the committee's criteria to use compactness as established by the test I've already mentioned.

Fifth, this map splits fewer precincts than maps produced over the past two decades. It splits 49 precincts total, but 30 of those are retained from unaffected county groupings. And by that I did clarify to the committee that when you do the county optimization plan, some of the existing districts did not need to be changed in order to comply with the

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

order of the court and, therefore, they are not
 changed on this map.

3 Sixth, this map splits less municipalities 4 and respects more municipal boundaries than prior 5 plans enacted over the past two decades. This map also complies with the rest of the committee's 6 7 criteria of encompassing protection, the use of election data and no consideration of race. 8 We 9 avoided maliciously double bunking incumbents. 10 Indeed, there are only six incumbents that are double 11 debunked in this plan. Four of them are required by the county grouping formula. 12

Members, this plan accomplishes what the court has asked us to do. This plan reflects thoughtful consideration, it reflects public input and it reflects my genuine desire to comply with the order of the court.

18 I will have an amendment coming shortly, but
19 I would ask you to support the plan as amended.
20 Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

21 SPEAKER MOORE: Further discussion, further 22 debate? 23 For what purpose does the gentleman from

24 Wake, Representative Martin, rise?

REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: To see if the bill

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

25

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Page 9 sponsor would yield to a few questions. 1 2 SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman from 3 Harnett yield to the gentleman from Wake? 4 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: I yield, Mr. 5 Speaker. 6 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 7 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, 8 Mr. Speaker, and thank you to the bill sponsor also. 9 Representative Lewis, in looking at the map, 10 I'm looking at Wayne County off in the eastern part of 11 the state and specifically at District 10, and as you 12 know, of course, our state constitution does have a 13 whole county provision, which as we know when 14 redistricting law and principles is not absolute, it's subject to other factors, but it is in our 15 16 constitution. And so, in looking at Wayne County, I 17 see that it's spread over, as I count them, three 18 separate counties. I'm sorry, the District 10 is spread into Wayne County, Johnston County, and Greene 19 20 County, but as I look at it, it seems pretty clear that you could have drawn that district into just two 21 22 counties. What was the reason for that? 23 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for the 24 question, Representative Martin. First, if I could 25 point out that Wayne County is in a 7-county grouping

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

that stretches from Bladen in the south to Greene in
 the north. It goes as far west as Lee and, again, as
 far east as Greene.

4 What we are required to do, as you know, is 5 the optimum number for a county for a state House 6 seat, and I'm looking at my notes to make sure I don't 7 misspeak on this very important number, the optimum 8 number for a state House seat is 79,462. As I said, 9 we are allowed to have a plus or minus 5%. So what we 10 did in producing this map is to, first of all, create 11 the optimal county grouping, which is done by taking the 2010 population and divided it by this number. 12 13 Once we got a whole number that we could use, we then 14 set about dividing up the areas within the county 15 group.

16 To your specific question, we actually did 17 look at a drawing that would have done what you suggest. However, in keeping with the entire nine 18 19 criteria that were adopted, we feel like this draw is 20 the preferred way to go. I realize that this is the only map that is before us now. I would point out for 21 22 the record that there have been other maps submitted 23 during this process that also would have crossed into a third county, to use your analogy or to use your 24 25 specific example, but to be perhaps a bit more clear,

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

	Page 11
1	we believe that we have drawn this in compliance with
2	the Stevenson rules and been able to harmonize those
3	with the nine criteria that the committee adopted.
4	REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Mr. Speaker?
5	SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the
6	gentleman rise?
7	REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: To see if the bill
8	sponsor will yield to a follow-up question.
9	SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to
10	an additional question?
11	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield.
12	SPEAKER MOORE: He yields.
13	REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, Mr.
14	Speaker, and thank you to the bill sponsor also.
15	I'd like to ask a question specifically with
16	the part of your response where you said that in
17	looking at it with this option that emerged with
18	splitting the district over three counties versus a
19	district that was just in two counties, that the
20	option that we had before was in your words I think
21	the preferred option. Would you be willing to
22	elaborate a little bit more about what factors you
23	considered in how you weighed them when determining
24	that this was the preferred approach?
25	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for the

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Page 12 question. There were nine criteria, I believe, 1 2 Representative Martin, the best I can recall. This 3 avoid us -- this helped us avoid having to pair 4 incumbents in this draw. 5 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Mr. Speaker? 6 SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the 7 gentleman rise? 8 **REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN:** Thank you, Mr. 9 Speaker, to see if the bill sponsor would yield to 10 another follow-up question. 11 SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to additional questions? 12 13 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I do. 14 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 15 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, 16 Representative Lewis. 17 So, the way what I hear in that answer I 18 think is that in applying various factors that the 19 committee adopted, that you chose incumbent protection 20 as you were deciding that this was the preferred option over perhaps compactness because District 10 as 21 22 it shows up here being spread across three counties is 23 perhaps not as compact as a district might be in just 24 two counties, not to mention its interaction with the 25 whole county provision of the Constitution.

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

1

2

Page 13

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for that question, Representative Martin.

3 I should further elaborate that again when 4 you look at all of the criteria, including the most 5 important, you know, compliance with the state 6 constitution, I do believe that the other draw would 7 have created, based on what we saw, additional county 8 traversals as well. So, while this is does go into 9 three counties, it doesn't weave in and weave out and 10 So, I do believe that this draw best conforms so on. 11 to the criteria that was adopted by the committee. 12 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, sir. Mr. 13 Speaker? 14 SPEAKER MOORE: Gentleman may state his 15 purpose. 16 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: To see if the bill sponsor would yield to another question. 17 18 SPEAKER MOORE: Will the gentleman yield to an additional question? 19 20 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Yes, Mr. Speaker. 21 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 22 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, sir. 23 I'd like to shift to a little bit different

24 part of the state over to Forsyth County, some

25 districts there, and actually back over to Sampson and

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

Columbus. And there's districts in those counties 1 2 that to my eye at least don't appear to be 3 particularly compact. Would you mind telling me what 4 the reason in a map that you say compactness was a top 5 priority, why are these districts perhaps not as 6 compact as other districts throughout the state? 7 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for 8 that question, Representative Martin. If I said that 9 compactness was the top priority, then I misspoke. Ι 10 said that was one of the criteria that was adopted by the committee, or at least that's what I intended to 11 12 say. 13 The district in Forsyth County, House 14 District 75, falls -- falls with the range of 15 acceptable compactness as measured by the 16 Polsby-Popper score. Again, not to try to repeat 17 myself with you or the members of the House, when you apply all of the criteria that the committee was 18 19 tasked with using, this is the draw that I feel best 20 -- best meets all nine. 21 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Mr. Speaker? 22 SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman may state his 23 purpose. 24 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, to see if 25 the bill sponsor would yield to another question.

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

1 SPEAKER MOORE: Would the gentleman from 2 Harnett yield to an additional question? 3 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I do. 4 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 5 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, and I'm 6 very grateful to the bill sponsor for his patience 7 with my questions. 8 So, my question is with regard to House 9 District 75 in Forsyth County, which is squinting my 10 aged eyes to look at it. Looks like it takes up the 11 southeast corner of Forsyth County, runs along the southern border of Forsyth County and then takes up a 12 13 chunk of southwest Forsyth County that that district 14 is -- meets your criteria for compactness; is that 15 correct? 16 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for 17 the question, Representative Martin. 18 One of the things that I would point out and 19 I will have to look at the exact report, but you know 20 Forsyth County, of course, is the home of the great city of Winston-Salem, and I believe that we're able 21 22 to maintain and respect the municipal boundaries of 23 Winston-Salem by using this draw. So, again I would 24 reiterate that, yes, it is probably possible to draw a 25 more compact district, but compactness was not the

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Page 16 only criteria or the only goal that we had in the 1 2 production of these maps. 3 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Mr. Speaker? 4 SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman may state his 5 purpose. 6 REPRESENTATIVE MOORE: Thank you, Mr. 7 Speaker, to see if the bill sponsor would kindly yield 8 to another question. 9 SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to 10 an additional question? REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: 11 I do. 12 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 13 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, 14 Representative Lewis. I appreciate your answer to 15 that, and I wondered if you wouldn't mind also talking 16 me through the other counties I mentioned, the 17 districts in Sampson and Columbus counties look a 18 little bit, again, to my untrained eye not 19 particularly compact, and I wondered if you wouldn't 20 mind discussing what the reasons for their lack of 21 compactness would be. 22 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for 23 the question, Representative Martin. 24 The districts in the county grouping that 25 you asked about which stretch from Bladen in the south

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Greene in the North, I think you'll find that Bladen is a whole county, then we have enough of Sampson County to meet the population requirement. I did see a draw that may have perhaps been judged a bit more compact, but that would have created a situation where incumbents were paired.

Again, incumbency protection was not a
primary goal, but it was one of the nine goals or one
of the nine criteria that the committee adopted.

10 As far as the Columbus County draw, the 11 Columbus County draw was a part of a grouping that 12 stretches from Pender County in the east to Robeson 13 County in the west, and what you will find when trying 14 to draw this is when you start in Pender County and 15 then you take enough population to meet the one 16 person, one vote, it simply creates the district that 17 you have there. Again, I would point out that the 18 overall score of this map in compactness is within the 19 guidelines that we have stated.

20 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Mr. Speaker?
21 SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman may state his
22 purpose.
23 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: To see if the bill
24 sponsor would yield to a further question.

SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

25

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Page 18 an additional question? 1 2 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Yes, sir, I yield. 3 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 4 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: And thank you again 5 for your patience, Representative Lewis. 6 So, you talked about in the context of these 7 districts that at least in my eyes don't look as 8 compact as a lot of the other ones, that a factor that 9 you weighed here incumbent protection. Is it safe to 10 say that in evaluating these maps for the best 11 approach, that you weighed incumbent protection more heavily than compactness here? 12 13 Thank you for that REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: 14 question. 15 Again I would say that it was one of the 16 criteria that was used in evaluating the maps. There 17 are examples in this map where compactness was -- we 18 could have been more compact had we not had incumbency 19 protection as a goal. The most compact draw that I 20 saw actually put five members in Wake County in the same seat, but that would not have been in keeping 21 22 with the spirit and the other nine -- the other eight 23 criteria that -- that were selected by the committee. 24 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Mr. Speaker? 25 SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman may state his

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Page 19 1 purpose. 2 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: To see if the bill 3 sponsor will let me take him to one final part of the 4 state. 5 SPEAKER MOORE: Does the bill sponsor yield 6 to an additional question? 7 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield. 8 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 9 REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. 10 Speaker. Thank you once again, Chairman Lewis. If we 11 can take a look at the cluster that has Rowan, Davie 12 13 Cabarrus and a few other counties in it, it looks to 14 me that there are two districts in that cluster that 15 are solely within one county, not the same county, but 16 they're each within a single county. I think it's 76 17 and 82, but as I've looked at it, it seems that it's possible in that cluster to draw three house districts 18 19 that would each be located within an individual 20 county. What was the reasoning behind drawing the district this way? 21 22 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for 23 that question, Representative Martin. 24 Ironically, if you did the draw that you 25 asked about, which would put two seats in Cabarrus

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

	Page 20
1	County, you would have an additional county traversal
2	into Rowan County, which, respectfully, is something
3	that you just questioned over in Wayne County.
4	REPRESENTATIVE MARTIN: Thank you, sir.
5	SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the
6	lady from Orange, Representative Insko, rise?
7	REPRESENTATIVE INSKO: To ask Representative
8	Lewis a question.
9	SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to
10	the lady from Orange?
11	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Yes, sir.
12	SPEAKER MOORE: He yields.
13	REPRESENTATIVE INSKO: Representative Lewis,
14	when we did when you all did the 2011 maps for the
15	U. S. Congressional races, the balance shifted from 7
16	democrats and 6 republicans to 10 republicans and 3
17	democrats. Those maps were found to be
18	unconstitutional and needed to be redrawn, which you
19	all did. And I remember that you stood up on the
20	floor of the House and said that you were going to
21	redraw the maps, not paying any attention to race and
22	that you intended to draw the maps that would result
23	in 10 republicans and 3 democrats, and that if you
24	could, you would draw 11, but you couldn't do that.
25	So, just looking at the split that we have

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

now between House democrats here, 74 and 46 democrats,
 how by the average year, what would the new maps
 produce in that ratio?

4 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, Representative,
5 first of all, thank you for the question. And if I
6 may, as you sort of prefaced your question, I'd like
7 to preface my answer.

8 Perhaps in 2016 I did use a little bit more 9 hyperbole on the floor than I wish I had. I can tell 10 you that I had no partisan target in mind when these 11 maps were drawn.

12 To answer your question, I would point out 13 that we have provided on your desk a stack pack of ten 14 different races that ten different electoral contests, 15 which were a part of the criteria adopted by the 16 committee. You will find that there are relative 17 districts that tend to perform one way or the other, but there are a whole lot that tend to vote both ways 18 19 in terms of one year they may have selected the 20 democratic nominee for governor, the next went they selected the republican. 21

The short answer to your question, which perhaps I should have done first, is as I had no direct outcome target in mind. I honestly don't know, nor have I seen any numbers that indicate what the

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Page 22 partisan results of this map would be. 1 2 REPRESENTATIVE INSKO: May I ask a 3 follow-up? 4 SPEAKER MOORE: Representative Insko is 5 recognized. Does the gentleman yield to an additional 6 question? 7 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Yes, sir. 8 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 9 REPRESENTATIVE INSKO: So, I haven't 10 actually counted this map up either. I think the 11 original one I saw had potential for 76 republicans seats and 44 democratic seats, but would it be fair to 12 13 say that if you could draw -- if you could draw more 14 districts that would be more favorable to republicans, that you would do that, or based on your comments in 15 16 2016 I guess congressional districts, so if you could do 11 you would. If you could do 77 for republicans, 17 18 would that be your goal? 19 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for 20 your question, Representative. 21 The direct answer is my only goal in this is 22 to comply with the order of the Covington Court. Ι 23 would point out, though, because I think it goes to 24 what you're saying is that there are a lot of factors 25 that influence the outcome of elections. As you know,

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

	Page 23
1	you and I both served here for some time and there are
2	a lot of things that influence elections: The amount
3	of money that an incumbent can raise, let's just say,
4	the things like that, the name ID, the level of
5	constituency services that an incumbent provides. All
6	those things influence the outcome of elections that
7	are not a part of the map making process.
8	REPRESENTATIVE INSKO: Thank you.
9	SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the
10	gentleman from Cabarrus, Representative Pittman, rise?
11	REPRESENTATIVE PITTMAN: To send forth an
12	amendment.
13	SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman is recognized
14	to send forth an amendment. The Clerk will read.
15	HOUSE CLERK: Representative Pittman moves
16	to amend the bill on page 23, lines 21 through 27, by
17	deleting those lines and substituting the following.
18	SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman is recognized
19	to debate the amendment.
20	REPRESENTATIVE PITTMAN: Thank you, Mr.
21	Speaker.
22	Ladies and gentlemen, making adjustments in
23	the districts in Rowan and Cabarrus counties does not
24	require moving one incumbent's precinct into another
25	incumbent's district and vice versa as the proposed

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

map would do. My amendment would undo this
 unnecessary maneuver so that each incumbent can remain
 in approximately the district each currently serves.

You know, there are a lot of folks in my district currently who have seen these maps and they're pretty upset about it, and they've been doing some research and they've given me some items that you might be interested to hear.

9 With the proposed map it is estimated that 10 only about 10 percent of Cabarrus County motors --11 excuse me, voters, can't talk today, would have the option of keeping their representative if they so 12 13 choose. Approximately 120,000 voters would be 14 disenfranchised to the extent that the legislature will have decided to remove their representative from 15 16 them as opposed to the voters being able to make that 17 decision themselves. Voters should be allowed to choose their representatives rather than the 18 19 legislature or the courts choosing for them.

20 Cabarrus County is the 9th largest county in 21 the state. Under the committee's map the eight larger 22 ones all have complete districts within their 23 counties. These include Mecklenburg, Wake, Guilford, 24 Forsyth, Cumberland, Buncombe, New Hanover and Gaston. 25 The next eight smaller counties all have two complete

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

districts within them. This includes Onslow, Johnson,
 Iredell, Alamance, Catawba, Randolph, Rowan and
 Robeson. Why is Cabarrus the only county in that size
 range being required to reach outside its borders to
 complete two districts? Cabarrus deserves the same
 treatment as every other large county.

7 If you make two incumbents switch districts, 8 the constituents of each will lose the continuity of 9 familiarity and service they have received from each 10 of those representatives. That would serve no purpose 11 except perhaps to dictate to the voters that they must choose someone else when that may not be their desire. 12 13 These districts and others have been formed to favor 14 members who have been in office longer, and to disfavor members who have not been here a long time. 15 16 This is the sort of thing that I believe is causing 17 many citizens in our state and across the nation to demand term limits. They are tired of long-term 18 19 politicians protecting their own status as opposed to 20 newer members the people might favor who don't intend 21 to make a career of it.

