



Robeson County School Justice Partnership Memorandum of Agreement

PREAMBLE

WHEREAS, we recognize that all Robeson County law enforcement agencies, The Public Schools of Robeson County Board of Education, the Office of the District Attorney, Juvenile Justice and the District Court share responsibility for school safety and must work together with complementary policies and procedures to ensure a safe learning environment for Robeson County students. Collaboration between these major institutions and the professionals who represent them is essential; and

WHEREAS, the parties acknowledge and agree that this Agreement is a cooperative effort to establish guidelines for the handling of student misconduct including minor criminal acts which are referred to herein as Focus Acts; and

WHEREAS, students should be held accountable for their actions. When appropriate, a graduated response to misconduct that provides a continuum of services and increasingly more severe sanctions for continued misbehavior should be used.

WHEREAS, most student misconduct can be best addressed through classroom, in-school, family and community strategies and maintaining a positive climate within schools rather than by involvement of the justice community.

WHEREAS, the response to student misconduct should be reasonable, consistent, and fair with appropriate consideration given to relevant factors such as the age of student, the nature and severity of the incident and the impact of the conduct on the learning environment.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties agree to the following principles upon which this agreement is founded.

- A. Students, who do not commit more serious misconduct, should receive appropriate redirection and support from in-school and community resources prior to the consideration of the involvement of law enforcement, or referral to court. This does not excuse compliance with mandatory reporting laws and policies.
- B. Clarifying the responsibilities of school and law enforcement personnel with regard to non-emergency student misconduct at school and school-related events promotes the best interests of the student, the school system, law enforcement and the community at large.

- C. Implementation of this plan will be promoted by regular meetings, multi-disciplinary trainings, annual evaluation of the plan, and an ongoing commitment to fostering the relationships that are the foundation of this agreement. The parties acknowledge that this agreement shall not inhibit, discourage or prevent individual victims of student misconduct from initiating criminal or juvenile charges against students, when they believe it is appropriate to do so, nor shall it affect the prosecution of such charges.
- D. This agreement is primarily focused on criminal or juvenile charges against students and shall not prevent or inhibit the disciplinary reassignment, suspension or expulsion of students, when appropriate, by Principals, the Superintendent of the Public Schools of Robeson County or the Robeson County Board of Education, when they deem it to be appropriate and in accordance with State law and the Policies of the Robeson County Board of Education. It shall not be necessary to implement a graduated response before students may receive a disciplinary reassignment, suspension or expulsion, when appropriate, in the discretion of school officials.
- E. School Resource Officers (SROs) are employees of their respective agency and are not agents of the Public Schools of Robeson County or Robeson Board of Education. Nothing in this agreement shall be construed to make the Robeson County Board of Education, its Board Members, employees or agents, liable for the acts or omissions of any SRO or other law enforcement officer.
- F. To the extent that this agreement conflicts with any applicable law or regulation, the applicable law or regulation shall control.
- G. Violations of this agreement shall not give rise to or be construed as creating a cause of action by any person against any party to this agreement or their employees or agents, nor shall such violations be considered negligence per se.
- H. Nothing in this agreement shall be construed as increasing the common law standard of care owed by the parties, or any of them, to any person. This agreement shall not be construed as to confer any additional benefit or right on students above what is owed to them by the Robeson County Board of Education under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (as amended), Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act or other law or regulation.
- I. No person is a third party beneficiary of this Agreement.

I. DEFINITIONS

As used in this Agreement, the term:

- A. "Code of Student Conduct" means the Public Schools of Robeson County Code of Student Conduct.
- B. "Exclusionary Discipline Practices" refers to suspension, expulsion, referral to the juvenile justice system, or initiation of criminal charges.
- C. "Focus Acts" are student misconduct that constitutes a criminal offense but will not automatically trigger the filing of a juvenile complaint or a criminal charge when committed by a student on public school property. For purposes of this Agreement, Focus Acts include but are not limited to the following offenses:

Affray (not including serious physical injury or weapons and no heightened level of concern)
Simple Assault (not involving school personnel and no heightened level of concern)