Protecting long-term incumbents I believe is a problem. I understand it was a criteria that the committee chose to use in putting these maps together. However, you know, we serve two-year terms here and I

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Page 26 believe each term should be seen as standing alone. 1 2 Doesn't matter if you've been here three or four 3 years, doesn't matter if you've been here nine, ten 4 years, 20 years, however long it may be, each election 5 is a new thing and I believe the rights of all 6 candidates should be respected. I mean I've had 7 people run against me in the three elections that I've 8 won and my attitude has always been they have just as 9 much right to run as anybody, including myself. After 10 all, these seats belong to the people, not to us. 11 I'm also being told that 90% of the voters in Cabarrus County under this proposed map would not 12 13 be allowed to vote for their incumbent in Cabarrus 14 County. Voters, again, should make that choice, not 15 the legislature or the courts. 16 If someone wants to move into another 17 district to run, I think they ought to get a house in that district and move themselves there, not move your 18 19 whole precinct or that of an undesired opponent 20 whether with or without Representative Johnson's consent this has been done, I couldn't say about that, 21 22 but I know I was not consulted, I don't think 23 Representative Ford was consulted about this 24 configuration, and I'm pretty sure most of the voters 25 in Cabarrus and Rowan County were not asked their

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

1 opinion.

2	Simply putting my precinct back into my
3	current district and Representative Johnson's precinct
4	back into her district is what my amendment would
5	accomplish, and I tried to do as little moving around
6	to accomplish that as I could.
7	What it entails is moving precincts 0404,
8	0405, 0406 and 0407 back into the 83rd District, and
9	precincts 1209, 1212 and 0108 back into the 82nd
10	District. That is within the 5%.
11	So, I appreciate your support for my
12	amendment. Thank you.
13	SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the
14	gentleman from Harnett, Representative Lewis, rise?
15	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: To debate the
16	amendment.
17	SPEAKER MOORE. The gentleman has the floor
18	to debate the amendment.
19	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you.
20	Mr. Speaker and Members, if I could direct
21	your attention to the 2017 House Redistricting Plan A,
22	I'd like to talk a little bit about this amendment,
23	but first I want to point out that this is an
24	amendment that changes the county grouping that
25	stretches from Richmond in the south to Davie in the

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

north. This county grouping is a part of the county 1 2 optimal -- the optimal grouping scheme that both 3 parties to the lawsuit agree exists. This is the 4 optimal county grouping for this state. When you do 5 that, there was literally one more incumbent, one more 6 seated member of the General Assembly in this county group than the population of that county group would 7 8 allow for.

9 I spent a lot of time trying to figure out 10 what to do with that issue. The only ways that I knew 11 to solve it, and I don't make light of this because I know everybody works hard and sacrifices a great deal 12 13 to be up here, the options that I considered were you 14 could have gone to the north end of the county group 15 and grouped the incumbents that were there, you could 16 have gone to the south and grouped them there. 17 Frankly, you could have drawn them out of a hat, but the only criteria that I could think of to use that 18 met with the criteria of the committee was to look at 19 20 the length of incumbency. The -- to be clear, under no configuration, including the one that the gentleman 21 22 from Cabarrus has just sent forward, under no 23 configurations is there a way to avoid pairing 24 incumbents in this group.

What his amendment proposes to do is to pair

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

25

www.RuffinConsulting.com

	Page 29
1	a different two. I would ask you respectfully to vote
2	this down. It's unfortunate and regretful that we
3	have to make a choice like this, but I have made it
4	using the data that I had, which included the criteria
5	of the incumbency and that, of course, includes the
6	number of terms that are served.
7	So, I would ask you to vote no on this
8	amendment.
9	SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the
10	gentleman from Cabarrus, Representative Pittman, rise?
11	REPRESENTATIVE PITTMAN: Speak on the
12	amendment a second time.
13	SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman is recognized
14	to speak on the amendment a second time.
15	REPRESENTATIVE PITTMAN: Thank you, Mr.
16	Speaker.
17	Ladies and gentlemen, just very briefly I
18	would like to point out that I understand that either
19	way somebody among the three of us that two are going
20	to be paired. I would point out to you that
21	geographically Representative Ford and Representative
22	Johnson are much closer together than I am to either
23	one of them. Thank you.
24	SPEAKER MOORE: Further discussion, further
25	debate? If not, the question for the House is the

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Phone: 252-243-9000

	Page 30
1	adoption of Amendment A1 sent forth by Representative
2	Pittman. Those in favor will vote Aye, those opposed
3	will vote No. The Clerk will open the vote.
4	Representative Rogers, Representative Corbin
5	in chamber. Representative Alexander, Representative
6	Collins.
7	Clerk will lock the machine and record the
8	vote. 7 having voted in the affirmative; 102 in the
9	negative. The amendment fails.
10	The gentleman from Harnett, Representative
11	Lewis, is recognized to send forth the amendment. The
12	Clerk will read.
13	THE CLERK: Representative Lewis moves to
14	amend the bill on page 3, line 39 through page 4, line
15	2 by deleting those lines and submitting the
16	following.
17	SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman has the floor
18	to debate the amendment.
19	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, Mr.
20	Speaker.
21	Mr. Speaker, first of all, I'd like to
22	correct the record. When the committee met on Friday,
23	there was an alternative proposal that had been
24	submitted for consideration, and I erroneously stated
25	the House plan that I submitted was more compact in

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

L

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Phone: 252-243-9000

1 Wake County and I misspoke.

2	Representative Jackson from Wake County was
3	kind enough to share with me on Friday that I had
4	misspoke and provided the data to reemphasize that.
5	Therefore, I worked on Saturday to try to improve the
6	compactness scores. I also reached out for advice to
7	some members of the House of the Wake County
8	delegation for their input.
9	Therefore, despite what you may or may not
10	think of the map, I would ask you to support this
11	amendment. I think this map does a better job of
12	keeping municipalities whole. I think this map is
13	certainly more compact. The Reock score is increased
14	by .019. The Polsby-Popper score is increased by 0.5.
15	Again, there is one fewer split town in this
16	map, and I would urge members to please support this
17	amendment.
18	SPEAKER MOORE: Further discussion, further
19	debate? If not, the question for the House is the
20	adoption of Amendment A2 sent forward by
21	Representative Lewis. Those in favor will vote Aye,
22	those opposed will vote No. The Clerk will open the
23	vote.
24	The Clerk will lock the machine and record
25	the vote.

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

	Page 32
1	64 having voted in the affirmative, and 46
2	in the negative the Amendment is adopted.
3	For what purpose does the gentleman from
4	Lee, Representative Reives, rise?
5	He stepped off.
6	For what purpose does the lady from
7	Franklin, Representative Richardson, rise?
8	REPRESENTATIVE RICHARDSON: Thank you, Mr.
9	Speaker.
10	I would like to ask the bill sponsor about
11	three questions, please.
12	SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman from
13	Harnett yield to three questions?
14	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield to all of
15	them, Mr. Speaker.
16	SPEAKER MOORE: He yields, and after he
17	answers each question in the interest of time,
18	Representative Richardson, the lady is permitted to go
19	onto the next question.
20	REPRESENTATIVE RICHARDSON: Okay, thank you,
21	Mr. Speaker.
22	My first question is I went to the public
23	hearing in Halifax and there were quite a few people
24	who were as was across the state. Was the information
25	or the questions asked by those public hearings

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Phone: 252-243-9000

Page 33 included or impacted any of the changes in the maps 1 2 that you presented? 3 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for that 4 question, Representative. The input that I can recall 5 that we got was to make the districts compact. They 6 were largely along the criteria that the committee has 7 adopted. Unfortunately, I don't recall any specific criteria from the Halifax location that was 8 9 incorporated in this drawing. 10 REPRESENTATIVE RICHARDSON: Thank you. Ι 11 won't address that, but I just wondered. My second question is that the plaintiffs 12 13 had attorneys working for them. In deciding the maps 14 that we are about to vote on, were any of the 15 plaintiffs or the attorneys for the plaintiffs 16 contacted and consulted about the results that will reflect in the maps that you've given us today? 17 18 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for that 19 question. 20 Certainly in my opinion would have been inappropriate for me to have contacted the plaintiffs 21 22 attorneys to talk about this. I do appreciate the 23 fact that the plaintiffs submitted maps for us to 24 review. I, frankly, spent a lot of time reviewing it 25 and I don't recall that there are any direct changes

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

3

Page 34

to this map as a result of the map that the plaintiffs
 submitted.

REPRESENTATIVE RICHARDSON: Thank you.

My last question, it relates to the letter that attorney Anita Earle sent. Did that letter impact any changes once you received it from her?

7 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for8 that question.

9 Certainly I do recall receiving the letter. 10 I read the letter thoroughly. There are points of the 11 letter that I would respectfully disagree with in terms of the way that the signers of the letter 12 13 interpret various aspects of the law. Again, I think 14 one of the biggest fallacies in the letter is the 15 number of county traversals that following their 16 theory of the law would create.

17 So, the short answer, and I apologize for 18 continuing to do that, it's not my intent, I'm trying 19 to recall, I do not recall that the letter left any 20 direct changes in the map.

21 REPRESENTATIVE RICHARDSON: Thank you for22 your answers. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the
 gentleman from Lee, Representative Reives, rise?
 REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: To ask the bill

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

1 sponsor a couple of questions. 2 SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman from 3 Harnett yield to inquiry? 4 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield to all 5 questions. 6 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 7 REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: Thank you, Chairman 8 Lewis, and I'll try to make a couple of presumptions 9 to go ahead and try not to ask you a whole bunch of 10 questions. 11 I believe the reason we left the racial 12 statistics out of the stack pack was to secure the 13 racial gerrymander per the court's order. With that 14 being said, we still had Dr. Hoffler doing the maps 15 this time around. Was there a particular reason that 16 we were picking Dr. Hoffler again? 17 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for the 18 question, Representative Reives. And to be clear, the 19 map that you have before you is just like any other 20 piece of legislation that comes up. The idea behind the map and the process that produces the map is a --21 22 is the legislative -- is the legislative process. Ι 23 feel and continue to feel that Dr. Hoffler was the 24 best person to help us quickly comply with the order 25 of the court. So, yes, I think he was the best one to

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Page 36 hire to help reproduce this map to present to you 1 2 today. 3 **REPRESENTATIVE REIVES:** And follow-up? 4 SPEAKER MOORE: Gentleman yields to additional questions? He yields. 5 6 REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: Thank you. 7 And based on that, that because of the fact that he would have been the quickest, would have been 8 9 because of the substantial amount of work and work 10 product that he would have had from 2011; would that 11 be fair to say? 12 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for 13 the question. 14 No, I don't think the 2011 plan would have 15 had anything to do with it. I think it was more the 16 we agreed that maptitude was the sort of the industry 17 standard that's used nationwide, and he was just very fluent in being able to help legislators translate 18 19 their desires to the maptitude program. 20 REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: Follow-up. 21 SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to 22 additional questions? 23 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Yes, sir. 24 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 25 REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: Based on that, is it

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Page 37 your belief, then, that he would not have used 1 2 information that he had had available to him back in 3 2011 and his knowledge of the districts to kind of 4 help push this process along? 5 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for the 6 question. 7 The only information that Dr. Hoffler had access to and used in preparing this map was that it 8 9 was adopted by the criteria because that was the only 10 -- was the criteria adopted by the committee because 11 that was the only information that was loaded up on 12 his computer. 13 REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: Follow-up. 14 SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to 15 additional questions? 16 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield. 17 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 18 REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: Thank you. 19 So, did we put anything in place to make 20 sure that he wasn't using any other information, for instance, his knowledge of the racial data, 21 22 statistics, district lines, things of that sort, that 23 information that has previously been used this time 24 around so as not to violate the court's order? 25 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for the

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Page 38 1 question. 2 Part of his contract and certainly his 3 instructions from me were to only use the criteria that was adopted by the committee. 4 REPRESENTATIVE REIVES: All right, thank 5 6 you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. 7 SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the gentleman from Durham, Representative Michaux, rise? 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: To see if the gentleman would respond to a question or two. 10 11 SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman from 12 Harnett yield to the gentleman from Durham? 13 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I do. 14 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 15 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Mr. Chairman, did 16 you contact any of the members of the body before 17 these maps were presented to the committee to get any individual input from them? 18 19 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Representative 20 Michaux, thank you for the question. 21 I have talked to members of the body throughout this process. Prior to the release of the 22 23 first map I certainly had talked to probably 24 Representative Dollar, who is the Chair of the 25 committee with me, but I don't recall that I spoke to

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

Г

	Page 39
1	any other member prior to the release of the first
2	map. I've continued to talk with members along the
3	way, which is why we put the map out was to get
4	feedback from the members and the public and we have
5	made certain changes in the map based on input from
6	members.
7	REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Follow-up.
8	SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to
9	additional questions?
10	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield.
11	SPEAKER MOORE: He yields.
12	REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Let me try to make
13	it simple. Did you seek out any information at all
14	from the members of this body as to what they would
15	like to see in any map that was drawn on a personal
16	basis?
17	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for that
18	question, Representative Michaux.
19	I produced a map and have received input
20	since then. I did not specifically seek out
21	information from members prior to that.
22	REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Follow-up.
23	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield to all
24	questions.
25	SPEAKER MOORE: He yields.

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

	Page 40
1	REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: In considering
2	who who worked with you on consideration of the map
3	that you submitted to the Redistricting Committee for
4	their approval?
5	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: So, obviously
6	Dr. Hoffler, who is a consultant that was hired drew
7	the map at my direction, and Representative Dollar
8	also added input to the maps after he had been named
9	Co-chair of the committee.
10	REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Another.
11	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield to all
12	questions.
13	SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman yields.
14	REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: So, you and
15	Representative Dollar and Dr. Hoffler were the only
16	ones who put a map together that was presented to the
17	Redistricting Committee for their approval?
18	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: So, to be clear,
19	primarily I directed how the map was produced, but,
20	yes, the three people that you said were the ones that
21	largely had seen it prior to its public publication.
22	REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Follow-up?
23	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield.
24	SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman yields.
25	REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: This won't take but

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

1 a couple more.

2	The court in turning in finding that the
3	map you drew in 2011 was unconstitutional indicated
4	that the maps were unconstitutional because they were
5	racially gerrymandered. By racial gerrymandering,
б	that race was brought into the map in order to make
7	the maps that you drew; is that not correct?
8	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for the
9	question, Representative Michaux.
10	Certainly the court's ruling was that the
11	maps were unconstitutional as racial gerrymander.
12	It's my understanding that the reason the court made
13	that determination is that they said that we had not
14	established enough in the record to trigger the use of
15	race in drawing districts.
16	REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Follow-up?
17	SPEAKER MOORE: Will the gentleman yield to
18	additional questions?
19	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I do.
20	SPEAKER MOORE: He yields.
21	REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: You keep saying
22	that the court says that you had not figured enough in
23	there in order to trigger race, but did they not
24	indicate to you that race was a predominant factor in
25	the way that those lines were drawn in 2011?

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

1 **REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS:** Representative 2 Michaux, I'm not sure I completely understand what 3 you're asking. I have acknowledged that the court 4 ruled that 19 of the state House districts were racial 5 gerrymanders without the necessary supporting the 6 information from the court. I mean that the court 7 says the legislature did not have enough information 8 to use race in the drawing of the maps. No additional 9 information has been presented to me or to the 10 Redistricting Committee to refute the court, 11 therefore, we did not use race in drawing this map. 12 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: One follow-up 13 question. 14 SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman yield to 15 additional questions? 16 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: T do. 17 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields. 18 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: How do you, then, 19 correct, how do you correct a racially predominantly 20 drawn district without including race in order to correct that predominance? 21 22 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for the 23 question. 24 It's my understanding that the ruling of the 25 court was that we did not have enough evidence to

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Page 43 justify the use of race in drawing districts, 1 2 therefore, if race is not used at all in the drawing 3 of the districts, certainly a court would not be able 4 to find because race had not been a factor at all, it 5 certainly could not have been a factor that was 6 incorrectly considered. 7 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Thank you. 8 SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the 9 gentleman from Wake, Representative Jackson, rise? 10 REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: For a series of 11 questions to the sponsor chair. 12 SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman from 13 Harnett yield to questions? 14 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I yield. 15 SPEAKER MOORE: He yields, and 16 Representative Jackson, you're welcome just to 17 continue asking after each one. 18 REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: Thank you, Mr. 19 Speaker. I think it's only four questions, Chairman 20 Lewis. 21 On Friday we talked about to back the 22 scores, and you did make a change to Wake County, and 23 so, I'll just ask was the map the committee considered 24 on Friday what I'll call the Covington, the Covington 25 map, is it still slightly better compacted scores than

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

1	the offer 927 as amended at this point?
2	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you for the
3	question, Representative Jackson. The my
4	understanding is that the Covington map is slightly
5	better statewide on overall compactness and the in
6	Wake County the now amended plan is better under the
7	Reock score than the Covington map was and almost as
8	good as the Covington map under the Polsby-Popper
9	score.
10	REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: Thank you.
11	Chairman Lewis, I noticed a series of split
12	counties: Craven, Onslow, Pitt, Granville, Robeson,
13	Johnston, Sampson, Nash, Harnett, Columbus and Stanly,
14	and so, in my examination of those I didn't see a
15	single place where the split worked to the advantage
16	of the democratic party and to the detriment of the
17	republican party, and I was just wondering if I was
18	wrong or if you could point me to one those?
19	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Well, thank you for
20	that question, Representative Jackson. The I'm not
21	aware of the outcome of how these splits effect
22	various partisan performance of a district. Were I to
23	gander, I would say that I would say that probably
24	the placement of the line for population purposes in
25	District 8 probably benefits democrats more than it

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

does republicans. That's one of the things I tried 1 2 the say when we were adopting the criteria. Every 3 choice, everywhere a line is made, there are political 4 ramifications for where that line falls, and one of 5 the reasons that I objected so much to the committee 6 to trying to specify that a precinct or a county could 7 only be split for population purposes, frankly, is I 8 knew that where ever the line went, there would be 9 political consequences for it and folks would blame me 10 for violating the criteria.

11 REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: And the last 12 question I have for you is Representative Lewis or 13 Chairman Lewis, is in Wake and Mecklenburg County 14 there are five house districts that did not touch in 15 any way districts that had been declared 16 unconstitutional, and since you didn't consider race 17 in redrawing these districts, can you tell us why it 18 was necessary for you to change the boundaries of 19 House District 105 in Mecklenburg County and House 20 Districts 36, 37, 40 and 41 in Wake County?

21 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: The court ordered us 22 to correct racial gerrymanders, that is, districts 23 that use race too much. Freezing districts which do 24 not touch the illegal district would require the core 25 of the racial gerrymander as a starting point and then

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

1 we would be accused of racial gerrymandering all over 2 again. Instead, we started with a blank slate. As 3 you yourself have pointed out, the blank slate has let 4 us do some good things. It's let us split fewer 5 precincts, it's let us keep more municipalities whole.

6 The state constitution does assume that 7 redistricting will be done after the census and not 8 touched later on, but it does not speak to the 9 situation we're in now, which is court ordered 10 redistricting. In 2011 what I refer to as the VRA 11 districts were created first. For example, in Wake County all of the remaining districts were drawn 12 13 around the VRA seats. Therefore, all the remaining 14 districts were, in fact, impacted by the Covington 15 ruling.

In its ruling the Covington court criticizes split precincts. To correct that we needed to try to split less precincts in all of the districts that were in a group, and that's what we've done here in this drawing.

21 REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: Thank you, Mr.
22 Chairman.
23 SPEAKER MOORE: Further discussion, further
24 debate? Does the gentleman wish to debate the bill?
25 REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: I do.

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman from Wake,
 Representative Jackson, is recognized to debate the
 bill.

4 REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: Thank you, Mr.
5 Speaker.

Ladies and gentlemen, I apologize in
advance. My comments are probably about 10 minutes
long. So, I tried to summarize them as best I could.

9 I want you to know that I do rise to oppose 10 this redistricting plan today and I wanted to start 11 with the issue of race, which I believe is at the 12 heart of this plan and very much, unfortunately, part 13 of the national public debate in recent months.

14 A lot of hate was on display a few weeks ago in Charlottesville, and I think the comments we heard 15 16 at the public hearing last week were in large part a 17 response to what happened in Charlottesville. So, 18 from the beginning I want to state publicly and 19 clearly and for the record that I do not think your 20 plan is racial gerrymandered because my colleagues in 21 this body are racist. No one in this body deserves to 22 be called some of the names we heard that night or in 23 some of the public comments that I have personally 24 received. But today is the anniversary of Dr. Martin 25 Luther King, Jr.'s I Have a Dream speech, and we have,

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

1 in fact, come a long way, but I do not believe we are 2 at the point in this country, in the south or even in 3 this state where you can no longer consider race in 4 these type of decisions.

5 I do believe your plan is still a racial 6 gerrymander, just as the plan from 2011 was found to 7 be. I believe your plan racially gerrymanders so that 8 you can lessen the opportunities of African-American 9 voters to have their voices heard in more districts 10 because that could in turn create more democratic 11 districts. That is at the core of your supermajority.

12 The plan that we are passing today is every bit as constitutionally flawed as the one in 2011. 13 14 House democrats have pointed out some of the flaws on the floor and in committee. The Covington plaintiffs 15 16 have done so through written correspondence to the 17 chairs and submission to alternative map. You have 18 not made the necessary changes, but I'm going to point 19 them out one final time.

First, there was the process you've known since June 5th that your maps were unconstitutional and needed to be redrawn and we did nothing. Governor Cooper attempted to call us into special session on June 7th; again we did nothing. Finally, you acted laying out a long, drawn out timetable for public

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

input and hearings. It sounded great except that it was now August. And remember last time you tried to schedule filing in December and that's a long process and prevents us from recruiting candidates. That's not a problem for your side with so many incumbents and maps to consult, but the court figured this out and rightly called you out on it.

8 So that foot-dragging process led to an 9 evening of one public hearing conducted in probably 10 one of the most bizarre fashions I've ever seen. As 11 best I can tell, what input we did receive through the 12 hearing or the online process was not used in any 13 meaningful way. I have no doubt that the maps we see 14 today have existed in some basic form for sometime, 15 after all, the clusters were drawn last year around 16 this time, yet they were released on a Saturday, but 17 just as pictures with no data. It took another couple 18 of days to get the data we all know existed.

The second flaw in the plan before us today is the alleged non-use of racial data. Nine Supreme Court justices, who rarely agree on anything, struck down your maps as a racial gerrymander. Some argued that the court did not find such a racial gerrymander, only that you considered race without the proper factual findings or that the law has somehow changed

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

since 2011. In my opinion that just isn't accurate. 1 2 The Federal courts have referred to the 2011 3 plan as a racial gerrymander at least seven different 4 times in two separate court orders requiring you to 5 re-draw these 19 unconstitutional House districts. 6 Three federal judges issued an order instructing you 7 on how to fix your map, including specific language 8 directing the use of racial data. You are refusing to 9 do so. You're pretending that the Voting Rights Act 10 no longer exists. 11 You can look at page 151 of the court's opinion. There the court talks about the history of 12 13 the prior Voting Rights Act districts in North 14 Carolina and racially pulverized voting. The court 15 says, "We would not dispute that some of the 16 information is relevant and should be considered 17 during a legislative redistricting." Should be considered, that's what the court 18 We didn't consider it. In the court's 19 says. 20 conclusion, "Section II of the Voting Rights Acts continues to play an important role in redistricting, 21 22 and legislatures must undertake a district specific 23 analysis to identify and cure potential Section II 24 violations." 25 Again, note the magic words, legislatures

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

1 must. Again, we have not done that.

2 The maps before us today have stack packs 3 with no racial data in them. You claim that means a 4 racial gerrymander is impossible, yet the lines were 5 drawn by the same expert who drew the maps in 2011. They used racial data so extensively as to be found 6 7 unconstitutional. Dr. Hoffler spent months taking a 8 scaffold to the North Carolina map and finding pockets 9 of African-American voters to create 50% plus districts all across the state. He spent many more 10 11 years helping the state answer pleadings, discovery requests, giving depositions and expert testimony. 12 13 How do you instruct him now not to use what he knows 14 all so well? It would be like telling Dale Jr. not to 15 look at his speedometer. It's pointless. He still 16 knows how fast he's going when he's in that car.

And you see it in the maps. You see racial gerrymanders that have not been cured. They live on, refusing to die like a character in The Walking Dead. If not, why do the districts look so similar to the ones last time?

What's more, you even refused to even check on the back end to make sure you have identified and cured potential Section II violations. The court has told you to do so, but you have refused. I'd ask that

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

you not cry foul if and when the court calls you to
 task on it. Everyone has warned you.

The third flaw I see is the unfortunate error of violating the whole county provision of the North Carolina Constitution. Your map splits more counties than the map I presented at committee. There are no unnecessary county traversals. Why not fix them now?

9 The fourth flaw also relates to the North 10 Carolina Constitution. Unlike Georgia and other 11 states, we cannot redistrict mid-decade without a 12 court ordering us to do so. You abide by this 13 constitutional rule by not redrawing areas like 14 Alamance or New Hanover or Burke County, yet you 15 violate the rule in Wake and Mecklenburg County.

16 It is possible and constitutionally required 17 to leave districts unchanged that do not touch 18 unconstitutional districts when the cluster is 19 unchanged, yet you refuse to do so for reasons of 20 politics.

There's no legal universe with your political reasons can trump the North Carolina Constitution. It's as clear as day. Article II, Section 5 says, "When established, the House districts in the apportionment of their representatives shall

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

remain unaltered until the return of another census of
 population taken by order of congress."

That same language is cited in both the Stevenson 1 and Stevenson 2 is one of the four constitutional limitations upon the redistricting authority of the General Assembly. Why would a party of strict constructionists ignore this prohibition?

8 Finally, I will point out the flaw that is 9 obvious to anyone paying attention and that is 10 partisan gerrymandering. Our current map is one of 11 the most gerrymandered in the history of America. 12 That is not an exaggeration. We are at the political 13 center of the political scientists world, and you've 14 doubled down what you did in 2011. And I know, I know 15 you're going to say that democrats did it first, and 16 there's two comments I'd like to say about that. First, at some point someone has to have the integrity 17 18 and political courage to stop doing it. Obviously it 19 won't be us today.

The second I looked up the last democratic plan of 2003, looking at the closest statewide race of 2000, which was the democratic candidate won by three points, the 2003 democratic gerrymander gave us, gave our party, the democratic party, 66 seats and yours 54. Obviously it was drawn at that time to help the

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

democrats, yet compared to what we have before us
 today, Attorney General Stein won in North Carolina.
 He wins over 42 seats in your plan. 35% of the North
 Carolina House.

5 In committee I presented a plan that 6 resulted in Attorney General Stein winning 56 seats, 7 and some of my republican friends were horrified, It was beyond the pale what I was proposing. 8 aqhast. 9 I had a radical partisan gerrymander in favor of 10 democrats, and imagine that, it was a plan that only 11 gave you a simple majority. Your party's executive 12 director said that the Covington's plaintiffs' plan 13 was the racial gerrymander in this case and that what 14 I was doing on Friday was an embarrassment.

15 Let me sum up those republic talking points 16 for you. The plan before us today puts the democrats 17 in the super minority, but it's completely fair and balanced. My amendment that would have put democrats 18 19 in the close minority is the wildly partisan racial 20 gerrymander. It takes a lot of gall to parrot those 21 talking points. I encourage you to try it out in 22 public sometime.

I know that partisan gerrymandering has not been struck down by the courts yet. Plans like the one before us today are putting us on that path.

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

There are bad democratic gerrymanders in states like 1 2 Maryland, and bad republican ones in states like 3 Wisconsin, but we remain the top dog in 4 gerrymandering. Nobody does it like North Carolina. 5 We're number one. The fact that you would do it again 6 after your first maps were struck down by the courts 7 is very interesting, to say the least. You could have 8 drawn a more reasonable map that guaranteed you to 9 stay in control of this body through 2020. You could 10 have shown a little humility, a little moderation, a 11 little caution knowing that the court this time has to 12 approve this plan, but you didn't. You're going all 13 in, and we'll see how this all works out in a few 14 weeks. 15 On behalf of the House of Democrats I say 16 that we will be voting against this plan. Thank you. 17 SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the 18 gentleman from Wake, Representative John, rise? 19 REPRESENTATIVE JOHNS: Briefly debate the 20 bill. SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman is recognized 21 22 to debate the bill. 23 REPRESENTATIVE JOHNS: Since I announced my 24 intention to seek election to this body nearly a year 25 ago, I have consistently and repeatedly spoken of my

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

1	firm belief that the task of drawing electoral
2	districts for the North Carolina House and the North
3	Carolina Senate should be assigned to a wholly
4	independent, impartial, and most importantly, a
5	nonpartisan commission.
6	In the public comments recently heard by the
7	Redistricting Committee, speaker after speaker after
8	speaker expressed the identical sentiment.
9	As we've heard so often, politicians should
10	not be put in the position of selecting their voters
11	as opposed to citizens selecting their
12	representatives. Our frail human nature being what it
13	is, when those directly affected and indeed those who
14	will specifically benefit by the process are those who
15	themselves are making the critical decisions as to
16	where the borders of legislative districts are set, it
17	is inevitable that self-district self-interest and
18	partisan concerns will creep in and in most instances
19	will ultimately prevail.
20	My personal wish, and I believe the wish of
21	the vast majority of North Carolinians, would have
22	been that the General Assembly would have considered
23	and early on passed a law establishing an independent

24

25 so currently languish in the House Rules Committee,

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

redistricting commission. Bipartisan proposals to do

and sadly, no such legislation was passed. What we 1 2 have instead are the proposed maps before us, the many 3 flaws of which have been pointed out in the questions 4 and statements we have heard. 5 My primary and heartfelt goal, Mr. Speaker, 6 will be to continue to see a significant change in 7 this process, and one which will give our citizens the 8 full confidence they deserve in their legislative 9 districts. 10 Thank you, Mr. Speaker. 11 SPEAKER MOORE: For what purpose does the 12 gentleman from Harnett, Representative Lewis, rise? 13 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: May I send forth an 14 amendment? 15 SPEAKER MOORE: Representative wishes to 16 send forth an amendment. Clerk will now read. HOUSE CLERK: Representative Louis wishes to 17 18 amend the bill by changing the short title from 2017 19 House Redistricting Plan A1 to 2017 House 20 Redistricting Plan A2. SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman is recognized 21 22 to debate the amendment. 23 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, Mr. 24 Speaker. 25 Mr. Speaker and Members, this is a purely

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

technical amendment recommend to me by essential 1 2 staff. It simply makes it easier to identify the map 3 that appeared on the calendar today from the map that 4 now appears as amended with the Wake County amendment. 5 Therefore, I would respectfully ask you to vote green 6 and adopt this amendment. It just renames the map. 7 SPEAKER MOORE: Further discussion, further 8 debate? If not, the question before the House is the 9 adoption of Amendment A3 sent forward by 10 Representative Lewis. Those in favor will vote Aye, those opposed will vote No. The Clerk will open the 11 12 vote. 13 The Clerk will lock the machine and record 14 the vote. 111 having voted in the affirmative, none 15 in the negative. The bill is adopted. We're now back 16 on the bill for the discussion, further debate. 17 For what purpose does the gentleman from 18 Mecklenburg, Representative Alexander, rise? 19 For what purpose does the gentleman from 20 Harnett, Representative Lewis, rise? 21 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Mr. Speaker, may I 22 please ask the gentleman from Wake, Representative 23 Jackson, a series of questions? 24 SPEAKER MOORE: Does the gentleman from Wake 25 yield to the gentleman from Harnett?

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

	Page Jy
1	REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: Yes, Mr. Speaker.
2	SPEAKER MOORE: He yields and the gentleman
3	will be permitted to simply propound additional
4	questions.
5	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you,
б	Mr. Speaker, and thank you Representative Jackson.
7	Representative Jackson, just to be clear,
8	you pointed out what you identify as deficiencies in
9	this process. Have you done or caused to be done a
10	district by district on the houses that gives any
11	specific information that race should have been used?
12	REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: I have not done a
13	specific district by district analysis, Representative
14	Lewis. As I mentioned on Friday, I have seen some
15	racial data statistics for the different plans, but I
16	have not taken it down to the if you read, as you
17	read the Covington opinion, it's a 160 something pages
18	and it goes in each district and it talks about what
19	was the breakdown of African-Americans in the 2003
20	plan and did they elect the candidate of their choice.
21	I did not take it down through that level, no, sir.
22	I've just seen the preliminary statistics.
23	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you.
24	Did you did you ask for the racial data
25	on the plan that is before us now from staff or an

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

## 1 outside source?

2	REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: I have certainly
3	seen data on the plan as existed last week. The plan
4	that's before us now has been amended a few times, and
5	so, I've not asked for that data. I have also seen
6	some preliminary racial data on the Covington
7	plaintiffs' map, and I can't remember if it was at my
8	request or if I was just copied on that e-mail,
9	Representative Lewis.
10	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: May I ask one last
11	question, Mr. Speaker?
12	SPEAKER MOORE: Yes, sir.
13	REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, Mr.
14	Speaker, and thank you Representative Jackson.
15	Have you published this racial data or do
16	you intend to? Is it a part of the record somewhere?
17	REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: I believe it
18	exists, Representative Lewis, in this computers. I
19	have not published it. I believe that some members of
20	my caucus have seen it as it applied to your previous
21	plan as introduced to committee last week. I don't
22	believe everyone on our side has seen the data as it
23	exists for the Covington map. And, then, this weekend
24	after you were kind enough on Saturday to share the
25	Wake County amendment that you intended to run, I did

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Phone: 252-243-9000

Page 60

Page 61 get a breakdown of some of the changes to some of 1 those districts in Wake County and I've only shared 2 3 that with a few members. 4 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Thank you, 5 Mr. Speaker, and thank you, Representative Jackson. 6 SPEAKER MOORE: Further discussion, further 7 debate? If not, the question for the House is the 8 passage of House Bill 927 on the second reading. 9 Those in favor will vote Aye; those opposed will vote 10 No. The Clerk will open the vote. The Clerk will lock the machine and record 11 the vote. 65 having voted in the affirmative; 47 in 12 13 the negative. House Bill 927 passes at second reading 14 and without objection be read a third time. HOUSE CLERK: North Carolina enacts. 15 16 SPEAKER MOORE: Further discussion, further 17 debate? If not, the question before the House the passage of House Bill 927 on the third reading. 18 Those 19 in favor will vote Aye; those opposed will vote No. 20 The Clerk will open the vote. 21 The Clerk will lock the machine and close 22 the vote. 65 having voted in the affirmative; 47 in 23 the negative. House Bill 927 passes its third 24 reading. The Bill is ordered enrolled and sent to the 25 Senate. Strike that, the Bill is ordered in gross and

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

1 sent to the Senate.