□ Disorderly Conduct
□ Misdemeanor Larceny
□ Simple Possession of Marijuana (personal use)
□ Possession of Drug Paraphernalia (personal use, related to use of marijuana, and no evidence
of sale)
□ Possession or smoking cigarettes or nicotine containing products including e-cigarettes or
vaping devices, being underage
□ Damage to property (real property or personal property, damage less than \$1,000, no
heightened level of concern)
□ Possession/ use of alcohol
□ Communicating threats (not involving school personnel and no heightened level of concern)

- D. "Graduated Response Model" is a tool that identifies appropriate interventions and consequences for school misconduct, including Focus Acts. The Robeson County SJP Graduated Response Model is attached to this Agreement as Appendix A.
- E. "Public School Property" means the physical premises of all school campuses and properties, active bus stops, all vehicles under the control of Robeson County Public Schools, and the premises of all school-sponsored curricular or extracurricular activities, both on or away from a school campus.
- F. "Student Misconduct" means any conduct which subjects a student to discipline, including an act that constitutes an infraction or criminal offense on public school property.
- G. "School Resource Officer" (SRO) means a certified law enforcement officer who is permanently assigned to provide coverage to a school or a set of schools.
- H. "Student" means a person duly enrolled in the Public Schools of Robeson County, regardless of age.
- "Warning Notice" means a document used by the SRO to notify a student that he or she has committed a Focus Act and to warn the student of the consequences of continued misconduct.

II. Terms of the Agreement

A. Establishment of the Robeson County School Justice Partnership

This Agreement establishes the Robeson County School Justice Partnership, comprised of the following agencies: Public Schools of Robeson County; Robeson County Sheriff's Office; Lumberton Police Department; Pembroke Police Department; Red Springs Police Department; St. Pauls Police Department; Juvenile Justice; Office of the District Attorney; Robeson County Department of Social Services; and the District Court.

The parties agree to:

- 1. Convene meetings on a schedule established by the parties.
- 2. Share this agreement with a copy to all school and law enforcement personnel;
- 3. Make efforts to provide necessary and regular staff training on implementation of the agreement;
- 4. Monitor implementation of the agreement;
- 5. Modify the agreement as appropriate and review at least annually;
- 6. Collect data and assess the effectiveness of the Agreement.

B. Key Factors in Making Disciplinary Decisions

When determining consequences for student misconduct, all relevant factors, including but not limited to the following factors, should be considered, if information is available:

- 1. Age, health, risk, needs and disability or special education status of the student.
- 2. Intent, context, prior conduct and record of behavior of the student.
- 3. Previous interventions with the student.
- 4. Student's willingness to repair the harm.
- 5. Parent's willingness to address any identified issues.
- 6. Seriousness of the incident and degree of harm caused.
- 7. The effect on the educational environment by the student's continued presence in school.

When determining consequences for student misconduct, race/ethnicity, gender, gender identity, sexual orientation, religion and national origin of the student and family will **NOT** be considered.

C. Graduated Response Model

Each school should utilize the Graduated Response Model that is in the district student code of conduct and attached to this agreement as Appendix A. The goal is to make sure all appropriate interventions, including positive interventions, have been pursued for every student before any involvement of law enforcement will be considered for student misconduct. This chart is to help professionals at each level of intervention understand the type of options available to them. It is understood that when there is serious misconduct, including but not limited to conduct involving weapons, violence, sexual misconduct, or drugs, there may be immediate law enforcement responses that are appropriate. Each school should utilize programs that support Multi-Tier Systems of Support (MTSS).

At each level of intervention it should be assessed whether the student misconduct is due to academic, mental health, or social issues. Note that many student behaviors could be a result of overlapping issues. For example, a student who is behind academically for not completing homework may be frustrated and acting out in class. But there also may be issues at home where the student is responsible for caring for younger siblings that could be addressed to help allow for homework time. Repeated punishments may not be effective if there are significant underlying causes to student misconduct and the student's presence in school is not interfering with the learning environment. When discipline is warranted there should be efforts to make it logical and natural. For example, if a student defaces property they could be required to pay damages. If a student skips class, suspension is not logical since the student will miss even more class time. Instead, making up missed assignments during learning center might be a better option.