2	Moving on to other business, Members, the
3	Chair appoints the following members as well as
4	constituting a committee on judicial redistricting.
5	The committee members are as follows: Representative
б	Berg, Chair; Representative Stevens, Vice Chair;
7	Representative Ted Davis, Vice Chair; Representative
8	John Bluss, Vice Chair. Members Representative
9	Blackwell, Brisson, Bumgardner, Floyd, Goodman, Hall,
10	Hastings, Harrison, Jackson, Jordan, John, Lewis,
11	McNeil, Bobby Richardson, Rogers, Torbit, Ray and
12	Zachary, and that's pursuant to Rule 26A.
13	We'll stand at ease momentarily. And since
14	we have multiple Halls that was Representative Destin
15	Hall.
16	The Clerk directs that 927 should be sent by
17	special message to the Senate.
18	(HOUSE IS AT EASE.)
19	House will come back to order.
20	Members, for your planning purposes this is
21	the schedule for today and for the next couple of
22	days. First of all, we are we finished today's
23	business. The Chair will direct, Mr. Clerk, that the
24	three veto overrides: House Bill 205, House Bill 511,
25	House Bill 576 be removed from today's calendar and

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

Page 63 calendared for Wednesday, put on Wednesday's calendar. 1 2 Tomorrow we will have -- tomorrow we will 3 have session at 1, but there will be no votes. Excuse 4 me, 1 or 2, we haven't determined. I think we're 5 going to go with 2, 2 o'clock tomorrow, but no votes 6 tomorrow. The plan tomorrow is going to be that the 7 Redistricting Committee will take up the Senate 8 redistricting map. The chairman will make an 9 announcement momentarily on that, and once that -- the 10 committee process will be tomorrow. And then on 11 Wednesday it is the Chair's intent that we take care of second and third reading on Wednesday. 12 13 Is there any objection to -- is there 14 anybody going to object to the reading of the Senate 15 redistricting map on Wednesday? Okay, then, the plan 16 will be that we'll do second and third reading on the 17 Senate map and on Wednesday. For ratification purposes and so forth, I believe we still may be here 18 19 Thursday, but at least right now it would appear that 20 the voting business will be done Wednesday, but I'm not sure. I know there are several other bills 21 22 floating around. There are some comp reports folks 23 are working on. So, those may be taken up Wednesday 24 and Thursday as well, but that's where we are for 25 right now.

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Г

	Page 64
1	The gentleman from Harnett, Representative
2	Lewis, is recognized for an announcement.
3	The gentleman from Wayne, Representative
4	Bell, is recognized for an announcement.
5	REPRESENTATIVE BELL: Thank you, Mr.
6	Speaker.
7	Republican members, we're going to caucus on
8	Wednesday. Right now the time is to be determined,
9	but look somewhere in the 12 o'clock timeframe. So,
10	prepare accordingly. Thank you.
11	SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman from Wake,
12	Representative Dollar, is recognized for an
13	announcement.
14	REPRESENTATIVE DOLLAR: Tomorrow the House
15	Redistricting Committee will meet at 1:00, 1:00 in
16	Room 643. We'll be there to take up the Senate's
17	Redistricting Bill.
18	SPEAKER MOORE: And the Chair would intend
19	we have session tomorrow too. Is there any the
20	Chair would move the rules be suspended so that the
21	House may meet at the same time the committee is
22	meeting.
23	Is there an objection? Hearing none, so
24	ordered.
25	For what purpose does the gentleman from

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Phone: 252-243-9000

Page 65 1 Wake, Representative Jackson, rise? 2 REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: For an 3 announcement. 4 SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman is recognized 5 for an announcement. 6 REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON: Thank you, Mr. 7 Speaker. Our House democrats will caucus Wednesday at 8 9 1:00. Wednesday at 1:00 in our normal room. Thank 10 you. 11 SPEAKER MOORE: So, just again to remind 12 members, no votes in session tomorrow. It will be a 13 no-vote session, but the Redistricting Committee will 14 be meeting. There will be votes, however, on 15 Wednesday and probably on Thursday. 16 Further notices and announcements? 17 For what purpose does the gentleman from 18 Durham, Representative Michaux, rise? 19 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Inquiry of the 20 Chair. 21 SPEAKER MOORE: Just a moment. 22 Members of the House will come to order. 23 The gentleman is recognized for an inquiry. 24 REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: Barring any other 25 order or anything coming from the court, what's on

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

	rage of
1	schedule after Wednesday or Thursday?
2	SPEAKER MOORE: The Chair believes we'll be
3	done Thursday. I don't foresee us being here on
4	Friday. If the gentleman is asking what specific
5	bills there are?
6	REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: No, I'm talking
7	about after we leave here Wednesday or Thursday, when
8	do we come back?
9	SPEAKER MOORE: Sometime in October we have
10	a we'll have a reconvening date in October. That
11	date has yet to be determined, but the Chair believes
12	it will be during the second week of October.
13	REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: I thought the
14	resolution pardon me, another question. I thought
15	the resolution we passed called for a September date?
16	SPEAKER MOORE: That is in there. That will
17	be amended in this adjournment resolution. The Chair
18	doesn't believe there will be a need to be back that
19	early. So, looks like you'll be safe until October.
20	REPRESENTATIVE MICHAUX: What's safe about
21	that?
22	SPEAKER MOORE: Something to look forward
23	to, Representative Michaux. It's just like Christmas,
24	it just keeps repeating itself over and over again.
25	Further notices and announcements?

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Page 67 1 If not, gentleman from Harnett, 2 Representative Lewis, recognized for a motion. 3 Just a moment. 4 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Mr. Speaker. 5 SPEAKER MOORE: Just a moment, 6 Representative Lewis. Oh, yes, the Chair apologizes. 7 We actually have some pages with us today. If the 8 pages would please come forward that are going to be 9 serving with us this week. If you guys would come 10 forward, I want to make sure I introduce these pages. 11 I know a lot of members have left, but I would ask 12 that you give your attention. A number of you 13 probably have sponsored our pages who are here. 14 And to our pages, as the Clerk calls your 15 name, please step forward and wave so that my 16 colleagues and I will know who you are and where 17 you're from. All right, the Clerk will introduce the 18 pages.

HOUSE CLERK: Caroline Beason, Mecklenburg
County, Sponsor Representative Dulin. Rhea Bagia,
Mecklenburg County, Sponsor Representative Dulin. Zoe
Byrd, Johnston County, Sponsor Representative
Strickland. Tanner Harron, Guilford County, Sponsor
Representative Faircloth. Emma Hiott, Stanly County,
Sponsor Representative Burr. Carrie Holloway, Forsyth

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

Page 68
County, Sponsor Representative Hanes. Victoria Hume,
Orange County, Sponsor Representative Meyer. Timothy
Parker, Wake County, Sponsor Representative Malone.
Katherine Sanota, Mecklenburg County, Sponsor
Representative Stone. Kirastacia Taylor, Orange
County, Sponsor Representative Meyer. Maxwell
Wagenseller, New Hanover County, Sponsor
Representative Butler. Nate Worley, Buncombe County,
Sponsor Representative Turner.
SPEAKER MOORE: Members, if you would please
join me in welcoming our pages here this week.
(APPLAUSE.)
We're glad to have you with us this week.
You may return to you post.
The gentleman from Harnett, Representative
Lewis, is recognized for a motion.
REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Mr. Speaker, in honor
and memory or Richard Thomas McDowell, the father of
Representative White, I move the House adjourn to
reconvene Tuesday, August 29th, at 1 o'clock p.m.
subject to the standard stipulation set forth in Rule
15.1, except, Mr. Speaker, may I change that and move
that the House reconvene on Tuesday, August 29th, at 2
o'clock p.m.
SPEAKER MOORE: The gentleman from Harnett

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Γ

	Page 69
1	moves that the House be now adjourned, seconded by the
2	lady from Johnston, Representative White, in honor and
3	in memory of Richard Thomas McDowell, who is the
4	father of Representative White, subject to the
5	standard stipulations set forth in Rule 15.1 to
б	reconvene Tuesday, August 29th at 2 p.m.
7	Those in favor say Aye.
8	HOUSE MEMBERS: Aye.
9	SPEAKER MOORE: Those opposed say no. The
10	Ayes have it. We stand adjourned.
11	(HOUSE SESSION ADJOURNED AT 3:07 P.M.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Phone: 252-243-9000

1 STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

2 COUNTY OF DURHAM

- 3
- 4

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

5 I, Regina Toppins, Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of North Carolina, do hereby б 7 affirm that the foregoing pages contain a verbatim 8 transcription of the above-captioned proceedings and 9 have been transcribed to the best of my ability and understanding; I further affirm that I am not related 10 to any of the parties to this action; that I am not 11 interested in the outcome of this case; that I am not of 12 13 counsel nor in the employ of any of the parties to this 14 action.

15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereto set my hand,16 this the 28th day of August, 2017.

Regina Poppins

Regina Toppins, Notary Public Notary Number: 200626300019

25

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

<b></b>				
A	6:19 10:19	23:19 24:1	52:25	53:6
<b>A1</b> 30:1 57:19	11:3 12:19	27:4,12,16,18	appreciate	authorized 7:10
<b>A1</b> 30.1 37.19 <b>A2</b> 31:20 57:20	13:11 14:10	27:22,24 28:25	16:14 27:11	available 37:2
	17:9 21:15	29:8,12,14	33:22	average 21:2
A3 58:9	32:2 33:7 37:9	30:1,9,11,18	approach 11:24	avoid 7:3 12:3,3
<b>abide</b> 52:12	37:10 38:4	31:11,17,20	18:11	28:23
<b>ability</b> 70:9	58:15	32:2 54:18	approval 40:4	avoided 8:9
<b>able</b> 6:22 11:2	adopting 45:2	57:14,16,22	40:17	aware 44:21
15:21 24:16	adoption 30:1	58:1,4,6,9	approve 55:12	Aye 3:22 30:2
36:18 43:3	31:20 58:9	60:25	approved 3:19	31:21 58:10
above-caption	advance 47:7	<b>America</b> 3:12	3:21,23	61:9,19 69:7,8
70:8	advantage 44:15	53:11	approximately	Ayes 3:23 69:10
absolute 9:14	<b>advice</b> 31:6	amount 23:2	24:3,13	Ayes 5.25 07.10
acceptable	<b>affirm</b> 70:7,10	36:9	<b>area</b> 3:5	В
14:15	affirmative 30:8	<b>analogy</b> 10:24	areas 10:14	back 13:25 27:2
access 37:8	32:1 58:14	analysis 50:23	52:13	27:4,8,9 37:2
accomplish 27:5	61:12,22	59:13	argued 49:22	43:21 51:23
27:6	African-Amer	<b>Anita</b> 34:5	<b>Article</b> 52:23	58:15 62:19
accomplishes	48:8 51:9	anniversary	<b>asked</b> 8:14	66:8,18
8:13	African-Amer	47:24	16:25 19:25	<b>bad</b> 5:9 55:1,2
accurate 50:1	59:19	announced 5:16	26:25 32:25	<b>Bagia</b> 67:20
accused 46:1	afternoon's 2:6	55:23	60:5	balance 20:15
acknowledge	aged 15:10	announcement	<b>asking</b> 6:5 42:3	balanced 54:18
2:16	aghast 54:8	63:9 64:2,4,13	43:17 66:4	<b>Barring</b> 65:24
acknowledged	<b>ago</b> 47:14 55:25	65:3,5	aspects 6:9	<b>Bartlett</b> 6:25 7:8
42:3	<b>agree</b> 28:3 49:21	announcements	34:13	<b>based</b> 13:7
Act 50:9,13	<b>agreed</b> 36:16	65:16 66:25	Assembly 1:3	22:15 36:7,25
acted 5:9 48:24	<b>ahead</b> 35:9	<b>answer</b> 12:17	3:10 4:12,12	39:5
action 70:11,14	Alamance 25:2	16:14 21:7,12	5:2 7:15 28:6	<b>basic</b> 49:14
activists 6:8	52:14	21:22 22:21	53:6 56:22	<b>basis</b> 39:16
Acts 50:20	<b>Alexander</b> 30:5	34:17 51:11	assigned 56:3	Beason 67:19
<b>added</b> 40:8	58:18	answers 32:17	assigned 30.3 assume 46:6	beginning 47:18
additional 11:10	<b>alleged</b> 49:20	34:22		behalf 55:15
12:12 13:7,19	allegiance 2:9	anybody 26:9	attempted 48:23 attend 6:12	<b>belief</b> 37:1 56:1
15:2 16:10	3:11	63:14	attention 4:2	believe 4:3 11:1
18:1 19:6 20:1	allow 28:8	<b>apologize</b> 34:17	20:21 27:21	12:1 13:6,10
22:5 36:5,22	allowed 5:22	47:6	53:9 67:12	15:21 25:16,22
37:15 39:9	10:9 24:17	<b>apologizes</b> 67:6	attest 6:12	26:1,5 35:11
41:18 42:8,15	26:13	appear 14:2	attitude 26:8	47:11 48:1,5,7
59:3	alternative	63:19		56:20 60:17,19
address 33:11	30:23 48:17	appeared 58:3	<b>attorney</b> 34:5 54:2,6	60:22 63:18
<b>adjourn</b> 68:19	<b>amen</b> 3:9,10	appeared 58:5 appears 58:4	attorneys 33:13	66:18
adjourned 69:1	amend 23:16	APPLAUSE	33:15,22	<b>believes</b> 66:2,11
69:10,11	30:14 57:18	68:12	<b>audio</b> 6:13	<b>Bell</b> 64:4,5
adjournment	amended 8:19			<b>belong</b> 26:10
66:17	44:1,6 58:4	<b>applied</b> 60:20	August 1:12 3:18,21 49:2	<b>benefit</b> 56:14
adjustments	60:4 66:17	<b>apply</b> 14:18		benefits 44:25
23:22	amendment	applying 12:18	68:20,23 69:6 70:16	Berg 62:6
adopt 58:6		appoints 62:3		<b>best</b> 7:3 12:2
adopted 5:23	8:18 23:12,14	apportionment	authority 2:20	<b>NUSU 1.3 12.2</b>
L		•	•	•

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

Г

13:10 14:19,20	59:19 61:1	Caroline 67:19	<b>choice</b> 26:14	<b>comes</b> 35:20
18:10 35:24,25	<b>briefly</b> 29:17	Carolinians	29:3 45:3	
,	55:19	56:21	29:3 43:3 59:20	<b>coming</b> 8:18 65:25
47:8 49:11				
70:9 <b>better</b> 2:22	bring 2:16	<b>Carrie</b> 67:25 <b>case</b> 54:13 70:12	<b>choose</b> 24:13,18 25:12	<b>comments</b> 22:15
	Brisson 62:9			47:7,15,23
31:11 43:25	brought 41:6	Catawba 25:2	<b>choosing</b> 24:19	53:16 56:6
44:5,6	Bumgardner	<b>caucus</b> 60:20	<b>chose</b> 6:11 12:19	commission
beyond 54:8	62:9	64:7 65:8	25:24	56:5,24
<b>biggest</b> 34:14	bunch 35:9	caused 59:9	Christ 3:9	<b>committee</b> 5:25
<b>bill</b> 4:10,10,17	Buncombe	causing 25:16	Christmas	6:17,19 7:23
8:25 9:8 11:7	24:24 68:8	<b>caution</b> 55:11	66:23	11:3 12:19
11:14 12:9	bunking 8:9	<b>census</b> 46:7 53:1	<b>chunk</b> 15:13	13:11 14:11,18
13:16 14:25	Burke 52:14	<b>center</b> 53:13	<b>cited</b> 53:3	17:9 18:23
15:6 16:7	Burr 67:25	certain 39:5	citizens 25:17	21:16 25:24
17:23 19:2,5	business 5:15	<b>certainly</b> 31:13	56:11 57:7	28:19 30:22
23:16 30:14	62:2,23 63:20	33:20 34:9	<b>city</b> 15:21	33:6 37:10
32:10 34:25	Butler 68:8	38:2,23 41:10	<b>claim</b> 51:3	38:4,17,25
46:24 47:3	<b>Byrd</b> 67:22	43:3,5 60:2	clarify 7:23	40:3,9,17
55:20,22 57:18	<u> </u>	CERTIFICA	<b>clear</b> 9:20 10:25	42:10 43:23
58:15,16 61:8	Cabarrus 19:13	70:4	28:20 35:18	45:5 48:15
61:13,18,23,24		<b>chair</b> 4:2,20	40:18 52:23	52:6 54:5 56:7
61:25 62:24,24	19:25 23:10,23	38:24 43:11	59:7	56:25 60:21
62:25 64:17	24:10,20 25:3	62:3,6,6,7,8,23	clearly 47:19	62:4,5 63:7,10
<b>bills</b> 63:21 66:5	25:5 26:12,13	64:18,20 65:20	<b>Clerk</b> 3:24 4:8,9	64:15,21 65:13
Bipartisan	26:25 28:22	66:2,11,17	23:14,15 30:3	committee's
56:24	29:10	67:6	30:7,12,13	7:16 8:6 24:21
<b>bit</b> 10:25 11:22	<b>calendar</b> 3:24	<b>Chair's</b> 63:11	31:22,24 57:16	<b>comp</b> 63:22
13:23 16:18	58:3 62:25	chairman 19:11	57:17 58:11,13	<b>compact</b> 7:12,14
17:4 21:8	63:1	35:7 38:6,15	61:10,11,15,20	12:23 14:3,6
27:22 48:13	<b>calendared</b> 63:1	43:19 44:11	61:21 62:16,23	15:25 16:19
<b>bizarre</b> 49:10	call 43:24 48:23	45:13 46:22	67:14,17,19	17:5 18:8,18
Blackwell 62:9	called 47:22	63:8	<b>close</b> 2:3 54:19	18:19 30:25
<b>Bladen</b> 10:1	49:7 66:15	<b>chairs</b> 48:17	61:21	31:13 33:5
16:25 17:1	calling 2:18	chamber 2:3	<b>closer</b> 29:22	compacted
<b>blame</b> 45:9	calls 52:1 67:14	30:5	closest 53:21	43:25
<b>blank</b> 46:2,3	candidate 53:22	<b>change</b> 43:22	<b>cluster</b> 19:12,14	compactness
blessed 2:18	59:20	45:18 57:6	19:18 52:18	7:17 12:21
blessings 3:7	candidates 26:6	68:22	clusters 49:15	14:4,9,15
<b>Bluss</b> 62:8	49:4	changed 7:25	<b>Co-chair</b> 40:9	15:14,25 16:21
<b>Bobby</b> 62:11	<b>car</b> 51:16	8:2 49:25	colleagues 47:20	17:18 18:12,17
<b>body</b> 38:16,21	care 63:11	changes 27:24	67:16	31:6 44:5
39:14 47:21,21	<b>career</b> 25:21	33:1,25 34:6	Collins 30:6	compared 54:1
55:9,24	<b>Carolina</b> 1:1,3	34:20 39:5	Columbus 14:1	complete 24:22
<b>border</b> 15:12	1:11 4:12,13	48:18 61:1	16:17 17:10,11	24:25 25:5
borders 25:4	5:5 50:14 51:8	changing 57:18	44:13	completely 42:2
56:16	52:5,10,22	character 51:19	<b>come</b> 2:1,12 4:1	54:17
boundaries 8:4	54:2,4 55:4	Charlottesville	48:1 62:19	compliance 11:1
15:22 45:18	56:2,3 61:15	47:15,17	65:22 66:8	13:5
breakdown	70:1,6	<b>check</b> 51:22	67:8,9	complies 6:18
	l	I	I	1

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

[				
6:23 7:9,16 8:6	52:13 53:5	13:9 14:1	<b>court's</b> 5:1	31:4 37:21
comply 4:25	constitutionally	16:16,17 19:13	35:13 37:24	49:17,18,20
5:20 7:6,25	48:13 52:16	23:23 24:23,25	41:10 50:11,19	50:8 51:3,6
8:16 22:22	constructionists	44:12 52:6	<b>courts</b> 24:19	59:15,24 60:3
35:24	53:7	country 48:2	26:15 50:2	60:5,6,15,22
computer 37:12	construed 5:19	<b>county</b> 7:4,6,9	54:24 55:6	<b>date</b> 66:10,11,15
computers	consult 49:6	7:22,23 8:12	Covington	<b>Davie</b> 19:12
60:18	consultant 40:6	9:10,13,16,19	22:22 43:24,24	27:25
concerns 56:18	consulted 26:22	9:19,20,25	44:4,7,8 46:14	<b>Davis</b> 62:7
conclusion	26:23 33:16	10:5,11,14,24	46:16 48:15	day 52:23 70:16
50:20	CONSULTING	12:25 13:7,24	59:17 60:6,23	days 5:15,15
conducted 49:9	1:23	14:13 15:9,11	Covington's	49:18 62:22
confidence 2:23	<b>contact</b> 38:16	15:12,13,20	4:25 54:12	Dead 51:19
57:8	contacted 33:16	16:24 17:2,3	<b>Craven</b> 44:12	<b>deal</b> 28:12
configuration	33:21	17:10,11,12,13	<b>create</b> 10:10	dealing 3:4
26:24 28:21	contain 70:7	17:14 18:20	34:16 48:10	<b>debate</b> 4:15 8:22
configurations	contests 21:14	19:15,15,16,20	51:9	23:19 27:15,18
28:23	context 18:6	20:1,1,2,3	created 13:7	29:25 30:18
conforms 13:10	contiguity 7:4	24:10,20,20	17:5 46:11	31:19 46:24,24
congress 53:2	contiguous 7:2	25:3,6 26:12	creates 17:16	47:2,13 55:19
congressional	continue 35:23	26:14,25 27:24	creep 56:18	55:22 57:22
20:15 22:16	43:17 57:6	28:1,1,4,6,7,14	criteria 5:23	58:8,16 61:7
<b>consent</b> 26:21	continued 39:2	31:1,2,7 34:15	6:18 7:16 8:7	61:17
consequences	continues 50:21	43:22 44:6	10:19 11:3	debunked 8:11
45:9	continuing	45:6,13,19,20	12:1 13:4,11	decades 7:11,16
<b>consider</b> 45:16	34:18	46:12 52:4,7	14:10,18 15:14	7:20 8:5
48:3 50:19	continuity 25:8	52:14,15 58:4	16:1 17:9	December 49:3
consideration	contract 38:2	60:25 61:2	18:16,23 21:15	decided 24:15
8:8,15 30:24	control 55:9	67:20,21,22,23	25:23 28:18,19	deciding 12:20
40:2	conversations	67:24 68:1,2,3	29:4 33:6,8	33:13
considered	4:5	68:4,6,7,8 70:2	37:9,10 38:3	<b>decision</b> 7:8,10
11:23 28:13	<b>Cooper</b> 48:23	<b>couple</b> 35:1,8	45:2,10	24:17
43:6,23 49:24	<b>copied</b> 60:8	41:1 49:17	critical 5:19	decisions 48:4
50:16,18 56:22	Corbin 30:4	62:21	56:15	56:15
considering	<b>core</b> 45:24 48:11	courage 53:18	criticizes 46:16	declared 45:15
40:1	<b>corner</b> 15:11	<b>course</b> 9:12	crossed 10:23	deficiencies 59:8
consistently	correct 3:19	15:20 29:5	<b>cry</b> 52:1	delegation 31:8
55:25	15:15 30:22	<b>court</b> 4:4,6,25	Cumberland	deleting 23:17
constituency	41:7 42:19,19	5:6,8,12,12,15	24:24	30:15
23:5	42:21 45:22	5:19,21,21 8:1	<b>cure</b> 50:23	demand 25:18
constituents	46:17	8:14,17 22:22	<b>cured</b> 51:18,24	democratic
25:8	correspondence	35:25 41:2,12	current 27:3	21:20 22:12
constituting	48:16	41:22 42:3,6,6	53:10	44:16 48:10
62:4	<b>counsel</b> 70:13	42:10,25 43:3	currently 24:3,5	53:20,22,23,24
constitution	<b>count</b> 9:17	45:21 46:9,16	56:25	55:1
9:12,16 12:25	counted 22:10	49:6,21,23		democrats
13:6 46:6 52:5	counties 7:11	50:4,12,14,18	$\frac{\mathbf{D}}{\mathbf{D} + 51, 14}$	20:16,17,23
52:10,23	9:18,22 11:18	51:24 52:1,12	<b>Dale</b> 51:14	21:1,1 44:25
constitutional	11:19 12:22,24	55:11 65:25	<b>data</b> 8:8 29:4	48:14 53:15
	I			1

www.RuffinConsulting.com

	1		1	1
54:1,10,16,18	31:18 46:23	64:12,14	elaborate 11:22	exaggeration
55:15 65:8	58:7,16 61:6	doors 2:4	13:3	53:12
depositions	61:16	double 8:9,10	<b>elect</b> 59:20	examination
51:12	disenfranchised	doubled 53:14	election 8:8 26:4	44:14
deserve 57:8	24:14	doubt 49:13	55:24	examined 3:18
deserves 25:5	disfavor 25:15	<b>Dr</b> 35:14,16,23	elections 4:11	example 10:25
47:21	dispersion 7:13	37:7 40:6,15	22:25 23:2,6	46:11
<b>desire</b> 8:16	display 47:14	47:24 51:7	26:7	examples 18:17
25:12	dispute 50:15	draw 5:4 10:19	electoral 21:14	exceeds 6:25
desires 36:19	district 6:25	12:4 13:6,10	56:1	excuse 24:11
desk 21:13	9:11,18,21	14:19 15:23,24	electronic 2:5	63:3
despite 5:1 31:9	11:18,19 12:21	17:4,10,11,14	embarrassment	executive 54:11
<b>Destin</b> 62:14	12:23 14:13,14	18:19 19:18,24	54:14	existed 49:14,18
determination	15:9,13,25	20:22,24 22:13	emerged 11:17	60:3
41:13	17:16 19:21	22:13	<b>Emma</b> 67:24	existing 7:24
determined 63:4	23:25 24:3,5	drawing 10:17	employ 70:13	<b>exists</b> 28:3 50:10
64:8 66:11	26:17,18 27:3	19:20 33:9	enact 4:10 5:13	60:18,23
determining	27:4,8,10	41:15 42:8,11	enacted 7:15 8:5	expert 51:5,12
11:23	37:22 42:20	43:1,2 46:20	enacts 4:13	explain 4:17
detriment 44:16	44:22,25 45:19	56:1	61:15	expressed 56:8
deviation 7:1	45:24 50:22	drawn 9:21 11:1	encompassing	extensive 5:2
devices 2:5	59:10,10,13,13	21:11 28:17	8:7	extensively 51:6
<b>DIAL</b> 1:24	59:18	39:15 41:25	encourage 54:21	<b>extent</b> 24:14
dictate 25:11	districts 4:11	42:20 46:12	enforcement 3:2	<b>eye</b> 14:2 16:18
<b>die</b> 51:19	5:5 7:3,24	48:25 49:15	engage 6:8	eyes 15:10 18:7
different 6:8	13:25 14:1,5,6	51:5 53:25	enrolled 61:24	
13:23 21:14,14	16:17,24 18:7	55:8	entails 27:7	<u> </u>
29:1 50:3	19:14,18 21:17	<b>Dream</b> 47:25	<b>entire</b> 10:18	fact 6:4 33:23
59:15	22:14,16 23:23	<b>drew</b> 40:6 41:3	entitled 4:10	36:7 46:14
<b>direct</b> 1:24	24:22 25:1,5,7	41:7 51:5	<b>equal</b> 6:23	48:1 55:5
21:24 22:21	25:13 33:5	<b>Dulin</b> 67:20,21	erroneously	factor 18:8
27:20 33:25	37:3 41:15	<b>Durham</b> 38:8,12	30:24	41:24 43:4,5
34:20 62:23	42:4 43:1,3	65:18 70:2	<b>error</b> 52:4	factors 9:15
directed 40:19	45:14,15,17,20		essential 58:1	11:22 12:18
directing 50:8	45:22,23 46:11		established 6:24	22:24
direction 40:7	46:12,14,18	<b>e-mail</b> 60:8	7:7,17 41:14	<b>factual</b> 49:25
directly 56:13	48:9,11 50:5	e-mails 6:5	52:24	<b>fail</b> 6:21
director 54:12	50:13 51:10,20	<b>Earle</b> 34:5	establishing	<b>fails</b> 30:9
directs 62:16	52:17,18,24	early 5:17 56:23	56:23	<b>fair</b> 22:12 36:11
disagree 34:11	56:2,16 57:9	66:19	estimated 24:9	54:17
disasters 3:4	61:2	ease 62:13,18	evaluating	Faircloth 67:24
discovery 51:11	divided 10:12	easier 58:2	18:10,16	<b>faith</b> 5:9
discriminary	dividing 10:14	east 10:3 17:12	evening 49:9	<b>fallacies</b> 34:14
5:10	divine 2:21	eastern 9:10	everybody	<b>falls</b> 14:14,14
discriminatory	<b>dog</b> 55:3	effect 44:21	28:12	45:4
5:7	<b>doing</b> 24:6 35:14	<b>eight</b> 18:22	everyday 2:17	<b>familiarity</b> 25:9
discussing 16:20	53:18 54:14	24:21,25	evidence 5:3	<b>far</b> 6:1 10:2,3
discussion 4:14	<b>Dollar</b> 4:10	<b>either</b> 22:10	42:25	17:10
8:21 29:24	38:24 40:7,15	29:18,22	exact 15:19	<b>fashions</b> 49:10
	1	1	1	1

www.RuffinConsulting.com

<b>fast</b> 51:16	flawed 48:13	Franklin 32:7	59:2 64:1,3,11	55:12 63:5,6
<b>father</b> 2:12 3:1	flaws 48:14 57:3	<b>frankly</b> 28:17	64:25 65:4,17	63:14 64:7
68:18 69:4	floating 63:22	33:24 45:7	65:23 66:4	67:8
<b>favor</b> 3:22 25:13	<b>floor</b> 4:21 20:20			
25:20 30:2		Freezing 45:23	67:1 68:15,25	<b>good</b> 2:14 44:8 46:4
	21:9 27:17	Friday 30:22	<b>gentlemen</b> 23:22 29:17 47:6	
31:21 54:9	30:17 48:15	31:3 43:21,24		<b>Goodman</b> 62:9
58:10 61:9,19	<b>Floyd</b> 62:9	54:14 59:14	genuine 8:16	<b>governor</b> 21:20
69:7	<b>fluent</b> 36:18	66:4	geographically	48:22
favorable 22:14	folks 24:4 45:9	<b>friends</b> 54:7	29:21	<b>grace</b> 2:14
federal 6:20	63:22	<b>full</b> 2:14 57:8	Georgia 52:10	gracious 3:7
50:2,6	<b>follow-up</b> 11:8	<b>further</b> 4:14,14	gerrymander	Granville 44:12
feedback 39:4	12:10 22:3	8:21,21 13:3	35:13 41:11	grateful 15:6
feedbacks 6:4	36:3,20 37:13	17:24 29:24,24	45:25 48:6	great 2:13 15:20
feel 10:19 14:19	39:7,22 40:22	31:18,18 46:23	49:22,23 50:3	28:12 49:1
35:23,23	41:16 42:12	46:23 58:7,7	51:4 53:23	green 58:5
<b>fellow</b> 4:7	following 23:17	58:16 61:6,6	54:9,13,20	Greene 9:19
fewer 7:19 31:15	30:16 34:15	61:16,16 65:16	gerrymandered	10:1,3 17:1
46:4	62:3	66:25 70:10	41:5 47:20	gross 61:25
<b>fewest</b> 7:11	<b>follows</b> 62:5		53:11	group 10:15
<b>Fifth</b> 7:19	foot-dragging	<u> </u>	gerrymanderi	28:7,7,14,24
<b>figure</b> 28:9	49:8	<b>gall</b> 54:20	41:5 46:1	46:19
figured 41:22	Ford 26:23	gallery 2:8	53:10 54:23	grouped 28:15
49:6	29:21	<b>gander</b> 44:23	55:4	28:16
<b>filing</b> 49:3	foregoing 70:7	Gaston 24:24	gerrymanders	grouping 8:12
final 19:3 48:19	<b>foresee</b> 66:3	general 1:3 4:7	42:5 45:22	9:25 10:11
Finally 48:24	<b>form</b> 49:14	4:12,12 5:2	48:7 51:18	16:24 17:11
53:8	formed 25:13	7:15 28:6 53:6	55:1	27:24 28:1,2,4
<b>find</b> 5:7 17:1,13	formula 7:5,7	54:2,6 56:22	give 4:2 57:7	groupings 7:5,7
21:16 43:4	8:12	gentleman 3:15	67:12	7:22
49:23	Forsyth 13:24	4:16,21 8:23	<b>given</b> 24:7 33:17	guaranteed 55:8
<b>finding</b> 5:1 41:2	14:13 15:9,11	9:2,3 11:6,9	<b>gives</b> 59:10	guess 22:16
51:8	15:12,13,20	12:7,11 13:14	<b>giving</b> 51:12	guests 2:4,8
findings 49:25	24:24 67:25	13:18 14:22	glad 68:13	guidelines 17:19
finished 62:22	forth 23:11,14	15:1 16:4,9	<b>glory</b> 2:16	<b>Guilford</b> 24:23
<b>firm</b> 56:1	30:1,11 57:13	17:21,25 18:25	<b>go</b> 10:20 13:8	67:23
first 5:14 6:23	57:16 63:18	20:9 22:5	32:18 35:9	<b>guys</b> 67:9
9:24 10:10	68:21 69:5	23:10,13,18	63:5	8.7.
21:5,23 27:23	forward 28:22	27:14,17 28:21	goal 16:1 17:8	<u> </u>
30:21 32:22	31:20 58:9	29:10,13 30:10	18:19 22:18,21	Halifax 32:23
38:23 39:1	66:22 67:8,10	30:17 32:3,12	57:5	33:8
46:11 48:20	67:15	34:24 35:2	goals 17:8	Hall 62:9,15
53:15,17 55:6	<b>foul</b> 52:1	36:4,21 37:14	<b>God</b> 2:12,14,14	Halls 62:14
62:22	found 3:18	38:8,10,11,12	2:15,16,19 3:8	hand 70:15
<b>five</b> 7:1 18:20	20:17 48:6	39:8 40:13,24	3:13	Hanes 68:1
45:14	51:6	41:17 42:14	goes 10:2 22:23	Hanover 24:24
<b>fix</b> 50:7 52:7	<b>four</b> 8:11 26:2	43:9,12 46:24	59:18	52:14 68:7
flag 3:11	43:19 53:4	47:1 55:18,21	<b>going</b> 20:20	happened 47:17
flaw 49:19 52:3	<b>fourth</b> 7:12 52:9	57:12,21 58:17	29:19 48:18	hard 28:12
52:9 53:8	frail 56:12	58:19,22,24,25	51:16 53:15	harmonize 11:2
52.7 55.0	11 all 30.12	,	51.10 55.15	
	•		•	