<u>Classroom Intervention</u> - The classroom teacher has always played a prominent role in guiding, developing and reinforcing appropriate student conduct and is acknowledged as the first line in implementing the school discipline code and shaping school climate in the classroom. As such, this model begins with a range of classroom management techniques that should be implemented for minor classroom infractions prior to any other sanctions or interventions. Classroom intervention is managed by the teacher for student misconduct that is passive and non-threatening such as dress code violations or minor classroom rules violations. School Resource Officers (SROs) should not be involved at this level. Classroom intervention options might include redirection, re-teaching, school climate initiatives and moving seats. The teacher should initiate parental contact.

<u>School Administration Intervention</u> - Classroom interventions must be supported by school administrators who address more serious or repetitive student classroom misconduct and misconduct in school but outside

the classroom. Examples of student misconduct at this level include repetitive patterns, defacing school property, truancy, threats, and minor misconduct in hallways, bathrooms, courtyards and school buses. Administration intervention options might include, but are not necessarily limited to, time in the office, inschool suspension, redirection, loss of privilege, reparation, and/or parent conference. Referral to appropriate school or district social services may be justified.

School Based Team - When the behavior and needs of the student warrant, an assessment process and intervention with the use of school and community services may be appropriate. The intervention is managed by the school administrator with a school based team. The team should consist of individuals knowledgeable about the student including one or more teachers, administrators, support personnel, and when appropriate, family, the student and community members. Repetitive truancy or disobedience of school rules, and misconduct that interferes with others such as vandalism or harassment belong at this level, as well as misbehaving students who would benefit from service provision. Assessment and service intervention options should include any Classroom or School Administration interventions and might include referral to community service or a community based program, in-school suspension, expulsion or referral to court. Truant behavior should not lead to an out-of-school option. School Resource Officers can be involved in their role on the school based team.

<u>Law Enforcement Intervention</u> - Only when classroom, school, family and community options have been found ineffective (or in case of serious misconduct or an emergency) should the school involve law enforcement, including the SRO. Involvement of law enforcement does not necessarily mean arrest and referral to court. This intervention is managed by law enforcement. Misconduct at this level must be violations of criminal law. Law enforcement options may include verbal warning; written warning; conference with the student, parents, teachers and/or others; community agencies; community service; and criminal or juvenile charges.

D. Law Enforcement (non SRO) Activity at Schools

Non-SRO law enforcement officers should follow certain protocols when on school grounds in non-emergency circumstances as follows:

- 1. Law enforcement will endeavor to act through school administrators whenever they plan any activity on school grounds, when appropriate.
- 2. Law enforcement officers entering school grounds will be aware of the potential disruption of the educational process that law enforcement presence may cause.
- 3. Prior to entering a school to conduct an investigation, arrest or search, law enforcement officers will consider the necessity of such actions based on:
 - a. The potential danger to persons;
 - b. The likelihood of destruction of evidence or other property;
 - c. The ability to conduct the investigation, arrest or search elsewhere;
 - d. Other factors relevant to law enforcement and public safety.
- 4. When taking a student into custody:
 - a. Law enforcement officers should make reasonable efforts to avoid making arrests or taking students into custody on the school premises, when appropriate.
 - b. Whenever possible and appropriate, students should be taken into custody out of sight and sound of other students.
 - c. The safety of persons is the paramount concern and law enforcement officers shall not be limited by this agreement, if in their professional judgement, students should be taken into custody on school premises or in the sight or sound of other students.

E. Role of the School Resource Officer (SRO)

The mission of the SRO is to improve school safety and the educational climate at the school, not to enforce minor incidents of school discipline or punish students. The SRO's presence on school campus is desirable to reduce the likelihood of weapons, drugs, and persons with the intent to commit harm from entering upon the campus or to attempt to disable persons with intent to harm and confiscate weapons and drugs that enter campus premises. This primary safety concern could be compromised when SROs are removed from campus to transport students on Focus Acts or when distracted on campus by students committing Focus Acts. Except in case of a real and immediate threat to students, teachers or other school officials and in situations described herein where SRO intervention is deemed appropriate, school administrators shall handle student misconduct. School administrators should not direct SROs to act contrary to the terms or spirit of this Agreement.