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Case 1:15-cv-00399-TDS-JEP Document 184-20 Filed 09/07/17 Page 76 of 86

Harnett 3:15	house 1:1 2:1	impossible 51:4	<b>input</b> 5:17,23	60:2,14,17
4:16 9:3 15:2	3:24 4:1,9,10	improve 31:5	6:10 8:15 31:8	61:5 62:10
27:14 30:10	5:6 6:14 10:5,8	inappropriate	33:4 38:18	65:1,2,6
32:13 35:3	14:13,17 15:8	33:21	39:5,19 40:8	<b>Jesus</b> 3:9
38:12 43:13	19:18 20:20	include 24:23	49:1,11	job 31:11
44:13 57:12	21:1 23:15	included 29:4	<b>inquiry</b> 35:3	<b>John</b> 55:18 62:8
58:20,25 64:1	26:17 27:21	33:1	65:19,23	62:10
67:1 68:15,25	29:25 30:25	includes 25:1	<b>Insko</b> 20:6,7,13	<b>JOHNS</b> 55:19
Harrison 62:10	31:7,19 42:4	29:5	22:2,4,9 23:8	55:23
Harron 67:23	45:14,19,19	including 3:2	<b>instance</b> 37:21	<b>Johnson</b> 25:1
Harron 07.23 Hastings 62:10	48:14 50:5	13:4 26:9	instances 56:18	29:22
hat 28:17	52:24 54:4	28:21 42:20	instruct 51:13	<b>Johnson's</b> 26:20
hate 47:14	55:15 56:2,25	50:7	instructing 50:6	27:3
hear 12:17 24:8	57:17,19,19	incorporated	instructions	<b>Johnston</b> 9:19
heard 47:15,22	58:8 61:7,8,13	33:9	38:3	44:13 67:22
48:9 56:6,9	61:15,17,18,23	incorrectly 43:6	<b>integrity</b> 53:17	69:2
48.9 50.0,9 57:4	62:18,19,24,24	increased 31:13	intend 25:20	<b>join</b> 68:11
hearing 5:24 6:2	62:25 64:14,21	31:14	60:16 64:18	<b>Jones</b> 2:7,10,11
6:13 32:23	65:8,22 67:19	incumbency	intended 14:11	<b>Jordan</b> 62:10
47:16 49:9,12	68:19,23 69:1	17:7 18:18	20:22 60:25	<b>Journal</b> 3:18,21
64:23	,	28:20 29:5		3:23
	69:8,11 houses 59:10	incumbent	<b>intent</b> 5:7,10,16 34:18 63:11	<b>Jr</b> 51:14
<b>hearings</b> 6:7 32:25 49:1			<b>intention</b> 5:20	<b>Jr</b> .'s 47:25
32:25 49:1 heart 47:12	<b>human</b> 56:12	12:19 18:9,11	55:24	
	Hume 68:1	23:3,5 24:2	·	judged 17:4
heartfelt 57:5	humility 55:10	26:13 28:5	interaction	judges 50:6
heavily 18:12 held 6:7	hurricane 3:5 hyperbole 21:9	incumbent's 23:24,25	12:24 interest 32:17	judicial 62:4 June 48:21,24
help 35:24 36:1	hyperbole 21.7	incumbents 8:9	interested 24:8	justice 3:14
36:18 37:4	Ι	8:10 12:4 17:6	70:12	justices 49:21
53:25	<b>ID</b> 23:4	25:7,22 28:15	interesting 55:7	justify 43:1
helped 12:3	idea 35:20	28:24 49:5	interpret 34:13	Justify 45.1
helping 51:11	identical 56:8	independent	introduce 67:10	K
hereto 70:15	identified 51:23	56:4,23	67:17	Katherine 68:4
<b>Hiott</b> 67:24	identify 50:23	<b>indicate</b> 21:25	introduced	keep 41:21 46:5
hire 36:1	58:2 59:8	41:24	60:21	keeping 10:18
hired 40:6	ignore 53:7	indicated 41:3	<b>Iredell</b> 25:2	18:21 24:12
history 50:12	<b>II</b> 50:20,23	individual 19:19	Ironically 19:24	31:12
53:11	51:24 52:23	38:18	<b>issue</b> 28:10	keeps 66:24
<b>Hoffler</b> 35:14,16	illegal 45:24	indivisible 3:13	47:11	<b>kept</b> 4:6
35:23 37:7	imagine 54:10	industry 36:16	<b>issued</b> 50:6	kind 31:3 37:3
40:6,15 51:7	impact 34:6	inevitable 56:17	items 24:7	60:24
Holloway 67:25	impacted 33:1	influence 22:25		<b>kindly</b> 16:7
home 15:20	46:14	23:2,6	J	<b>King</b> 47:25
honestly 21:24	impartial 56:4	information	Jackson 31:2	Kirastacia 68:5
honor 2:16	important 5:6	32:24 37:2,7	43:9,10,16,18	knew 28:10 45:8
68:17 69:2	6:3 10:7 13:5	37:11,20,23	44:3,10,20	know 9:12,13
hope 6:21	50:21	39:13,21 42:6	45:11 46:21,25	10:4 13:5
hoped 6:7	importantly	42:7,9 50:16	47:2,4 58:23	15:19 21:24
horrified 54:7	6:19 56:4	59:11	59:1,6,7,12	22:25 24:4
1011111CU J4./		57.11		

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Phone: 252-243-9000

Case 1:15-cv-00399-TDS-JEP Document 184-20 Filed 09/07/17 Page 77 of 86

25:25 26:22	length 28:20	literally 28:5	maintain 15:22	36:19
28:12 47:9	<b>lessen</b> 48:8	little 6:10 11:22	majority 54:11	<b>Martin</b> 8:24,25
49:18 53:14,14	<b>let's</b> 23:3	13:23 16:18	56:21	9:4,7,24 11:4,7
54:23 63:21	<b>letter</b> 34:4,5,9	21:8 27:5,22	majority/min	11:13 12:2,5,8
67:11,16	34:10,11,12,14	55:10,10,11	5:5	12:15 13:2,12
knowing 55:11	34:19	live 6:21 51:18	making 23:7,22	13:16,22 14:8
knowledge 37:3	level 23:4 59:21	loaded 37:11	56:15	14:21,24 15:17
37:21	<b>Lewis</b> 3:16,17	<b>located</b> 19:19	maliciously 8:9	16:3,13,23
<b>known</b> 48:20	3:20 4:9,18,22	location 33:8	<b>Malone</b> 68:3	17:20,23 18:4
<b>knows</b> 51:13,16	9:9,23 11:11	lock 30:7 31:24	<b>man</b> 2:24	18:24 19:2,9
	11:25 12:13,16	58:13 61:11,21	maneuver 24:2	19:23 20:4
L	13:1,20 14:7	long 25:15 26:4	<b>map</b> 6:6,10,16	47:24
lack 16:20	15:3,5,16	47:8 48:1,25	6:21,23 7:2,6,9	Maryland 55:2
Ladies 23:22	16:11,14,22	49:3	7:10,12,14,19	matter 26:2,3
29:17 47:6	18:2,5,13 19:7	long-term 25:18	8:2,3,5 9:9	<b>Maxwell</b> 68:6
lady 20:6,10	19:11,22 20:8	25:22	10:10,21 14:4	McDowell 68:18
32:6,18 69:2	20:11,13 21:4	longer 25:14	17:18 18:17	69:3
language 50:7	22:7,19 27:14	48:3 50:10	22:1,10 23:7	McNeil 62:11
53:3	27:15,19 30:11	look 6:6 9:20	24:1,9,21	<b>mean</b> 26:6 42:6
languish 56:25	30:13,19 31:21	10:17 13:4	26:12 31:10,11	meaningful
large 25:6 47:16	32:14 33:3,18	15:10,19 16:17	31:12,16 34:1	49:13
largely 33:6	34:7 35:4,8,17	18:7 19:12	34:1,20 35:19	means 51:3
40:21	36:12,23 37:5	28:19 50:11	35:21,21 36:1	measured 14:15
larger 24:21	37:16,25 38:13	51:15,20 64:9	37:8 38:23	Mecklenburg
largest 24:20	38:19 39:10,17	66:22	39:2,3,5,15,19	24:23 45:13,19
<b>law</b> 3:2 6:20	39:23 40:5,11	looked 19:17	40:2,7,16,19	52:15 58:18
9:14 34:13,16	40:18,23 41:8	53:20	41:3,6 42:11	67:19,21 68:4
49:25 56:23	41:19 42:1,16	looking 9:9,10	43:23,25 44:4	meet 5:22 17:3
lawsuit 28:3	42:22 43:14,20	9:16 10:6	44:7,8 48:17	17:15 64:15,21
laying 48:25	44:2,11,19	11:17 20:25	50:7 51:8 52:5	meeting 64:22
leave 52:17 66:7	45:12,13,21	53:21	52:6 53:10	65:14
led 49:8	57:12,13,23	looks 15:10	55:8 58:2,3,6	meets 14:20
Lee 10:2 32:4	58:10,20,21	19:13 66:19	60:7,23 63:8	15:14
34:24	59:5,14,23	lord 2:19,23,24	63:15,17	<b>member</b> 28:6
left 34:19 35:11	60:9,10,13,18	lose 25:8	maps 5:17 7:8	39:1
67:11	61:4 62:10	lot 18:8 21:18	7:11,15,20	members 2:2,4
legal 52:21	64:2 67:2,4,6	22:24 23:2	10:22 16:2	2:7 3:10 4:1,2
legally 5:3	68:16,17	24:4 28:9	18:10,16 20:14	4:7,11,24 8:13
legislation 35:20	liberty 3:14	33:24 47:14	20:17,21,22	14:17 18:20
57:1	lift 2:19 3:1,3	54:20 67:11	21:2,11 24:5	25:14,15,20
legislative 6:9	light 28:11	Louis 57:17	25:24 33:1,13	27:20 31:7,16
35:22,22 50:17	limitations 53:5	love 2:14	33:17,23 35:14	38:16,21 39:2
56:16 57:8	<b>limits</b> 25:18	Luther 47:25	38:17 40:8	39:4,6,14,21
legislators 36:18	<b>line</b> 30:14,14		41:4,7,11 42:8	57:25 60:19
legislature 5:9	44:24 45:3,4,8	M	48:21 49:6,13	61:3 62:2,3,5,8
6:6 24:14,19	lines 23:16,17	<b>machine</b> 30:7	49:22 51:2,5	62:20 64:7
26:15 42:7	30:15 37:22	31:24 58:13	51:17 55:6	65:12,22 67:11
legislatures	41:25 51:4	61:11,21	57:2	68:10 69:8
50:22,25	<b>listen</b> 6:13	magic 50:25	maptitude 36:16	<b>memory</b> 68:18
	<u> </u>			<b>v</b> - · -

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

69:3	22:8 23:9,13	national 47:13	numbers 21:25	11:21 12:21
mention 12:24	23:18 27:13,17	nationwide	number 8 21.25	24:12
mentioned 7:18	29:9,13,24	36:17	0	options 28:13
16:16 59:14	30:17 31:18	<b>nature</b> 56:12	o'clock 63:5	<b>Orange</b> 20:6,10
mercy 2:14	32:12,16 34:23	<b>nearly</b> 55:24	64:9 68:20,24	68:2,5
mercy 2.14 message 62:17	35:2,6 36:4,21	necessary 42:5	<b>object</b> 63:14	order 2:2 4:1,25
met 28:19 30:22	36:24 37:14,17	45:18 48:18	objected 45:5	4:25 5:12,15
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>need</b> 7:25 66:18	<b>objection</b> 61:14	4.23 3.12,13 5:21 7:25 8:1
<b>Meyer</b> 68:2,6	38:7,11,14		63:13 64:23	
Michaux 38:8,9	39:8,11,25	<b>needed</b> 20:18	obvious 53:9	8:16 22:22
38:15,20 39:7	40:13,24 41:17	46:17 48:22	obvious 55.5	35:13,24 37:24
39:12,18,22	41:20 42:14,17	<b>negative</b> 30:9	53:18,25	41:6,23 42:20
40:1,10,14,22	43:8,12,15	32:2 58:15	<b>October</b> 66:9,10	50:6 53:2
40:25 41:9,16	46:23 47:1	61:13,23	66:12,19	62:19 65:22,25
41:21 42:2,12	55:17,21 57:11	<b>new</b> 21:2 24:24	offer 44:1	ordered 45:21
42:18 43:7	57:15,21 58:7	26:5 52:14	offered 2:6 6:10	46:9 61:24,25
65:18,19,24	58:24 59:2	68:7	office 25:14	64:24
66:6,13,20,23	60:12 61:6,16	newer 25:20	oh 2:24 67:6	ordering 52:12
mid-decade	64:11,18 65:4	night 47:22		orders 50:4
52:11	65:11,21 66:2	<b>nine</b> 10:18 11:3	<b>Okay</b> 32:20	original 22:11
military 3:2	66:9,16,22	12:1 14:20	63:15	ought 26:17
mind 14:3 16:15	67:5 68:10,25	17:8,9 18:22	once 10:13	outcome 21:24
16:20 21:10,24	69:9	26:3 49:20	19:11 34:6	22:25 23:6
minority 54:17	<b>motion</b> 3:16	<b>no-vote</b> 65:13	63:9	44:21 70:12
54:19	67:2 68:16	<b>nominee</b> 21:20	ones 18:8 24:22	outside 25:4
minus 7:1 10:9	<b>motors</b> 24:10	<b>non-use</b> 49:20	40:16,20 51:21	60:1
minutes 47:7	move 26:16,18	nonpartisan	55:2	overall 17:18
misspeak 10:7	26:18 64:20	56:5	online 49:12	44:5
misspoke 14:9	68:19,22	normal 65:9	Onslow 25:1	overrides 62:24
31:1,4	<b>moved</b> 3:19	<b>north</b> 1:1,3,11	44:12	
moderation	<b>moves</b> 3:20	4:12,13 5:5	<b>open</b> 30:3 31:22	P
55:10	23:15 30:13	10:2 17:1 28:1	58:11 61:10,20	<b>p.m</b> 1:12 68:20
moment 4:3	69:1	28:14 50:13	<b>opinion</b> 27:1	68:24 69:6,11
65:21 67:3,5	<b>moving</b> 23:24	51:8 52:5,9,22	33:20 50:1,12	pack 21:13
momentarily	27:5,7 62:2	54:2,3 55:4	59:17	35:12
62:13 63:9	<b>multiple</b> 62:14	56:2,2,21	opponent 26:19	<b>packs</b> 51:2
Monday 1:12	municipal 8:4	61:15 70:1,6	opportunities	<b>page</b> 23:16
<b>money</b> 23:3	15:22	Notary 70:5,20	48:8	30:14,14 50:11
months 47:13	municipalities	70:21	<b>oppose</b> 47:9	pages 59:17 67:7
51:7	8:3 31:12 46:5	<b>note</b> 5:6 6:2	opposed 3:22	67:8,10,13,14
<b>MOORE</b> 2:1		50:25	24:16 25:19	67:18 68:11
3:15,20 4:14	<u> </u>	<b>notes</b> 10:6	30:2 31:22	70:7
4:20 8:21 9:2,6	<b>name</b> 3:9 23:4	noticed 44:11	56:11 58:11	<b>pair</b> 12:3 28:25
11:5,9,12 12:6	67:15	notices 65:16	61:9,19 69:9	<b>paired</b> 17:6
12:11,14 13:14	<b>named</b> 40:8	66:25	optimal 10:11	29:20
13:18,21 14:22	names 47:22	November 5:18	28:2,2,4	pairing 28:23
15:1,4 16:4,6,9	Nash 44:13	number 10:5,7,8	optimization	pale 54:8
16:12 17:21,25	Nate 68:8	10:12,13 29:6	7:24	parameters 7:13
18:3,25 19:5,8	nation 2:17,18	34:15 55:5	<b>optimum</b> 10:5,7	<b>pardon</b> 66:14
20:5,9,12 22:4	3:13 25:17	67:12 70:21	<b>option</b> 11:17,20	<b>Parker</b> 68:3
, ,	I			I