F. Responding to Student Misconduct

- Focus Acts are considered school discipline issues to be handled by school officials and generally
 do not warrant the filing of a juvenile petition or criminal charge unless the student has committed
 at least two (2) separate prior Focus Acts within the same school calendar year as documented
 using the Warning Notice.
- 2. The Warning Notice is a discretionary tool that is not required unless the SRO deems it just and appropriate. The SRO should take into consideration factors that include, but are not limited to, the student's overall discipline record, academic record, nature of the offense, display of remorse, attitude toward others, and disciplinary action taken by the school administrator. A Warning Notice should not be issued without first consulting with an administrator to ascertain the disciplinary response of the administrator. The administrator's disciplinary response shall be given greater weight in mitigation of issuing a Warning Notice unless the nature of the act combined with the needs of the student warrant additional action and/or services. The decision to issue a warning notice shall be made on a case by case basis using SRO discretion as described above. School administrators should not direct the SRO to issue a Warning Notice, cite or arrest a student, or file a juvenile petition. The SRO may use verbal admonishments or counsel in lieu of a Warning Notice.
- 3. Commission of at least two (2) Focus Acts in the same school year may result in a referral to a graduated program made available by the school, juvenile court, or community. The SROs are not required to make a referral and may admonish and counsel or give another warning using the Warning Notice, or defer to the disciplinary action taken by the school administrator.
- 4. A referral is made using the Warning Notice and forwarded to the School Based Team. If it is serious enough to warrant juvenile justice intervention or criminal charges, the SRO follows appropriate procedures.
- 5. The commission of the third Focus Act in the same school year may result in the filing of a juvenile petition, or criminal charge but is not mandated by this Agreement. The SRO has discretion to take other action that includes deferral to the administrator's disciplinary action, admonishment and counsel, or a referral to a graduated response program.

III. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

A. Exigent Circumstances

There may be situations that warrant removal of a student from the campus to maintain safety of other students and school staff or to prevent or stop disruptions to the learning environment. The SRO and school administrator shall always utilize least restrictive measures appropriate to the circumstances to remove a student from campus beginning with parental contact to retrieve their child. Arrest and detention of student shall only take place in accordance with existing North Carolina law.

B. Probation Exception

A juvenile complaint or criminal process should not be filed on a student who is serving probation under the supervision of the juvenile court without consulting Juvenile Justice. If an SRO has knowledge that a student is on adult probation, the SRO should make every effort to contact probation before initiating charges.

C. Special Education Prerequisites

A juvenile petition or criminal charge should not be filed against a student with an Individualized Education Program (IEP) without first considering the student's IEP and determining what actions are required under the IEP to remedy the behavior or if the IEP should be modified to assess the behavior giving rise to the conduct. If the SRO believes that a juvenile petition or adult citation or warrant is appropriate and the student has an IEP, the SRO shall act immediately to bring the matter to the attention of the school administrator to take appropriate steps in accordance with the Individuals with Disabilities Act (IDEA) and for the protection of the student's rights, and the rights of others, under the law.

D. Bullying

Student misconduct that involves bullying should be handled in accordance with the written policies that already exist in The Public Schools of Robeson County Student Code of Conduct for response to bullying, consistent with the Safe Schools Act.

E. Felony Offenses

Some felony offenses may not warrant court action due to the nature of the offense (e.g. no physical injury) coupled with the discretionary factors described above and the needs of the student, especially involving students diagnosed with Learning Disabilities (LD) and Emotional Behavioral Disorder (EBD). SROs are not mandated to refer a student to court just because the allegations are felonious. The SRO shall have the discretion to make the determination in consultation with the school administrator and juvenile court intake.