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

<b>Pitt</b> 44.12	59.8	1.22	production 16:2
			program 36:19
	-		prohibition 53:7
	-	-	<b>proper</b> 49:24
		-	proposal 30:23
	-		proposals 6:20
-	<b>▲</b>		56:24
	,		
-		· -	<b>proposed</b> 23:25 24:9 26:12
<b>▲</b>	-	- 0	57:2
		- •	
	-		proposes 28:25
-	• • •	-	proposing 54:8
· ·		-	propound 59:3
▲ ´		-	protect 3:1
,		-	protecting 25:19
,			25:22
			protection 8:7
,			12:19 17:7
			18:9,11,19
	-	57:5	<b>proud</b> 6:16
50:3 53:21	possible 15:24	principles 9:14	provided 5:22
54:3,5,10,12	19:18 52:16	prior 8:4 38:22	5:23 6:14
54:16 55:12,16	<b>post</b> 68:14	39:1,21 40:21	21:13 31:4
57:19,20 59:20	potential 22:11	50:13	provides 23:5
59:25 60:3,3	50:23 51:24	priority 14:5,9	provision 9:13
60:21 63:6,15	praise 2:13	probably 15:24	12:25 52:4
planning 62:20	pray 2:11,17 3:3	38:23 44:23,25	psalm 2:22
		47:7 49:9	<b>public</b> 5:17,23
59:15		65:15 67:13	5:24 6:2,6,12
<b>play</b> 50:21	L V	problem 25:23	8:15 32:22,25
	-	49:5	39:4 40:21
1 0			47:13,16,23
		- 0	48:25 49:9
	1		54:22 56:6
	,	-	70:6,20
			publication
	-	, ·	40:21
-			<b>publicly</b> 47:18
	-		published 60:15
•			60:19
-			pulverized
		-	50:14
	-		<b>purely</b> 57:25
	-	· ·	
	-	,	purpose 8:23
	,		11:5 12:6
48:2,18 53:8 53:17		-	13:15 14:23
<u>ייר ר</u>	59:22 60:6	35:21	16:5 17:22
	mmomore (1.10	mma dur 10 10	10.1 20.5 22.0
<b>pointed</b> 46:3 48:14 57:3	prepare 64:10 PREPARED	<b>producing</b> 10:10 <b>product</b> 36:10	19:1 20:5 23:9 25:10 27:13
	54:16 55:12,16 57:19,20 59:20 59:25 60:3,3 60:21 63:6,15 <b>planning</b> 62:20 <b>plans</b> 8:5 54:24 59:15 <b>play</b> 50:21 <b>pleadings</b> 51:11 <b>please</b> 2:4,8 4:2 4:5 31:16 32:11 58:22 67:8,15 68:10 <b>pledge</b> 2:9 3:11 <b>plus</b> 6:25 10:9 51:9 <b>pockets</b> 51:8 <b>point</b> 6:3 9:25 10:21 15:18 17:17 21:12 22:23 27:23 29:18,20 44:1 44:18 45:25 48:2,18 53:8	Pittman 23:10 23:11,15,20 29:10,11,15 30:2pointless 51:15 points 34:10 53:23 54:15,21 polarized 5:4 polarized 5:4 political 45:3,9 52:22 53:12,13place 37:19 44:1553:23 54:15,21 polarized 5:4 political 45:3,9 52:22 53:12,13place 37:19 44:1553:18 political 45:3,9 52:22 53:12,13placement 44:24 plaintiffs 33:12 33:15,15,21,23 34:1 48:15political 45:3,9 52:22 53:12,13placement 44:24 plaintiffs 54:12 60:7 60:7politics 52:20 Polsby-Popper 7:13 14:16 31:14 44:8 politics 52:20plan 5:6,13 6:18 r:24 8:11,13 8:14,19 27:21 30:25 36:14 44:6 47:10,12 44:24 45:7 44:24 45:7 44:24 45:7 53:2 position 56:10 possible 15:24 19:18 52:16 post 68:14 potential 22:11 50:23 51:24 post 68:14 potential 22:11 50:23 51:24 presice 21:3 pray 2:11,17 3:3 3:5,8,8 prayer 2:6 precinct 23:24 26:19 27:2,3 46:5,17,18 predominant 41:24 predominant 41:24 predominant 41:24 predominant 41:24 predominant 41:24 preface 21:7 preface 21:7 preface 21:7 preface 21:6 prefired 10:20 11:21,24 12:20 48:2,18 53:8	Pittman 23:10 23:11,15,20 29:10,11,15pointless 51:15 points 34:10preparing 37:8 present 33:230:2 30:2jolarized 5:4 polarized 5:4jresented 33:2 38:17 40:16place 37:19 44:15jolarized 5:4 52:22 53:12,13jresemt 33:2 sitsplacement 44:24 plaintiffs 33:12 33:15,15,21,2356:9 politics 52:20jretending 50:9 pretury 9:20 24:6jati 48:15 plan 5:6,13 6:18 8:14,19 27:21 30:25 36:14politics 52:20 7:11 0:12 17:3 31:14 44:8 population 6:24 prevail 56:19 prevail 56:19 prevail 56:19 previus 49:4jati 44:6 47:10,12 44:24 45:7 57:544:6 47:10,12 position 56:10 57:544:6 47:10,12 44:24 45:7 57:19,20 59:20 59:15 postible 15:24 primarily 40:19 primary 17:8 57:5janning 62:20 post 68:14 previct 23:24 planing 62:20 play 50:21plaese 2:4,8 4:2 4:5 31:16 play 50:21 pleadings 51:11 pledge 2:9 3:11 predominant predominant predominant predominant predominant procees 5:11,25 6:10 produces 7:19play 50:21 pledings 51:11 pledge 2:9 3:11 precinct 31:16 predominant predominant predominant predominant produce 5:17 6:6 21:3 produce 5:2

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

29:9 32:3,6	43:11,13,19	34:10 57:16	53:5 56:7,24	reminds 2:22
34:23 38:7	57:3 58:23	59:16,17 61:14	57:19,20 62:4	<b>remote</b> 6:15
43:8 55:17	59:4	reading 61:8,13	63:7,8,15	remove 24:15
57:11 58:17,19	quickest 36:8	61:18,24 63:12	64:15,17 65:13	removed 62:25
64:25 65:17	quickly 35:24	63:14,16	redraw 5:14	<b>renames</b> 58:6
purposes 44:24	<b>quite</b> 32:23	realign 4:11	20:21	<b>Reock</b> 7:14
45:7 62:20	<b>1</b>	<b>realize</b> 10:20	redrawing	31:13 44:7
63:18	<b>R</b>	<b>reason</b> 9:22 14:4	45:17 52:13	<b>repeat</b> 14:16
pursuant 62:12	race 8:8 20:21	35:11,15 41:12	redrawn 20:18	repeatedly
<b>push</b> 37:4	41:6,15,23,24	reasonable 55:8	48:22	55:25
<b>put</b> 2:23,23,24	42:8,11,20	reasoning 19:20	reemphasize	repeating 66:24
18:20 19:25	43:1,2,4 45:16	reasons 16:20	31:4	<b>report</b> 15:19
37:19 39:3	45:23 47:11	45:5 52:19,22	<b>refer</b> 46:10	reporter 4:4,6
40:16 54:18	48:3 49:24	recall 12:2 33:4	referred 50:2	70:5
56:10 63:1	53:21 59:11	33:7,25 34:9	<b>reflect</b> 33:17	<b>REPORTER'S</b>
puts 54:16	races 20:15	34:19,19 38:25	reflects 8:14,15	70:4
putting 25:24	21:14	receive 2:21	8:16	<b>reports</b> 63:22
27:2 54:25	racial 35:11,13	5:22 49:11	<b>refuse</b> 52:19	representative
	37:21 41:5,11	received 6:4	<b>refused</b> 51:22,25	2:7,10,11 3:16
Q	42:4 45:22,25	25:9 34:6	refusing 50:8	3:17,20 4:18
question 9:24	46:1 47:20	39:19 47:24	51:19	4:22 8:24,25
10:16 11:8,10	48:5 49:20,22	receiving 34:9	<b>refute</b> 42:10	9:4,7,9,23,24
11:15 12:1,10	49:23 50:3,8	recognized 3:16	regard 15:8	11:4,7,11,13
13:2,17,19	51:3,4,6,17	22:5 23:13,18	regarding 6:9	11:25 12:2,5,8
14:8,25 15:2,8	54:13,19 59:15	29:13 30:11	Regina 1:22	12:13,15,16
15:17 16:8,10	59:24 60:6,15	47:2 55:21	70:5,20	13:1,2,12,16
16:23 17:24	racially 5:4 41:5	57:21 64:2,4	regretful 29:2	13:20,22 14:7
18:1,14 19:6	42:19 48:7	64:12 65:4,23	reiterate 15:24	14:8,21,24
19:23 20:8	50:14	67:2 68:16	Reives 32:4	15:3,5,16,17
21:5,6,12,22	racist 47:21	recommend	34:24,25 35:7	16:3,6,11,13
22:6,20 29:25	radical 54:9	58:1	35:18 36:3,6	16:14,22,23
31:19 32:17,19	<b>raise</b> 23:3	<b>reconvene</b> 68:20	36:20,25 37:13	17:20,23 18:2
32:22 33:4,12	Raleigh 1:11	68:23 69:6	37:18 38:5	18:4,5,13,24
33:19 34:4,8	6:13	reconvening	related 70:10	19:2,7,9,22,23
35:18 36:13	ramifications	66:10	<b>relates</b> 34:4 52:9	20:4,6,7,7,11
37:6 38:1,10	45:4	record 5:2 10:22	relative 21:16	20:13,13 21:4
38:20 39:18	Randolph 25:2	30:7,22 31:24	release 38:22	21:4 22:2,4,7,9
41:9 42:13,23	<b>range</b> 14:14	41:14 47:19	39:1	22:19,20 23:8
44:3,20 45:12	25:4	58:13 60:16	released 49:16	23:10,11,15,20
58:8 60:11	rarely 49:21	61:11	relevant 50:16	24:12,15 26:20
61:7,17 66:14	ratification	recordings 6:14	remain 2:8 24:2	26:23 27:3,14
questioned 20:3	63:17	recruiting 49:4	53:1 55:3	27:15,19 29:10
questions 9:1	<b>ratio</b> 21:3	redeemer 2:25	remaining 46:12	29:11,15,21,21
12:12 15:7	<b>Ray</b> 62:11	redistrict 52:11	46:13	30:1,4,4,5,5,10
32:11,13,25	<b>re-draw</b> 50:5	redistricting	remarks 5:18	30:13,19 31:2
35:1,5,10 36:5	<b>reach</b> 25:4	5:10 6:17 9:14	remember	31:21 32:4,7,8
36:22 37:15	reached 31:6	27:21 40:3,17	20:19 49:2	32:14,18,20
39:9,24 40:12	read 3:25 4:8	42:10 46:7,10	60:7	33:3,4,10,18
41:18 42:15	23:14 30:12	47:10 50:17,21	remind 65:11	34:3,7,21,24

www.RuffinConsulting.com

34:25 35:4,7	republicans	right 26:9 38:5	Saturday 31:5	26:1 40:21
35:17,18 36:3	20:16,16,23	63:19,25 64:8	49:16 60:24	49:10 59:14,22
36:6,12,20,23	20:10,10,23	67:17	savior 3:9	60:3,5,20,22
36:25 37:5,13	45:1	<b>rightly</b> 49:7	savior 3.9 saw 13:7 18:20	selected 18:23
37:16,18,25		<b>rights</b> 26:5 50:9	22:11	21:19,21
	request 60:8	50:13,20		,
38:5,8,9,13,15	requests 51:12	,	<b>saying</b> 22:24 41:21	<b>selecting</b> 56:10
38:19,19,24	require 23:24 45:24	<b>rise</b> 8:24 11:6 12:7 20:6		56:11
39:7,10,12,17			says 41:22 42:7	self-district
39:18,22,23	required 7:4	23:10 27:14	50:15,19 52:24	56:17
40:1,5,7,10,11	8:11 10:4 25:4	29:10 32:4,7	scaffold 51:8	self-interest
40:14,15,18,22	52:16	34:24 38:8	schedule 49:3	56:17
40:23,25 41:8	requirement	43:9 47:9	62:21 66:1	Senate 56:3
41:9,16,19,21	6:24 17:3	55:18 57:12	scheme 28:2	61:25 62:1,17
42:1,1,12,16	requires 5:13	58:18,20 65:1	scientists 53:13	63:7,14,17
42:18,22 43:7	requiring 50:4	65:18	score 7:13,14,14	Senate's 64:16
43:9,10,14,16	research 24:7	Robeson 17:12	14:16 17:18	send 23:11,14
43:18 44:2,3	resolution 66:14	25:3 44:12	31:13,14 44:7	30:11 57:13,16
44:10,19,20	66:15,17	robust 5:1,25	44:9	sent 28:22 30:1
45:11,12,21	respect 4:7	Rogers 30:4	scores 31:6	31:20 34:5
46:21,25 47:2	15:22	62:11	43:22,25	58:9 61:24
47:4 55:18,19	respected 26:6	<b>role</b> 50:21	seat 10:6,8	62:1,16
55:23 57:12,13	respectfully	<b>room</b> 64:16 65:9	18:21	sentiment 56:8
57:15,17,23	20:2 29:1	<b>Rowan</b> 19:12	seated 28:6	separate 9:18
58:10,18,20,21	34:11 58:5	20:2 23:23	seats 2:2 19:25	50:4
58:22 59:1,5,6	respects 8:4	25:2 26:25	22:12,12 26:10	September 5:14
59:7,12,13,23	respond 38:10	<b>RUFFIN</b> 1:23	46:13 53:24	66:15
60:2,9,10,13	response 5:12	<b>rule</b> 4:21 52:13	54:3,6	Sergeant-At
60:14,17,18	11:16 47:17	52:15 62:12	<b>second</b> 7:2 29:12	2:3 6:14
61:4,5 62:5,6,7	<b>rest</b> 8:6	68:21 69:5	29:14 33:12	<b>series</b> 43:10
62:7,8,14 64:1	<b>result</b> 20:22	<b>ruled</b> 42:4	49:19 53:20	44:11 58:23
64:3,5,12,14	34:1	<b>rules</b> 11:2 56:25	61:8,13 63:12	<b>serve</b> 25:10,25
65:1,2,6,18,19	resulted 54:6	64:20	63:16 66:12	served 23:1 29:6
65:24 66:6,13	results 22:1	ruling 41:10	seconded 69:1	serves 24:3
66:20,23 67:2	33:16	42:24 46:15,16	<b>Section</b> 50:20,23	service 25:9
67:4,6,20,21	retained 7:21	<b>run</b> 26:7,9,17	51:24 52:24	services 23:5
67:22,24,25	retire 2:3	60:25	<b>secure</b> 35:12	serving 67:9
68:1,2,3,5,6,8	return 53:1	<b>runs</b> 15:11	see 8:25 9:17	session 1:1
68:9,15,17,19	68:14		11:7 12:9	48:23 63:3
69:2,4	<b>review</b> 33:24	<u> </u>	13:16 14:24	64:19 65:12,13
representatives	reviewing 33:24	<b>S</b> 20:15	16:7 17:3,23	69:11
1:1 4:9 24:18	<b>Rhea</b> 67:20	sacrifices 28:12	19:2 38:9	set 10:14 56:16
25:10 52:25	<b>Richard</b> 68:18	sadly 57:1	39:15 44:14	68:21 69:5
56:12	69:3	safe 18:9 66:19	49:13 51:17,17	70:15
reproduce 36:1	Richardson	66:20	52:3 55:13	<b>seven</b> 50:3
republic 3:12	32:7,8,18,20	<b>safety</b> 3:3,6	57:6	<b>share</b> 31:3 60:24
54:15	33:10 34:3,21	Sampson 13:25	seek 2:20 39:13	shared 61:2
republican	62:11	16:17 17:2	39:20 55:24	shift 13:23
21:21 44:17	Richmond	44:13	seen 6:21 7:8	shifted 20:15
54:7 55:2 64:7	27:25	<b>Sanota</b> 68:4	21:25 24:5	<b>short</b> 21:22