The parties have acknowledged that it is in the best interest of the student to be diverted from the criminal justice system, when warranted, to avoid the stigmatization of criminality. When possible, it is also desirable for SROs to avoid filings that might unnecessarily minimize SRO visibility and presence on the school campus and diminish the effectiveness of the positive student engagement model supported by the parties.

F. Arrest and Detention

No student accused in a court action shall be placed in a secure facility unless approved by a juvenile court intake officer and District Court Judge. If a student meets the criteria for filing of a juvenile petition, it is presumed that the student will be released to his parent, guardian, custodian, or relative to be returned to his legal caretaker.

In accordance with the law, a judge or designee shall not detain a child unless the statutory factors for secure custody have been evaluated. The juvenile court employs a Detention Assessment Tool to determine the risk of reoffending on acts resulting in serious bodily injury, theft and property destruction.

In the case of criminal charges, citations should be used unless safety and risk factors necessitate arrest and an initial appearance before the magistrate.

G. Treatment of Elementary Age Students

Elementary age students are not subject to the conditions of this agreement and shall be handled in accordance with their age and state of mind.

H. Parents, Guardians and Private Service Providers

Parties to this Agreement encourage parents, guardians and private service providers to involve schools in the treatment plan of students. Wrap-around services that address the whole child model are more effective when all of the professionals and service providers that work with a student share relevant information.

I. Suicide, Child Abuse, and Self-Injurious Behavior

If a student expresses suicidal thoughts or there is evidence or reports of child abuse, neglect or self-injurious behavior, those incidents should be reported immediately in accordance with The Public Schools of Robeson County Policy and North Carolina law.

IV. Data Sharing, Data Collection, and Progress Monitoring

A. Data Collection

The parties agree that they will provide baseline data for comparison purposes and regularly collect, share, monitor and report data resulting from the implementation of this agreement. On an annual basis, the following information will be collected: No identifying data should be included in the report to protect student privacy and confidentiality.

School - Number and type of disciplinary actions; numbers and demographics of students involved; referrals to law enforcement. Information will be exported for each school-based disciplinary incident report out of PowerSchool.

Law Enforcement - Number and type of school incidents for which law enforcement incident reports are written; law enforcement actions on incidents.

Support Services - Number and type of referrals; interventions/programs; outcomes.

B. Data Sharing

On a regular basis that should not exceed a month, any agency (school, law enforcement, social services, mental health, other services) that refers a student to another agency should request follow-up information to determine what actions have occurred. Information sharing agreements (Consent to Release/Exchange Forms) should be requested from the parents so private agencies can also share information with the schools. Regular follow-up and data sharing is required for monitoring of individual student progress and determination of service needs.

C. Progress Monitoring

On a regular basis, the parties will meet to provide oversight of the Agreement and review relevant data and analysis. At least annually, the parties will review activities and make recommendations for improvements to the agreement and/or its implementation.

V. Duration and Modification of Agreement

This agreement shall become effective December 1, 2019 and shall remain in full force and effect for three years from this date. The agreement may be modified or extended at any time by amendment to the agreement.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto, intending to cooperate wit	th one another, have set their signatures
to this document on this day.	,
Sezoor	11/25/19
Dr. Shanita W. Wooten, Superintendent, Public Schools of Robeson County	Date
John Campbell, Chairman, Public Schools of Robeson County Board	11/26/19 Date/
Audihils Daniels	11-25-19
Judith M. Daniels, Chief District Court Judge for Robeson County	Date
	25 100 19
Matthew Scott, District Attorney, Robeson County	Date
	11-25-19
Burnis Wilkins, Sheriff, Robeson County	Date
Midaemonal	11-25-2019
Mike McNeill, Chief of Police, Lumberton	Date
Ell-	
Ed Locklear, Chief of Police, Pembroke	Date
Connice Pollerson	11-25-19
Ronnie Patterson, Chief of Police, Red Springs	11-25-19 Date 11-25-19
R. Thomas Hagens, Chief of Police, St. Pauls	Date
Boude & Some	11-25-19
Randy Jones, Chief Court Counselor	Date
Toluty Vxor	11-25-19
Velvet Nixon, Director, Robeson County Department of Social Services	Date