www.RuffinConsulting.com

34:17 57:18	46:8	50:7,22 59:11	starting 45:25	submission
Shorthand 70:5	speaker 2:1 3:15	59:13 66:4	state 9:11,12	48:17
shortly 8:18	3:17,20 4:14	specifically 5:8	10:5,8 13:5,14	submitted 10:22
shown 55:10	4:19,20,23,24	9:11 11:15	13:24 14:6,22	30:24,25 33:23
shows 12:22	8:20,21 9:2,5,6	39:20 56:14	16:4 17:21	34:2 40:3
side 49:5 60:22	9:8 11:4,5,9,12	specify 45:6	18:25 19:4	submitting
signers 34:12	11:14 12:5,6,9	<b>speech</b> 47:25	24:21 25:17	30:15
significant 5:4	12:11,14 13:13	speedometer	28:4 32:24	substantial 36:9
57:6	13:14,18,20,21	51:15	42:4 46:6	substituting
signify 5:8	14:21,22 15:1	<b>spent</b> 28:9 33:24	47:18 48:3	23:17
silence 2:4	15:4 16:3,4,7,9	51:7,10	51:10,11 70:1	suggest 10:18
<b>similar</b> 51:20	16:12 17:20,21	<b>spirit</b> 18:22	70:6	<b>sum</b> 54:15
<b>simple</b> 39:13	17:25 18:3,24	<b>split</b> 20:25 31:15	<b>stated</b> 6:20	summarize 47:8
54:11	18:25 19:5,8	44:11,15 45:7	17:19 30:24	super 54:17
simply 5:20	19:10 20:5,9	46:4,17,18	statements 57:4	supermajority
17:16 27:2	20:12 22:4,8	splits 7:10,19,20	states 3:12 52:11	48:11
58:2 59:3	23:9,13,18,21	8:3 44:21 52:5	55:1,2	<b>support</b> 8:19
single 19:16	27:13,17,20	splitting 11:18	statewide 5:24	27:11 31:10,16
44:15	29:9,13,16,24	spoke 38:25	44:5 53:21	supporting 42:5
<b>sir</b> 13:12,22 18:2	30:17,20,21	<b>spoken</b> 55:25	statistics 35:12	<b>Supreme</b> 49:20
20:4,11 22:7	31:18 32:9,12	<b>sponsor</b> 9:1,8	37:22 59:15,22	<b>sure</b> 10:6 26:24
36:23 59:21	32:15,16,21	11:8,14 12:9	status 25:19	37:20 42:2
60:12	34:22,23 35:2	13:17 14:25	stay 55:9	51:23 63:21
<b>sites</b> 6:15	35:6 36:4,21	15:6 16:7	<b>Stein</b> 54:2,6	67:10
situation 17:5	36:24 37:14,17	17:24 19:3,5	<b>step</b> 67:15	suspend 4:21
46:9	38:6,7,11,14	32:10 35:1	stepped 32:5	suspended
<b>six</b> 8:10	39:8,11,25	43:11 67:20,21	Stevens 62:6	64:20
Sixth 8:3	40:13,24 41:17	67:22,23,25	Stevenson 6:24	<b>switch</b> 25:7
<b>size</b> 25:3	41:20 42:14,17	68:1,2,3,4,6,7	7:7,10 11:2	T
<b>slate</b> 46:2,3	43:8,12,15,19	68:9	53:4,4	-
slightly 43:25	46:23 47:1,5	sponsored 67:13	stipulation	take 2:2 17:15
44:4	55:17,21 56:7	spread 9:17,19	68:21	19:3,12 40:25
smaller 24:25	56:7,8 57:5,10	12:22	stipulations	59:21 63:7,11
solely 19:15	57:11,15,21,24	squinting 15:9	69:5	64:16
solve 28:11	57:25 58:7,21	stack 21:13	Stone 68:5	<b>taken</b> 53:2 59:16
somebody 29:19	58:24 59:1,2,6	35:12 51:2	stood 20:19	63:23
son 3:9	60:11,12,14	staff 58:2 59:25	stop 53:18	takes 15:10,12
sorry 9:18	61:5,6,16 64:6	stand 2:8 62:13	strength 2:25	54:20
sort 21:6 25:16	64:11,18 65:4	69:10	stretch 16:25	talk 24:11 27:22 33:22 39:2
36:16 37:22	65:7,11,21	standard 36:17	stretches 10:1	
sounded 49:1	66:2,9,16,22	68:21 69:5	17:12 27:25	<b>talked</b> 18:6 38:21,23 43:21
<b>source</b> 60:1	67:4,5 68:10	standing 2:9	Strickland	talking 16:15
south 10:1 16:25	68:17,22,25	26:1	67:23	54:15,21 66:6
27:25 28:16	69:9	stands 3:13	<b>strict</b> 53:7	talks 50:12
48:2	speakers 6:8	<b>Stanly</b> 44:13	<b>Strike</b> 61:25	59:18
southeast 15:11	<b>special</b> 48:23	67:24	<b>struck</b> 49:21	<b>Tanner</b> 67:23
southern 15:12	62:17	<b>start</b> 17:14 47:10	54:24 55:6	target 21:10,24
southwest 15:13	<b>specific</b> 5:1 6:10		subject 9:15	task 52:2 56:1
<b>speak</b> 29:11,14	10:16,25 33:7	started 46:2	68:21 69:4	usix 52.2 50.1
L	•	•	•	•

www.RuffinConsulting.com

	-	-	-	-
tasked 14:19	thing 25:16 26:5	24:11 33:17	<b>turn</b> 48:10	43:1 45:23
Taylor 68:5	things 15:18	36:2 47:10,24	<b>Turner</b> 68:9	50:8 51:13
technical 58:1	23:2,4,6 37:22	48:12 49:14,19	turning 41:2	
<b>Ted</b> 62:7	45:1 46:4	51:2 53:19	<b>two</b> 7:11,15,20	V
tell 6:22 21:9	think 6:2 11:20	54:2,16,25	8:5 9:21 11:19	various 12:18
45:17 49:11	12:18 17:1	58:3 62:21	12:24 19:14,25	34:13 44:22
telling 14:3	19:16 22:10,23	67:7	24:25 25:5,7	<b>vast</b> 56:21
51:14	26:17,22 28:18	today's 62:22,25	29:1,19 38:10	verbatim 70:7
<b>ten</b> 21:13,14	31:10,11,12	told 26:11 51:25	50:4 53:16	<b>versa</b> 23:25
26:3	34:13 35:25	tomorrow 63:2	two-year 25:25	versus 6:25 7:7
tend 21:17,18	36:14,15 43:19	63:2,5,6,6,10	type 48:4	11:18
term 25:18 26:1	47:15,19 63:4	64:14,19 65:12		<b>veto</b> 62:24
terms 21:19	third 7:6 10:24	top 14:4,9 55:3	U	vice 23:25 62:6
25:25 29:6	52:3 61:14,18	<b>Toppins</b> 1:22	<b>U</b> 20:15	62:7,8
34:12	61:23 63:12,16	70:5,20	ultimately 56:19	Victoria 68:1
<b>test</b> 7:17	Thomas 68:18	Torbit 62:11	unaffected 7:22	<b>violate</b> 37:24
testimony 51:12	69:3	total 5:15 7:21	unaltered 53:1	52:15
Texas 3:5	thoroughly	touch 45:14,24	unchanged	violating 45:10
thank 3:6 4:18	34:10	52:17	52:17,19	52:4
4:22 8:20 9:7,8	thought 66:13	touched 46:8	unconstitutio	violations 50:24
9:23 11:13,14	66:14	town 31:15	20:18 41:3,4	51:24
11:25 12:8,15	thoughtful 8:15	transcribe 4:5	41:11 45:16	Visitors 2:2
13:1,12,22	three 9:17 11:18	transcribed	48:21 50:5	<b>voices</b> 48:9
14:7,24 15:5	12:22 13:9	70:9	51:7 52:18	<b>vote</b> 3:24 17:16
15:16 16:6,13	19:18 26:2,7	TRANSCRIPT	understand	21:18 26:13
16:22 18:4,13	29:19 32:11,13	1:8	25:23 29:18	29:1,7 30:2,3,3
19:9,11,22	40:20 50:6	transcription	42:2	30:8 31:21,22
20:4 21:5	53:22 62:24	70:8	understanding	31:23,25 33:14
22:19 23:8,20	throne 2:12	translate 36:18	41:12 42:24	58:5,10,11,12
27:12,19 29:15	Thursday 63:19	traversal 20:1	44:4 70:10	58:14 61:9,9
29:23 30:19	63:24 65:15	traversals 7:9	undertake 50:22	61:10,12,19,19
32:8,20 33:3	66:1,3,7	13:8 34:15	undesired 26:19	61:20,22
33:10,18 34:3	<b>time</b> 5:22 23:1	52:7	<b>undo</b> 24:1	voted 30:8 32:1
34:7,21,22	25:15 28:9	treatment 25:6	unfortunate	58:14 61:12,22
35:7,17 36:6	29:12,14 32:17	tried 27:5 45:1	29:2 52:3	<b>voters</b> 24:11,13
36:12 37:5,18	33:24 35:15	47:8 49:2	unfortunately	24:16,17 25:11
37:25 38:5,6	37:23 48:19	<b>trigger</b> 41:14,23	33:7 47:12	26:11,14,24
38:20 39:17	49:2,16 51:21	trump 52:22	<b>United</b> 3:12	48:9 51:9
41:8 42:22	53:25 55:11	trust 2:23,24	universe 52:21	56:10
43:7,18 44:2	61:14 64:8,21	truth 2:15	unnecessary	<b>votes</b> 63:3,5
44:10,19 46:21	timeframe 64:9	<b>try</b> 3:1 14:16	24:2 52:7	65:12,14
47:4 55:16	<b>timeline</b> 5:13,21	31:5 35:8,9	untrained 16:18	<b>voting</b> 5:4 50:9
57:10,23 59:5	times 50:4 60:4	39:12 46:17	<b>upset</b> 24:6	50:13,14,20 55:16 63:20
59:6,23 60:13	timetable 48:25	54:21	<b>urge</b> 31:16 <b>use</b> 7:17 8:7	<b>VRA</b> 46:10,13
60:14 61:4,5	<b>Timothy</b> 68:2	<b>trying</b> 4:4 17:13		<b>VINA</b> 40:10,13
64:5,10 65:6,9	tired 25:18	28:9 34:18	10:13,24,24 21:8 25:24	W
thanksgiving	title 57:18	45:6	28:18 38:3	Wagenseller
2:13	today 2:13,17	<b>Tuesday</b> 5:25	41:14 42:8,11	68:7
<b>theory</b> 34:16	4:24 5:11,20	68:20,23 69:6	41.14 42.0,11	
	1	1	1	I

www.RuffinConsulting.com

Walso 9.24 0.2	Wednesdeyla			41.2.25.46.10
Wake 8:24 9:3	Wednesday's	works 28:12	0	41:3,25 46:10
18:20 24:23	63:1	55:13	<b>0.5</b> 31:14	48:6,13 50:1,2
31:1,2,7 43:9	week 47:16 60:3	world 53:13	<b>0108</b> 27:9	51:5 53:14
43:22 44:6	60:21 66:12	Worley 68:8	<b>019</b> 31:14	<b>2016</b> 21:8 22:16
45:13,20 46:11	67:9 68:11,13	wouldn't 16:15	<b>0404</b> 27:7	<b>2017</b> 1:12 27:21
47:1 52:15	weekend 60:23	16:19	<b>0405</b> 27:8	57:18,19 70:16
55:18 58:4,22	weeks 47:14	written 3:19,21	<b>0406</b> 27:8	2020 55:9
58:24 60:25	55:14	3:23 48:16	<b>0407</b> 27:8	<b>205</b> 62:24
61:2 64:11	weighed 11:23	wrong 44:18		<b>21</b> 23:16
65:1 68:3	18:9,11	WWW.RUFF	1	<b>23</b> 23:16
Walking 51:19	<b>welcome</b> 43:16	1:25	<b>1</b> 53:4 63:3,4	252-243-9000
want 27:23 47:9	welcoming	X	68:20	1:24
47:18 67:10	68:11	<u>A</u>	<b>1:00</b> 64:15,15	<b>25th</b> 3:18,21
wanted 47:10	went 21:20	Y	65:9,9	<b>26A</b> 62:12
wants 26:16	32:22 45:8	vear 21:2,19	<b>1:30</b> 1:12	<b>27</b> 23:16
warned 52:2	west 10:2 17:13	49:15 55:24	<b>10</b> 9:11,18 12:21	<b>28</b> 1:12
<b>wasn't</b> 37:20	WHEREOF		20:16,23 24:10	<b>28th</b> 70:16
water 7:3	70:15	<b>years</b> 26:3,4,4 51:11	47:7	<b>29th</b> 68:20,23
wave 67:15	White 68:19		<b>102</b> 30:8	69:6
way 3:8 4:20	69:2,4	<b>yield</b> 9:1,3,4	<b>105</b> 45:19	
5:18 10:20	<b>wholly</b> 56:3	11:8,9,11 12:9	<b>11</b> 20:24 22:17	3
12:17 19:21	wildly 54:19	12:11 13:17,18	<b>111</b> 58:14	<b>3</b> 20:16,23 30:14
21:17 28:23	willing 11:21	14:25 15:2	<b>12</b> 64:9	<b>3:07</b> 69:11
29:19 34:12	<b>winning</b> 54:6	16:7,9 17:24	<b>120,000</b> 24:13	<b>30</b> 7:21
39:3 41:25	<b>wins</b> 54:3	17:25 18:2	<b>1209</b> 27:9	<b>35%</b> 54:3
45:15 48:1	Winston-Salem	19:5,7 20:9	<b>1212</b> 27:9	<b>36</b> 45:20
49:13	15:21,23	22:5 32:13,14	<b>12D</b> 4:21	<b>37</b> 45:20
Wayne 9:10,16	Wisconsin 55:3	35:3,4 36:21	<b>14</b> 5:14	<b>39</b> 7:11 30:14
9:19,25 20:3	<b>wisdom</b> 2:21	37:14,16 38:12	<b>15.1</b> 68:22 69:5	4
64:3	wish 4:16 21:9	39:8,10,23	<b>151</b> 50:11	<b>4</b> 30:14
ways 2:15 21:18	46:24 56:20,20	40:11,23 41:17	<b>160</b> 59:17	
28:10	wishes 57:15,17	42:14 43:13,14	<b>19</b> 5:4,15 42:4	<b>40</b> 45:20
<b>we'll</b> 55:13	WITNESS	58:25	50:5	<b>41</b> 45:20
62:13 63:16	70:15	yields 9:6 11:12	<b>1st</b> 5:14	<b>42</b> 54:3
64:16 66:2,10	won 26:8 53:22	12:14 13:21		<b>44</b> 22:12
we're 2:18 5:11	54:2	15:4 16:12	2	<b>46</b> 21:1 32:1
15:21 46:9	wondered 16:15	18:3 19:8	<b>2</b> 30:15 53:4	<b>47</b> 61:12,22
55:5 58:15	16:19 33:11	20:12 22:8	63:4,5,5 68:23	<b>49</b> 7:20
63:4 64:7	wonderful 3:7	32:16 35:6	69:6	5
68:13	wondering	36:4,5,24	<b>2,050</b> 6:5	<b>5</b> 52:24
<b>we've</b> 46:19 56:9	44:17	37:17 38:14	<b>20</b> 26:4	<b>5%</b> 10:9 27:10
weather-related	word 2:18	39:11,25 40:13	<b>2000</b> 53:22	<b>50%</b> 51:9
3:4	words 11:20	40:24 41:20	<b>2003</b> 53:21,23	<b>511</b> 62:24
weave 13:9,9	50:25	42:17 43:15	59:19	<b>51</b> 02:24 <b>54</b> 53:25
Wednesday	work 36:9,9	59:2	200626300019	<b>54</b> 53:25 <b>56</b> 54:6
63:1,11,12,15	worked 31:5	Z	70:21	<b>50</b> 54:0 <b>576</b> 62:25
63:17,20,23	40:2 44:15	Zachary 62:12	<b>2010</b> 10:12	<b>570</b> 62:25 <b>5th</b> 48:21
64:8 65:8,9,15	working 33:13	<b>Zoe</b> 67:21	<b>2011</b> 5:3 20:14	<b>Jul 40.21</b>
66:1,7	63:23	20001.21	36:10,14 37:3	
	l	l	l	l

Ruffin Consulting, Inc.

<u> </u>	_		_
6			
<b>6</b> 20:16			
<b>64</b> 32:1			
<b>643</b> 64:16			
<b>65</b> 61:12,22			
<b>66</b> 53:24			
7			
<b>7</b> 20:15 30:8			
<b>7-county</b> 9:25 <b>74</b> 21:1			
<b>75</b> 14:14 15:9			
<b>76</b> 19:16 22:11			
<b>77</b> 22:17			
<b>79,462</b> 10:8			
<b>7th</b> 48:24			
8			
844:25			
<b>82</b> 19:17			
82 19.17 82nd 27:9			
83rd 27:8			
<b>83ru</b> 27:8			
9			
<b>90%</b> 26:11			
<b>927</b> 3:24 4:10			
44:1 61:8,13			
61:18,23 62:16			
<b>9th</b> 24:20			
<b>Jui</b> 24.20			