APPENDIX A

The Public Schools of Robeson County Graduated Response Model

Consequences for Secondary Students (6-13)									
			Level of Consequence					Major	School will
Offense/Violat	1	2	3	4	5	Incident Report	Contact		
Absence (unlawful)									
	eating & Plagiarism)							THE REPORT OF	
		credit for assignment or course)					-		
Alcohol and Othe Drugs	r	Possession							SRO
First Offense:		Consumption			-				SRO
Suspension & Referral to		Consumption							SKU
Outside Agency	orrar to	Distribution							SRO
Second Offense: N	Лаv	·							ERLEY (SATING
request ext. suspen		Possession w/intent to distribute	2-2						SRO
Ammunition									
Arson/Fire									SRO
Attack:									
Attack	Catego	•							
on Students	Catego	ry II							B. 14 12 11 12
on Staff	Catego	ry III							
on Others	Catego	ry IV							
Bias Behavior: (S	ee Haras	sment and Intimidation)							
Bias	(First C	Offense)						-	MTSS Team/SSA
Behavior		er Offenses)	_						W133 Teamy33A
Bomb Threat	(-			-		SRO
Bullying			-	-				-	SNO
Cyberbullying			-						
Cheating			-	-	-				
	ceive a ze	ero credit for assignment or course)							RESPONDED IN
Class Cutting/Ski		or wood, milet or course)					Westpolist		CALL CALLS
Computer Misuse									
r	Catego	rv I		1					
Computer	Catego								
Misuse	Catego							-	
					+				
Countarfait Curr	Category IV				-			-	
Counterfeit Currency (Money) Demonstration and Mass Protest					+	-		-	The same of the same of
			+		-				
Destruction of Property/Vandalism Disrespect Toward Others			_	-	-				
									MTSS Team/SSA
Disruption to Classroom/School									MTSS Team/SSA
Disruptive Clothing or Appearance									
Inappropriate Use of Personal Electronics									
Extortion/Strong Arming/Blackmail									SRO
False Fire Alarm									
False Information		tions							EPT TO THE P
Fighting: Physica	l								The part them
Fighting: Verbal	•		- 2/O/100						TETT FEMALE
Fireworks/Explos	ives			ļ					

Forgery Gambling					PHE HELD	
Gambling Gang-Related Act					CDO	
	dation (See Bias Behavior)					SRO
Hazing	dution (See Dias Deliavior)	STATE OF	N-HEEDS			
	nguage (Students/Adults)					MTSS Team/SSA
	Response to Adults					IVITSS Team/SSA
Improper Physica		J 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10				
	pating in a School Disturbance					
	(Level 5 for noncompliance only)					
	and/or Leaving Class and/or School					
Grounds without					x.	
Matches/Lighter					SHEWERS	MALISHAY TO THE TOTAL OF THE TO
Matches and	Possession of					
Lighter(s)	Use of (see Arson/Fire)	+				
Misuse of Social						
	es in another person's food or drink or					
on a person's boo						
Sexual Activity	-,					
Sexual Assault						
Sexual Harassme	enf					
Stealing and/or T	W. 100 (5)					
Tardiness/Excess		Desire But				
Tobacco:	ATT A MARKET	+ +		THE REAL PROPERTY.		
Possession,	First Offense	-	_			
Distribution,	First Offense					He was the state of the state o
and/or Use	Further Offenses					
Trespassing						
Unsafe Action(s)						
Weapons: (including look-a-like guns)						Balling Herry
D	Firearm					SRO
Possession of	Other Weapons					SRO
	(includes knives and look-a-like guns)					
	Cause or Attempt to Cause Bodily					SRO
Harm/Injury	he discipline of students with disabili					

Please Note: The discipline of students with disabilities who are eligible for services under federal law (Individuals with Disabilities Education Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973) is subject to the provisions of those laws in addition to the Student Code of Conduct. To the extent any conflict exists, state and/or federal law will prevail.

In deciding whether to order suspension, placement in an alternative education program, or expulsion, the district will take into consideration a disability that substantially impairs the student's capacity to appreciate the wrongfulness of the student's conduct.

Levels of Interventions and Consequences

Disciplinary Action

Level 1-Conference, Intervention Level 2-Intervention, Suspension (1-5 days)

Level 3- Suspension (6-9 days) Level 4- Suspension (10 days)

Level 5- Long Term Suspension/Expulsion

MTSS team will use these in the students change behavior		Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	
Classroom Level interventions & consequences If these interventions are successful, referral to the school administrator may not be necessary.	Appropriate when Level 1 intervention & consequence has been ineffective In some cases, referral to the school administrator may be necessary.	Appropriate when Level 2 interventions & consequence has been ineffective	Appropriate when Level 3 interventions & consequence has been ineffective	Appropriate when Level 4 interventions & consequence has been ineffective	
Warning	Parent/guardian	Office referral required	Office referral	Office referral required	
☐ Letter of apology	involvement	(except*)	required	(except*)	
☐ Use of Student	☐ Phone call/letter to	Parent/guardian	(except*)	Parent/guardian	
Problem-Solving	parent or guardian	notification required	Parent/guardian	notification	
worksheet	Confiscation of item	-	notification	required	
☐ Seat change	☐ Supervised time-out	☐ Restitution	required		
Parent outreach	outside of classroom	☐ Restorative Practices		☐ Office referral	
Teacher conference with	Conference with		☐ Restricted activity	required	
student	parent or guardian	Conferencing	☐ Restitution	□ Parent/guardian	
Mentoring	Detention	□ In-school	☐ Restorative Practices	notification	
☐ In-class time-out	Alternative school-	intervention	☐ Modified school day	required	
☐ Time-out in another	based program	☐ In-school suspension	☐ Alternative school-	Restitution	
classroom setting	Behavior contract	☐ Alternative school-	based program	☐ Restorative	
☐ Restitution	☐ Teacher and/or	based program (HS)	(8-12) school	Practices	
☐ Restorative	administrator	Decision-making room	required attire	☐ Suspension (4–10	
Practices	conference with	☐ Community Service	☐ Referral to	days)	
Reinforcement of	student and/or	(Volunteer work for	Alternative	☐ Extended	
appropriate	parent	any non-profit	Learning Program	Suspension	
behaviors	☐ Restitution	organization, public or	Adjustment transfer	(10+ days)	
Written reflection about	☐ Restorative	private, as a form of	to another school	☐ Referral to	
incident	Practices	restitution)	Loss of parking	Alternative	
Before or after	☐ Parent contract	Alternative Programs	privileges/car	Learning	
school detention (HS)	Parent or guardian	(Teen Court, Palmer	towed	Program	
 Behavior contract 	accompany student	Drug Prevention,	Loss or suspension	☐ Expulsion (to be	
Loss or suspension of	to school or classes	etc.)	of privileges	considered only	
privileges	Peer mediation	☐ Learning lab	Temporary removal	in the most	
□ Informal and/or	☐ Class or schedule	Loss or suspension of	from class	extreme cases)	
preventative	change	privileges	☐ School-based or	☐ Student re-entry or	
school-based	☐ Warning notification on	☐ Temporary removal from	outside	success plan Referral to MTSS team	
mentoring	car (HS)	class	facilitated conflict	- Keleirai to ivi i 55 tean	
	Loss or suspension of	Informal and/or proventative school	resolution		
(This is not an	privileges	preventative school- based mentoring	☐ Suspension (1–3		
exhaustive list of	Temporary removal	School-based or outside	days) except for		
offenses. For	from class	facilitated conflict	attendance-		
clarification, refer	☐ Informal and/or	resolution	related offenses		
to the	preventative school-	Referral to MTSS team	☐ Student re-entry or		
Principal or Designee.)	based mentoring	a reciertar to ivi i 55 team	success plan		
	School-based or outside feeiliteted		Referral to MTSS		
	outside facilitated conflict resolution		team		
	conflict resolution			1	